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## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1.1.1. The Applicant has completed the following technical submissions at Deadline 6 and 7 which have altered the assessments contained within the Environmental Statement Addendum - Appendix 11 Supplementary Transport Assessment (REP1-142):

- Joint Bay Technical Note (REP6-070);
- Day Lane Technical Note (REP6-073);
- Portsmouth City Council Road Safety Note (REP6-071);
- Hampshire County Council Road Safety Note (REP6-075);
- Technical Note 'Collision Analysis on Highways England Roads’ completed in response to Annex B of Highways England Deadline 4 submission (REP4-043). This is included in Appendix 1; and
- Additional junction capacity assessments of $A 3(M)$ Junction 2 and 3 contained within Technical Note 'HE03 - Response to Highways England Technical Note TN03' completed in response to Annex D of Highways England Deadline 1 submission (REP1-208). This is included in Appendix 2.
1.1.1.2. This report forms an addendum which summarises the changes to the Supplementary Transport Assessment (STA) as a result of the aforementioned documents.
1.1.1.3. For each of the above submissions, this STA Addendum summarises the relevant content and provides an updated assessment where necessary.
1.1.1.4. There are no changes to the STA (REP1-142) within the following sections:
- Section 2: Construction Programme Updates; and
- Section 6: Bus Journey Time Assessment.
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## 2. CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC ACCESS

## 2.1. INTRODUCTION

2.1.1.1. This section summarises updates made to Chapter 3 of the STA regarding construction traffic access.

### 2.2. CONVERTER STATION ACCESS JUNCTION

2.2.1.1. An additional paragraph has been included directly after existing paragraph 3.2.2.6. of the STA. This additional paragraph includes reference to the now agreed status of the access junction proposals with HCC as the Local Highway Authority. The additional paragraph is as follows:

- Following further discussions, it is the understanding of the Applicant that the proposed access is now agreed in principal with HCC, subject to the completion of a Stage 1 Road Safety Audit (RSA). The RSA has been completed and submitted to HCC in draft on the 20/01/21 and It is the view of the Applicant that the outcomes of the Road Safety Audit will result in only minor updates to the proposals, which can be agreed with the LHA.


### 2.3. MANAGEMENT OF HGV TRAFFIC MOVEMENTS ON DAY LANE AND BROADWAY LANE

2.3.1.1. Section 3.4 of the STA (REP1-142) in reference to the management of HGV traffic movements on Day Lane and Broadway Lane has been entirely superseded following further discussions with HCC. Section 3.4 of the STA (REP1-142) has therefore been replaced in its entirety by the following paragraph:

- As part on-going discussions with HCC since Deadline 1, the management strategy for HGV traffic movements on Day Lane and Broadway Lane has been revised and submitted into the Examination at Deadline 6 as part of the Day Lane Technical Note (REP6-073). Further to submission of REP6-073 and further discussions with the HCC, the strategy has been updated in agreement with the highway authority for submission at Deadline 7.
- In summary. The amended strategy uses three main methods of construction vehicle management for mitigating the impacts of movements of such vehicles. These three methods are as follows:
- Introduction of passing bays on Day Lane to ensure adequate width is available for traffic for pass HGVs if necessary;
- Strategic management of arrivals and departures of HGVs, which includes:
- arrival HGV's needed to 'check-in' to an off-site location and then be escorted to site in a convoy of three HGVs;
- departing HGVs being held on-site until able to depart in convoy of vehicles, which will be escorted off-site; and
- the use of a booking system and communication between arrival and departure controls to ensure that HGVs to not meet on Day Lane.
- Use of banksmen and traffic marshals to control traffic on Day Lane.
- This strategy will be included within the Framework Construction Traffic Management Plan and therefore secured via Requirement 17 of the dDCO. The delivery of the passing bays is a matter which is agreed with HCC and in relation to which a Section 106 Agreement with HCC is progressing. Therefore the delivery of the proposed passing bays is also secured, and their future delivery presents no impediment to the implementation of the strategy.


### 2.4. JOINT BAY AND HDD COMPOUND CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

2.4.1.1. Updates to Section 3.8 of the STA are required due to further work undertaken in regard to Bay locations. This further work can be found in the Joint Bay Technical Note (REP6-070) submitted at Deadline 6, which provides indicative Joint Bay locations for the entirety of the Onshore Cable Route, evidencing the feasibility of their delivery in the manner provided for.
2.4.1.2. In order to account for the updates to the proposed numbering and changes in location of indicative Joint Bays in the vicinity of Locksway Road and Kingsley Road, paragraph 3.8.4.1., 3.8.4.2., 3.8.4.3. and 3.8.4.4. of the STA (REP1-142) have been superseded and replaced with the below:

- Taking account of the requirements to temporarily suspend on-street parking, analysis has been completed of existing on-street car parking capacity on Locksway Road and Kingsley Road in Portsmouth in relation to:
- Joint Bay 33: located in the grassed area to the south of the University of Portsmouth sports pitches;
- Joint Bay 34: located at the eastern end of Kingsley Road south of the Milton Allotments; and
- HDD-2: located at the eastern end of Kingsley Road south of the Milton Allotments.
- It is estimated that during start-up, demobilisation or delivery of cable drums suspension of approximately 20-30 on-street parking spaces would be required on Locksway Road / Longshore Way and suspension of 70 on-street parking spaces would be required on Kingsley Road to provide adequate highway width for construction vehicles. To inform an analysis of existing demand and capacity of on-street parking of these roads, overnight residential parking surveys were carried out in July 2020 on the following roads within the area of Locksway Road, Longshore Way and Kingsley Road:
- Warren Avenue between Milton Road and Mayles Road, Shelford Road, Crofton Road, Hollam Road, Catisfield Road, Meon Road, Weston Road, Milton Park Avenue, Cromarty Avenue, Locksway Road, Fair Oak Road, Cheriton Road, Oakdene Road, Furze Lane, Broom Square, Longshore Way, Waterlock Gardens, Seaway Crescent, Rosetta Road, Bertie Road, Pleasant Road, Stowe Road, Morgan road, Ironbridge Lane, Trevis Road, Meryle Road, Towpath Mead, Perth Road, Gurney Road, Hester Road, Old Canal, Melrose Close, Shirley Avenue, Berney Road, Redlands Grove, Tideway Gardens, Maurice Road, Dunbar Road, Kingsley Road, Tranmere Road, Glasgow Road, Amayas Court, Yeo Court, Torfrida Court, Wake Lawn, Holne Court, Lightfoot Lawn and Leofric Court;
- These surveys followed the Lambeth parking survey methodology, which is a generally accepted method of surveying residential parking demand, with a snapshot survey completed between the hours of 00:30 and 05:30 on two separate weekday nights (Monday to Thursday) when residential parking demand is likely to be at its highest. A summary of the methodology used in the calculation of parking capacity, occupancy and resulting stress is as follows:
- Areas within a Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ):
- Only Resident Permit Holder Bays and Shared Bays which allow residents parking (these may be shared with Pay-and-Display parking and/or Business Permit Holders) were counted;
- Calculation of parking capacity was recorded by measuring the total length of each parking bay and this length then divided by five, within each vehicle assumed to be 5m; and
- In any other areas where cars can legally park overnight, the number of cars were counted and noted separately. These typically comprise of Single Yellow Lines or short-term parking or Pay-and-Display bays.

Areas which are not within a CPZ:

- All areas of unrestricted parking were counted; and
- Calculation of parking capacity was recorded by measuring the total length of the road, accounting for any obstructions to parking (drive-way accesses, junctions etc.), and then divided by five. This number was then rounded down to the nearest whole number in order to conservatively approximate capacity.
- These surveys showed that across the surveyed area, there was an average overnight available capacity for 200 vehicles. This means that displaced parking from Locksway Road, Longshore Way and Kingsley Road can be accommodated within the surrounding residential streets, if required.


### 2.5. ABNORMAL LOADS ASSOCIATED WITH CABLE DRUM DELIVERIES

2.5.1.1. In order to provide further clarity regarding the number of deliveries generated by Joint Bays, paragraph 3.9.2.2. of the STA (REP1-142) has been replaced with the following:

- The cable drums will be delivered to each Joint Bay via HGV before being offloaded and pulled through the cable ducts using winches. Typical drum dimensions for a $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ cable are 4.9 m outside drum diameter and drum width of 3.0 m , with a mass of 50 tonnes and can therefore be defined as an Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL). One Joint Bay is required for each one of the circuits and each Joint Bay will generate four cable drum deliveries if cables are being pulled in both directions at the Joint Bay in question (eight deliveries if a double Joint Bay is proposed at that location) with these vehicles travelling outside of the AM (08:00-09:00) and PM (17:00-18:00) peak periods. The cable drum delivery vehicles would be on site for approximately one hour whilst the cable drum is offloaded from the vehicle.
2.5.1.2. As the remainder of Section 3.9 of the STA (REP1-142) provided an assessment of cable drum deliveries to indicative Joint Bay locations, updates are made which reflect the amended numbering of Joint Bays and, where relevant, the amended locations of such Joint Bays which are set out in the 'UK Joint Bay Locations Feasibility Report' (REP6-070). Paragraph's 3.9.4.4. through 3.9.4.75. of the STA (REP1-142) have been superseded and replaced with the following:
- The anticipated route between the Cargo Terminal of Portsmouth International Port and all Joint Bays on Portsea Island consists mainly of dual-carriageway with two lanes in each direction up until reaching A2030 Goldsmith Avenue, which is a wide single carriageway road with advisory cycle lanes. All of this route is subject to a 30 mph speed limit and to Double Yellow Lines which restrict parking on carriageway. From the Goldsmith Avenue it is anticipated that the cable drum delivery vehicles will use Fratton Way and Rodney Road before turning onto A2030 Velder Avenue or A288 Milton Road to access individual Joint Bay locations. These routes are discussed in more detail below.
- The anticipated route to be used for all Joint Bays outside of Portsea Island will use the A3 Mile End Road, M275 and A27 with locations within the HCC highway network also using the A3(M). The A3 Mile End Road and M275 are part of the PCC primary road network and are dual-carriageway roads with $2 / 3$ lanes in each direction. Each of these roads provide direct links from the Cargo Terminal of Portsmouth International Port and can therefore accommodate HGV and abnormal load vehicles. The A27 and A3(M) fall under the jurisdiction of Highways England and form part of the strategic road network. They are dualcarriageway roads subject to a 70 mph speed limit.
- A preliminary assessment has been completed of the indicative Joint Bay locations which are set out in the 'UK Joint Bay Locations Feasibility Report' which was submitted into the examination at Deadline 6 to confirm if cable drum deliveries will be required to all Joint Bays. This is on the basis that cables do not need to be pulled from each direction along the Onshore Cable Route. This assessment of the indicative locations has confirmed that delivery of cable drums will be required to only 17 of the indicative Joint Bay locations as follows and as shown on Plate 1:
- Joint Bay 01: Double Joint Bay within fields south of Converter Station;
- Joint Bay 04: Double Joint Bay within fields south of Anmore Road (at Kings Pond Meadows);
- Joint Bay 07: Double Joint Bay within Hambledon Road spur road north of the junction with Fennell Close;
- Joint Bay 10: Double Joint Bay within A3 London Road 60m east of Corbett Road (within bus lane);
- Joint Bay 14: Single Joint Bay within A3 London Road 340m south of Ladybridge roundabout (within bus lane);
- Joint Bay 15: Single Joint Bay within A3 London Road approximately 70m north of Bushy Mead;
- Joint Bay 17: Double Joint Bay within Portsdown Hill Car Park, south of Portsdown Hill Road;
- Joint Bay 18: Single Joint Bay within Farlington Avenue, north of the junction with Burnham Road;
- Joint Bay 19: Single Joint Bay within Farlington Avenue, south of the junction with Moortown Avenue;
- Joint Bay 22: Double Joint Bay within Zetland Fields adjacent to A2030 Eastern Road;
- Joint Bay 23: Double Joint Bay within Sainsbury's car park;
- Joint Bay 24: Double Joint Bay within Farlington Playing Fields;
- Joint Bay 25: Double Joint Bay within Kendalls Wharf;
- Joint Bay 29: Double Joint Bay north of Milton Common, adjacent to A2030 Eastern Road;
- Joint Bay 31: Double Joint Bay south western corner of Milton Common, accessed from Moorings Way;
- Joint Bay 33: Double Joint Bay within the grassed area south of Portsmouth University sports pitches;
- Joint Bay 35: Double Joint Bay within the southern edge of Bransbury Park; and
- Joint Bay 36: Double Joint Bay at Landfall at Fort Cumberland open space car park (Transition Joint Bay).


## AQUIND $\approx$



Plate 1 - Indicative Joint Bay Locations

- The assessment of cable drum delivery routes is based upon these indicative Joint Bay locations which are representative of all the likely locations. The cable drum delivery routes will be provided to PCC and HCC (as appropriate) for approval as part of the approval process for the Joint Bay locations when detailed design approvals are obtained. This is secured by Requirement 17 of the dDCO.
- The routing considers both access and egress from each of the indicative Joint Bay locations. In all instances, a swept path analysis exercise has been undertaken to assess that the necessary manoeuvres can be accommodated. Drawings showing these swept paths are provided in Appendix 3.
- In all cases, the routing to and from each indicative Joint Bay is based upon maximising the use of the strategic and primary routes networks wherever possible, given these generally have suitable infrastructure provision and sufficient weight restrictions to cater for abnormal loads.
- To facilitate access by cable drum delivery vehicles (and all construction activities) the Draft Development Consent Order includes powers to temporarily alter the layout of any street (Article 10) and implement Temporary Traffic Regulation Orders (TTROs) to permit, prohibit or restrict stopping, parking, waiting or loading of vehicles on any road (Article 16). Details of where such measures may be required would be approved by the relevant highway authority prior to them being carried out, with the necessity for these confirmed as part of the detailed design process secured by Requirement 17 of the dDCO.
- Plate 2, Plate 3, and Plate 4 show the anticipated cable drum delivery routes to all assessed indicative Joint Bays.


Plate 2 - Joint Bay Cable Drum Delivery Routes 1 of 3


Plate 3 - Joint Bay Cable Drum Delivery Routes 2 of 3


Plate 4 - Joint Bay Cable Drum Delivery Routes 3 of 3

Joint Bay 01: within fields south of Converter Station

- The cable drum delivery vehicles would use A3 Mile End Road, M275, A27 and A3(M), exiting at Junction 2 onto:
- B2149 Dell Piece West: a wide single carriageway road which serves Hazleton Industrial Estate and is subject to a 40 mph speed limit;
- A3 Portsmouth Rd / London Road: a wide single carriageway road with northbound bus lanes for the majority of the section used as a delivery route, subject to a 30 mph speed limit;
- Lovedean Lane: A single carriageway road with a 30mph speed limit, which provides access mainly to residential properties but also some commercial premises; and
- Day Lane: a rural lane, generally wide enough to accommodate normal twoway traffic, subject to national speed limit.
- This follows the construction traffic route between the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ and Converter Station site for all vehicles associated with construction of the Proposed Development. Access to the Converter Station site would be from the proposed site access at Broadway Lane / Day Lane discussed in Section 2.2 and controlled via the construction vehicle management strategy set-out in the Framework Construction Traffic Management Plan (REP3-032).
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/010, which is provided in Appendix 1, has shown that all manoeuvres can be accommodated within the existing highway layout. At the A3 Portsmouth Road/ Dell Piece West / Catherington Lane traffic signals it will be necessary for the cable drum delivery vehicle to use off-side approach lanes through the junction to avoid overrunning traffic signal poles and guard railing located on the traffic islands. This manoeuvre will be completed with use of escort vehicles to manage conflicting traffic movements at the junction.
- Entry and exit from this Joint Bay location would be achieved via the same route.


## Joint Bay 04: within fields south of Anmore Road (At Kings Pond Meadows)

- The cable drum delivery vehicles would use A3 Mile End Road, M275, A27 and A3(M), exiting at Junction 3 onto:
- B2150 Hulbert Road and A3 Maurepas Way: dual-carriageway roads with two lanes in each direction, subject to a 40 mph speed limit;
- B2150 Hambledon Road between Maurepas Way and Milton Road: a dualcarriageway road with two lanes in each direction, subject to a 40mph speed limit, which provides access to Wellington Retail Park, Aston Road industrial estate and Brambles Business Park;
- B2150 Hambledon Road between Milton Road and Mill Road: a singlecarriageway road with a 30 mph speed limit providing residential access a and primary route between Denmead and Waterlooville;
- Mill Road: a residential road subject to a 30 mph speed limit, with unrestricted on-street parking; and
- Anmore Road: a rural lane, generally wide enough to accommodate normal two-way traffic, providing some residential access, subject to a 30mph speed limit.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/020 shows that some vehicle overrun of footways occurs on entry / exit to Mill Road from B2150 Hambledon Road, however, this would not impede access. A TTRO would be required on Mill Road to temporarily restrict on-street car parking when the cable drum is being delivered.
- As shown on 62100616/ATR/021, the cable drum delivery vehicle would overhang the footway located on the southern side of Anmore Road for approximately 50m. The turning movement to / from fields south of Anmore Road would be facilitated by provision of a temporary construction access point shown as location AC/2/a on Sheet 3 of the Access and Rights of Way Plans (REP6-012).
- Entry and exit from this Joint Bay location would be achieved via the same route.

Joint Bay 07: within Hambledon Road north of the junction with Fennell Close

- The cable drum delivery vehicles would use the same route as for Joint Bay 04, up until the junction of B2150 Hambledon Road / Milton Road roundabout. Access from the Hambledon Road spur road would be taken from the southbound carriageway of the B2150 Hambledon Road after completing a U-turn at the B2150 Hambledon Road / Milton Road roundabout. During delivery of the cable drums, the delivery vehicle would stop on carriageway on Hambledon Road spur road. Suitable traffic management would be employed in this scenario to provide a temporary lane closure., with two-way traffic maintained at all times.
- Exit from the site, under banksman control, would be via the same route with cable drum delivery vehicles turning within the carriageway to access the southbound lane of the B2150 Hambledon Road.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/030 has shown that all manoeuvres can be accommodated by the existing highway layout.


## Joint Bay 10: A3 London Road 60m east of Corbett Road (within bus lane)

- Two single Joint Bays are indicatively shown at this location. The cable drum delivery vehicles would use A3 Mile End Road, M275, A27 and A3(M), exiting at Junction 3 onto:
- B2150 Hulbert Road and A3 Maurepas Way: dual-carriageway roads with two lanes in each direction, subject to a 40 mph speed limit; and
- A3 London Road: a wide single carriageway road with bus lanes in both directions for the majority of its length, subject to a 30 mph speed limit.
- During delivery of the cable drums, the delivery vehicle would stop on the carriageway of the A3 London Road. Suitable traffic management would be employed in this scenario to provide a temporary lane closure, with two-way traffic maintained at all times.
- Exit from the site would be via the southbound carriageway of the A3 London Road, with the delivery vehicle continuing to Ladybridge roundabout and Ladybridge Road / Stakes Road / Purbrook Way to access A3(M) Junction 4. Ladybridge Road and Stakes Road are single-carriageway roads subject to a 30mph speed limit while Purbrook Way is a part wide single-carriageway / part dual-carriageway road with a 40 mph speed limit.
- The swept path analysis of this route is shown on Drawings 62100616/ATR/040, 041 and 042. This swept path analysis has shown other than overhang of the traffic island on approach to Forest End roundabout, which may require temporary removal of the existing bollard, all manoeuvres can be accommodated by the existing highway layout
- Deliveries to this Joint Bay will be undertaken either out of hours or overnight to minimise disruption to the highway network.


## Joint Bay 14: A3 London Road 340m south of Ladybridge roundabout (within bus lane)

- The cable drum delivery vehicles would use A3 Mile End Road, M275, A27 and A3(M), exiting at Junction 4 onto:
- Purbrook Way: a part wide single-carriageway / part dual-carriageway road with a 40mph speed limit;
- Ladybridge Road / Stakes Road: a single-carriageway road, subject to a 30mph speed limit; and
- A3 London Road: a wide single carriageway road with bus lanes in both directions for the majority of its length, subject to a 30 mph speed limit.
- During delivery of the cable drums, the delivery vehicle would stop on the southbound carriageway of the A3 London Road. Suitable traffic management would be employed in this scenario to provide a temporary lane closure, with twoway traffic maintained at all times.
- Exit from the site would be via the southbound carriageway of the A3 London Road to Cosham, with the delivery vehicle continuing along A3 Southampton Road to reach the M275 / M27. The A3 Southampton Road is a dual-carriageway road with two lanes in each direction and is subject to a 40 mph speed limit.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/050 and 051 has shown that all manoeuvres can be accommodated by the existing highway layout.
- Deliveries to this Joint Bay will be undertaken either out of hours or overnight to minimise disruption to the highway network.


## Joint Bay 15: A3 London Road 70m north of Bushy Mead

- The cable drum delivery vehicles would use the same entry and exit route as Joint Bay 14. During delivery of the cable drums, the delivery vehicle would stop on the southbound carriageway of the A3 London Road. Suitable traffic management would be employed in this scenario to provide a temporary lane closure, with two-way traffic maintained at all times.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/050 and 051 has shown that all manoeuvres can be accommodated by the existing highway layout.
- Deliveries to this Joint Bay will be undertaken either out of hours or overnight to minimise disruption to the highway network.


## Joint Bay 17: Portsdown Hill Car Park, south of Portsdown Hill Road

- The cable drum delivery vehicles would use the M275, A27 Havant Bypass and the A3 (M), upon exiting A3 (M) at Junction 4, the construction vehicle would travel westbound along Purbrook Way, Stakes Road and Ladybridge Road before travelling south on A3 London Road and onto B2177 Portsdown Hill Road. Upon arrival, the delivery vehicles, under control of banksman, would reverse into the Portsdown Hill Car Park to offload the cable drum and avoid blocking the public highway. Exit would then be taken in forward gear onto B2177 Portsdown Hill Road, before travelling onwards southbound on A3 London Road and A3 Southampton Road. Finally, the vehicle would enter M275 and travel southbound towards Portsmouth Cargo Terminal.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/060 has shown that all manoeuvres on approach to Portsdown Hill car park can be accommodated by the existing highway layout. To enter and exit the car park itself, the existing traffic island and posts at the access junction will need to be temporarily removed as shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/061.
- It is noted that the vehicle would be required to travel under a road bridge on A3 London Road, a bridge which forms part of B2177 Portsdown Hill Road. The cable drum delivery vehicle will have a maximum height of 4.9 m , and as the road bridge in question is not specifically signposted to state otherwise, a headroom of at least 5.03 m is available as per guidance set out in paragraph 104 of DfT guidance document "Prevention of Strikes on Bridges over Highways"1.


## Joint Bay 18: Single Joint Bay within Farlington Avenue, north of the junction with Burnham Road

- The cable drum delivery vehicles would use the same route as identified for Joint Bay 17 with vehicles continuing along Portsdown Hill Road to access Farlington Avenue. During delivery of the cable drums, the delivery vehicle would stop on the carriageway of Farlington Avenue with deliveries undertaken either out of hours or overnight to minimise disruption to the highway network. A temporary road closure of Farlington Avenue would be required during delivery of the cable drum with this process taking approximately one hour to complete.
- Exit would then be taken via Farlington Avenue in the northbound direction, with the cable drum delivery vehicles completing a three point turn using either Burnham Road and Moortown Road under banksman control. From the B2177 Portsdown Hill Road, before travelling onwards southbound on A3 London Road and A3 Southampton Road. Finally, the vehicle would enter M275 and travel southbound towards Portsmouth Cargo Terminal.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/064 and 065 has shown that all manoeuvres on approach to and from Farlington Avenue can be accommodated by the existing highway layout. A number of Temporary Traffic Regulation Order's (TTRO's) may also be required to temporarily suspend on-street parking on parts of Farlington Avenue to facilitate cable drum delivery


## Joint Bay 19: Single Joint Bay within Farlington Avenue, south of the junction with Moortown Avenue;

- The cable drum delivery vehicles would use the same entry and exit route as identified for Joint Bay 18. During delivery of the cable drums, the delivery vehicle would stop on the carriageway of Farlington Avenue with deliveries undertaken either out of hours or overnight to minimise disruption to the highway network. A temporary road closure of Farlington Avenue would be required during delivery of the cable drum with this process taking approximately one hour to complete.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/064 and 065 has shown that all manoeuvres on approach to and from Farlington Avenue can be accommodated by the existing highway layout. A number of TTRO's may also be required to temporarily suspend on-street parking on parts of Farlington Avenue to facilitate cable drum delivery.


## Joint Bay 22: within Zetland Fields adjacent to A2030 Eastern Road

- The cable drum delivery vehicles would use A3 Mile End Road, M275 and A27 and exiting at the junction with A2030 Eastern Road onto:
- A2030 Eastern Road (north of the A27): a dual-carriageway road with two lanes in each direction, subject to a 40 mph speed limit.
- Access to Zetland Fields would be via the A2030 Eastern Road northbound carriageway, under banksman control, towards the northern boundary of the open space area. Access would be facilitated by provision of a temporary construction access junction to / from Zetland Fields shown as location AC/7/a on Sheet 7 of the Access and Rights of Way Plans (REP6-012).
- Exit from the site would be achieved via the same route with delivery vehicles manoeuvring back onto the A2030 Eastern Road southbound carriageway under control of banksman.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/070 shows how the cable drum delivery vehicles would access Zetland Fields from A2030 Eastern Road, requiring overrun of the existing centre island and temporary removal of fencing at the Zetland Fields boundary.


## Joint Bay 23: within Sainsbury's car park

- The cable drum delivery vehicles would use A3 Mile End Road, M275 and A27 and A2030 Eastern Road as with Joint Bay 17. Access into Sainsbury's car park would be via the A2030 Eastern Road / Fitzherbert Road traffic signal junction which is designed to accommodate HGV traffic due to it providing an access point to the retail part and Farlington industrial estate.
- Entry and exit from this Joint Bay location would be achieved via the same route.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/080 has shown that entry into Sainsbury's car park will require overrun of the existing central island. On exit the temporary removal of traffic signal poles may be required on the nearside footway of Fitzherbert Road to provide adequate width for the cable drum delivery vehicles.


## Joint Bay 24: within Farlington Playing Fields

- The cable drum delivery vehicles would use A3 Mile End Road, M275 and A27 and A2030 Eastern Road as with Joint Bay 23. Access into Farlington Playing Fields would be via the existing access to the public car park under the control of banksman.
- As shown on Drawing 0616-ATR-002, the cable drum delivery vehicle can access the site by straddling the offside and nearside lanes of the northbound carriageway of the A2030 Eastern Road. At the entrance to the Farlington Playing

Fields car park, the cable drum delivery vehicle would overrun the existing central island and grass verge on the inside corner.

- The verge on the inside corner of the entrance to Farlington Playing Fields car park has a small earth bank, which already appears to have been partly flattened through existing vehicle use and there is no kerb where the overrun is anticipated to occur. This creates a wider carriageway width than shown on the OS mapping but, if required, the bank will be temporarily flattened to facilitate access, before being reinstated once works are complete. The central island is also in a poor state of repair and would be removed to facilitate access and reinstated on completion of construction.
- Further into the Farlington Playing Fields site there are wooden bollards adjacent to the carriageway and a width / height restricting barrier which would need to be removed to facilitate access and then reinstated once works are complete.
- Exit from Farlington Playing Fields would use the same access point for entry, with the cable drum delivery vehicle turning left onto the A2030 Eastern Road southbound carriageway under control of a banksman. This is to avoid conflicts with vehicles using the Shell Petrol Filling Station and Holiday Inn site.
- Vehicle overhang of the existing grass verges at the entrance to the Farlington Playing Fields car park would occur on the nearside and offside of the vehicle. Like ingress, the existing central island would be over-run. To turn left onto the A2030 Eastern Road southbound carriageway, vehicle over-run would occur on the nearside verge and vehicle overhang would occur on the central island separating the two carriageways of the A2030 Eastern Road. The existing Advanced Directional Sign on the nearside verge and guard-railing situated in the central island would not be affected.


## Joint Bay 25: Kendalls Wharf, adjacent to the A2030 Eastern Road

- The cable drum delivery vehicle would use A3 Commercial Way, A3 Marketway, A3 Anglesea Road, A2030 Winston Churchill Avenue, A2030 Victoria Road North and A2030 Goldsmith Avenue before turning onto:
- Fratton Way / Rodney Road: a single-carriageway road which provides access into Fratton industrial estate and subject to a 30 mph speed limit;
- A2030 Velder Avenue: a single-carriageway road with one lane northbound and two lanes southbound, subject to a 30 mph speed limit; and
- A2030 Eastern Road: a mixture of single-carriageway and dual-carriageway with two lanes northbound and two lanes southbound for all but a 1.0 km section adjacent to Milton Common, which has two lanes northbound and one lane southbound. The A2030 also has a mix of speed limits ranging from 30 mph to 50 mph .
- At the A288 Milton Road / A2030 Velder Avenue / Rodney Road traffic signal junction, cable drum delivery vehicles would be required to use the westbound exit lane (to Rodney Road) to travel through the junction to avoid overrunning traffic signal poles on the existing traffic islands. This is shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/090. This manoeuvre would be completed with support from escort vehicles to manage vehicle conflicts at the junction.
- The indicative Joint Bay location is in Kendall Wharf, directly accessible via Anchorage Road at the junction of A2030 Eastern Road / Anchorage Road / Kendalls Wharf. As vehicles are not permitted to turn right into Kendalls Wharf when travelling from the A2030 Eastern Road (south) approach, access to this arm must be from the Anchorage Road approach, as such access is proposed using the existing highway network via the following:
- Airport Service Road: a wide single-carriageway road that provides access to various industrial and commercial units, subject to a 30 mph speed limit;
- Robinson Way: a single-carriageway road, which provides access to Interchange Park industrial estate and other commercial premises, subject to a 30mph speed limit; and
- Anchorage Road: a part wide single-carriageway / dual-carriageway with two lanes in each direction, subject to a 30mph speed limit.
- At the A2030 Eastern Road / Anchorage Road traffic signal junction, cable drum delivery vehicles would be required to use the westbound exit lane (to Anchorage Road) to travel through the junction to avoid overrunning traffic signal poles on the existing traffic islands. This is shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/091.This manoeuvre would be completed with support from escort vehicles to manage vehicle conflicts at the junction.
- Following this manoeuvre, the cable drum delivery vehicle would gain access to the Joint Bay from the existing Kendalls Wharf access road. Entry and exit would be completed in forward gear under the control of a banksman. Access to this Joint Bay will be facilitated by provision of a temporary construction access point shown as location AC/8/a on Sheet 8 of the Access and Rights of Way Plans (REP6-012)
- Vehicles exiting the site would travel north along the A2030 Eastern Road and onto the A27.


## Joint Bay 29: adjacent to the A2030 Eastern Road north of Milton Common

- The cable drum delivery vehicle would use A3 Commercial Way, A3 Marketway, A3 Anglesea Road, A2030 Winston Churchill Avenue, A2030 Victoria Road North and A2030 Goldsmith Avenue before turning onto:
- Fratton Way / Rodney Road: a single-carriageway road which provides access into Fratton industrial estate and subject to a 30mph speed limit;
- A2030 Velder Avenue: a single-carriageway road with one lane northbound and two lanes southbound, subject to a 30 mph speed limit; and
- A2030 Eastern Road: a mixture of single-carriageway and dual-carriageway with two lanes northbound and two lanes southbound for all but a 1.0 km section adjacent to Milton Common, which has two lanes northbound and one lane southbound. The A2030 also has a mix of speed limits ranging from 30 mph to 50 mph .
- The same manoeuvre would be required at the A288 Milton Road / A2030 Velder Avenue / Rodney Road traffic signal junction as described for access to Joint Bay 25 as shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/091
- Given that the indicative Joint Bay location is adjacent to the southern carriageway of the A2030 Eastern Road and on a dual-carriageway link subject to a 50 mph speed limit it would not be recommended that cable drum delivery vehicles turn across the carriageway, even if this manoeuvre was to be completed with banksmen. Instead the delivery vehicles would use the existing highway network to access the southbound carriageway of the A2030 via the following:
- Anchorage Road: a part wide single-carriageway / dual-carriageway with two lanes in each direction, subject to a 30mph speed limit;
- Robinson Way: a single-carriageway road, which provides access to Interchange Park industrial estate and other commercial premises, subject to a 30mph speed limit; and
- Airport Service Road: a wide single-carriageway road that provides access to various industrial and commercial units, subject to a 30 mph speed limit.
- Following this manoeuvre, the cable drum delivery vehicle would gain access to the A2030 Eastern Road southbound carriageway. Access to the Joint Bay from A2030 Eastern Road will be facilitated by provision of a temporary construction access point shown as location AC/9/a on Sheet 9 of the Access and Rights of Way Plans (REP6-012). Entry and exit would be completed in forward gear under the control of a banksman.
- Cable drum delivery vehicles leaving the site would continue southbound along the A2030 Eastern Road and follow A2030 Velder Avenue, Fratton Way / Rodney Road, A2030 Goldsmith Avenue, A2030 Victoria Road North, A2030 Winston Churchill Avenue, A3 Anglesea Road, A3 Marketway and A3 Hope Street to reach Portsmouth Cargo Port.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 0616/ATR/090, which as shown that other than at the A288 Milton Road / A2030 Velder Avenue / Rodney Road traffic signal junction, all manoeuvres can be accommodated by the existing highway layout.


## Joint Bay 31: south west corner of Milton Common accessed from Moorings Way

- The cable drum delivery vehicle would use A3 Commercial Way, A3 Marketway, A3 Anglesea Road, A2030 Winston Churchill Avenue, A2030 Victoria Road North and A2030 Goldsmith Avenue before turning onto:
- Fratton Way / Rodney Road: a single-carriageway road which provides access into Fratton industrial estate and subject to a 30mph speed limit;
- A2030 Velder Avenue: a single-carriageway road with one lane northbound and two lanes southbound, subject to a 30 mph speed limit; and
- Moorings Way: a single-carriageway residential road, subject to a 20 mph speed limit
- On Moorings Way, the cable drum delivery vehicles will pull off carriageway and alongside the Joint Bays in order for the cable drums to be offloaded. On exit, cable drum delivery vehicles would be required to complete a three-point turn using Warren Avenue under banksman control.
- Cable drum delivery vehicles leaving the site would continue southbound along the A2030 Velder Avenue, Fratton Way / Rodney Road, A2030 Goldsmith Avenue, A2030 Victoria Road North, A2030 Winston Churchill Avenue, A3 Anglesea Road, A3 Marketway and A3 Hope Street to reach Portsmouth Cargo Port.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 0616/ATR/100, which as shown that other than at the A288 Milton Road / A2030 Velder Avenue / Rodney Road traffic signal junction, all manoeuvres can be accommodated by the existing highway layout. A number of TTRO's may also be required to temporarily suspend on-street parking on parts of Moorings Way to facilitate cable drum delivery.

Joint Bay 33: within the grassed area south of Portsmouth University sports pitches, accessed via Locksway Road

- The cable drum delivery vehicle would use via A3 Commercial Way, A3 Marketway, A3 Anglesea Road, A2030 Winston Churchill Avenue, A2030 Victoria Road North and A2030 Goldsmith Avenue before turning onto:
- Fratton Way / Rodney Road: a single-carriageway road which provides access into Fratton industrial estate and subject to a 30 mph speed limit;
- A288 Milton Road: a wide single-carriageway with one lane northbound and two lanes southbound, subject to a 30 mph speed limit; and
- Locksway Road / Longshore Way: Single-carriageway mainly residential roads which also provides access to St James' Hospital and University of Portsmouth Langstone Campus, subject to a 20 mph speed limit.
- At the A288 Milton Road / A2030 Velder Avenue / Rodney Road traffic signal junction, cable drum delivery vehicles would be required to use the westbound exit lane (to Rodney Road) to turn right at the junction to avoid overrunning traffic signal poles on the existing traffic islands. This is shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/200. This manoeuvre would be completed with support from escort vehicles to manage vehicle conflicts at the junction.
- Access to the grassed area to the south of University of Portsmouth land would be completed in reverse and would be controlled by banksmen, therefore allowing the cable drum delivery vehicles to exit in forward gear. To facilitate access by cable drum delivery vehicles it may be necessary to temporarily remove the existing sign and traffic island at the access junction, as shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/200. On exit, the cable drum delivery vehicles would head north along Milton Road and A2030 Eastern Road to reach the A27.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/200, 201 and 202 shows that some vehicle overrun occurs on entry and exit at the Milton Road / Locksway Road mini-roundabout. Existing bollards at this roundabout would therefore need to be temporarily removed to facilitate access and reinstated once the cable drums have been delivered.
- A number of TTROs would be required on Locksway Road / Longshore Way to temporarily restrict on-street car parking when the cable drum is being delivered. These restrictions would be kept to a minimum.


## Joint Bay 35: within the southern edge of Bransbury Park

- The cable drum delivery vehicle would use via A3 Commercial Way, A3 Marketway, A3 Anglesea Road, A2030 Winston Churchill Avenue, A2030 Victoria Road North and A2030 Goldsmith Avenue before turning onto:
- Fratton Way / Rodney Road: a single-carriageway road which provides access into Fratton industrial estate and subject to a 30 mph speed limit;
- A288 Milton Road: a wide single-carriageway with on-street parking passing through Milton local centre, subject to a 30mph speed limit; and
- Bransbury Road: a wide single-carriageway residential road with on-street parking, subject to a 30 mph speed limit.
- The same manoeuvre would be required at the A288 Milton Road / A2030 Velder Avenue / Rodney Road traffic signal junction as described for access to Joint Bay 33.
- Access to the south of Bransbury Park will be gained via Bransbury Park car park and the existing access junction. Entry and exit from the site would be in forward gear, controlled by banksmen. The existing gate and fence would need to be temporarily removed to facilitate access by cable drum delivery vehicles.
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 0616/ATR/302 and 304 has shown that all manoeuvres can be accommodated by the existing highway layout, including on-street parking that occurs on Milton Road and Bransbury Road.
- On exit, the cable drum delivery vehicles would head north along Milton Road and A2030 Eastern Road to reach the A27. At the A288 Milton Road / Goldsmith Avenue traffic signal junction the cable drum delivery vehicles would need to use the southbound exit lane to travel through the junction to avoid overrunning traffic signal poles on the existing traffic islands. This is shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/303. This manoeuvre would be completed with support from escort vehicles to manage vehicle conflicts at the junction.


## Joint 36: Landfall at Fort Cumberland open space car park (Transition Joint Bay)

- The cable drum delivery vehicle would use via A3 Commercial Way, A3 Marketway, A3 Anglesea Road, A2030 Winston Churchill Avenue, A2030 Victoria Road North and A2030 Goldsmith Avenue before turning onto:
- Fratton Way / Rodney Road: a single-carriageway road which provides access into Fratton industrial estate and subject to a 30 mph speed limit;
- A288 Milton Road: a wide single-carriageway with on-street parking passing through Milton local centre, subject to a 30mph speed limit;
- Bransbury Road: a wide single-carriageway residential road with on-street parking, subject to a 30mph speed limit;
- Henderson Road: a wide single-carriageway with on-street parking, subject to a 30mph speed limit; and
- Fort Cumberland Road: a single-carriageway residential road with some onstreet parking, subject to a 30 mph speed limit.
- The same manoeuvre would be required at the A288 Milton Road / A2030 Velder Avenue / Rodney Road traffic signal junction as described for access to Joint Bay 33.
- Access to the Fort Cumberland car park would be in the vicinity of the existing access junction, albeit facilitated by construction of a temporary construction access point shown at location AC/10/c on Sheet 10 of the Access and Rights of Way Plans (REP6-012). Entry and exit from the site would be in forward gear, controlled by banksmen.
- On exit, the cable drum delivery vehicles would head north along Milton Road and A2030 Eastern Road to reach the A27, with the same manoeuvre required at the A288 Milton Road / Goldsmith Avenue traffic signal junction as described for access to Joint Bay 35 .
- The swept path analysis of this route shown on Drawing 62100616/ATR/300, 301, 302 and 303 has shown that all manoeuvres can be accommodated by the existing highway layout, with the exception of manoeuvres through the A288 Milton Road / A2030 Velder Avenue / Rodney Road and A288 Milton Road / Goldsmith Avenue traffic signal junctions described above. This includes onstreet parking that occurs on Milton Road, Bransbury Road and Henderson Road.
2.5.1.3. The updates to indicative Joint Bay locations has not altered the conclusion drawn in paragraph 3.9.4.72 of the STA. The assessment has shown that access by cable drum delivery vehicles is achievable in all circumstances representative of where Joint Bays may be located, evidencing an achievable solution for the delivery of the entire Onshore Cable Route. Use of either TTROs to restrict on-street parking or temporary removal and reinstatement of street furniture would be required for the short durations that the cable drum delivery vehicles are present in some locations. Powers to facilitate such measures are included for within the Draft DCO along with the requirement for the reinstatement of any alterations after construction is complete to the satisfaction of the relevant highway authority.


## 3. <br> COLLISION ANALYSIS

### 3.1. INTRODUCTION

3.1.1.1. This Chapter provides a summary of the additional technical submissions completed since Deadline 1 in relation to road safety across the local and strategic highway networks

### 3.2. ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENT OF ROAD SAFETY IMPACTS ON PORTSMOUTH CITY COUNCIL HIGHWAY NETWORK

3.2.1.1. At a meeting dated 11/08/20 to discuss the Applicant's submission of the Eastern Road Further Traffic Assessment Technical Note (Appendix E of the STA) (REP1142), PCC noted that there was a concerns that the impacts of traffic redistribution and increased queuing on road safety had not been fully assessed within this document. This concern was also raised by PCC in their Local Impact Report (REP1173).
3.2.1.2. In responses to these comments the Applicant has completed a Road Safety Technical Note (REP6-071), submitted at Deadline 6. This considered the road safety implications of the traffic management measures required to facilitate construction of the Onshore Cable Route and resultant traffic reassignment through further detailed analysis of traffic flow increases across the PCC highway network. In doing so, this note included the following additional assessment to that included within the STA:

- A further assessment of the impact of increased temporary queueing at junction which may occur as a result of the proposed works;
- Further assessments of the impact of temporary increased traffic flows on links which are anticipated to experience an increase in traffic flows as a result of vehicles redistributing away from the proposed construction works on the Onshore Cable Corridor; and
- An assessment of the possible road safety implications at traffic management locations on the Onshore Cable Corridor.
3.2.1.3. A summary of this assessment is provided below for reference.
3.2.2. ASSESSMENT OF JUNCTIONS
3.2.2.1. Based upon analysis completed within the TA (APP-448) and STA (REP1-142), an assessment was completed of junctions within the study area and within PCC's jurisdiction that experience increases in vehicle queues above the 50 m between the DM and DS scenarios.
3.2.2.2. This was completed to provide an assessment of the impact of predicted temporary increases in queue lengths at junctions with respect to subsequent impact on upstream receptors. The junctions identified for assessment were as follows:
- A2030 Eastern Road / Anchorage Road Traffic Signal Junction;
- A2030 Eastern Road / Airport Service Road Traffic Signal Junction;
- A2030 Eastern Road / Burrfields Road Traffic Signal Junction;
- A2030 Eastern Road / Hayling Avenue Priority T-Junction;
- Copnor Road / Burrfields Road Traffic Signal Junction; and
- A3 Mile End Road / Church Street / Hope Street / Commercial Road Signalised Roundabout.
3.2.2.3. Of the junctions assessed, only the Church Street / Commercial Road /Hope Street / A3 Mile End Road junction was considered to lead to some temporary adverse impacts on road safety during the construction period. This is a result of forecast queue lengths extending back through upstream junctions on Church Street and Commercial Road approaches which may increase risks of collisions between vehicles using these junctions.


## Mitigation of Impacts

3.2.2.4. To mitigate this potential impact it is recommended that temporary signage is installed at upstream junction advising driver not to block junctions when queueing, which would be secured by the traffic management strategies for construction works on A2030 Eastern Road.

### 3.2.3. ASSESSMENT OF HIGHWAY LINKS

3.2.3.1 Further to the assessment contained within Chapter 11 of the TA (APP-448) and updated road safety and accident analysis contained within Chapter 4 of the STA (REP1-142), this additional analysis of highway links provided an assessment of traffic flow increases and potential associated road safety implications on sensitive receptors.
3.2.3.2. This assessment considered highway links categorised as having a medium or high sensitivity within the Chapter 22 of the ES (APP-137) and ES Addendum (REP1-139) were considered and additionally links which are cycle routes, obvious routes to nurseries / schools, roads with shops / community facilities or roads where two-way traffic flow was hindered by on-street parking.
3.2.3.3. The assessment of road safety implications was based upon a quantitative and qualitative approach using the forecast traffic flow changes between the SRTM DoMinimum and Do-Something scenarios and the characteristics of each street, including link sensitivity derived in Chapter 22 of the ES and ES Addendum, to determine potential safety impacts of increased traffic. The impacts reported are therefore considered as the environmental effect of the Proposed Development, taking into account the matrix for classifying the significance of effects shown in Table 22.6 of the Chapter 22 of the ES (APP-137).
3.2.3.4. In summary, this assessment concluded there would be moderate adverse effects on road safety on the following links within the PCC highway network before the consideration of appropriate mitigation:

- Evelegh Road (Section 5): As a result of the increase in traffic flows past Solent Infant School;
- Gilman Road (Section 5): As a result of traffic flow increases associated with construction work taking place on Havant Road and / or the closure of Farlington Avenue;
- Grove Road (Section 6): As a result of the increase in traffic in proximity to Springfield School;
- Station Road (Section 6): As a result of the increase in traffic in proximity to Springfield School; and
- Dundas Lane (Section 8): As a result of the increase in traffic in proximity to Admiral Lord Nelson School.


## Mitigation of Impacts

3.2.3.5 To mitigate these impacts, a number of specific measures are included within the FTMS (REP6-030) which are summarised below and which are in addition to the following holistic mitigations:

- Communication Strategy: The proposed Communication Strategy for the construction stage of the Proposed Development is identified within the Onshore Cable Route Construction Impacts on Access to Properties and Car Parking and Communication Strategy (Appendix 1 of the FTMS (REP6-030)). This document sets out proposals to communicate all upcoming and current construction works with the traveling public and other key stakeholders, which includes local businesses, residential associations and schools thereby ensuring that informed travel choices can be made during this period.
- The Framework CTMP (REP6-032) includes the provision of a road safety and liaison officer who will be responsible for the monitoring of traffic management measures, the proactive management of road safety and responding to road safety problems which may occur during construction of the Onshore Cable Route. This road safety officer will also oversee the implementation of all measures which aid road safety management, including the implementation and crucially review of the signage strategy together with capturing feedback / lessons learned in relation to traffic management operation and signage at sites. This will enable a consistent review and implementation process during the operation of all sites together with areas of traffic management as they come online.


## Evelegh Road and Gilman Road

3.2.3.6. The FTMS contained a range of programme restrictions to mitigate the direct impact of construction works and indirect impacts associated with traffic reassigning onto alternative routes. While the scenario modelled in the SRTM included construction works on Havant Road at the Farlington Avenue / Havant Road / A2030 Eastern Road traffic signal junction, the forecast impacts are also representative of construction works on Farlington Avenue, which will require a full road closure. However, as wok requiring the full closure of Farlington Avenue is restricted to the school holidays this will mitigate the road safety impacts associated with traffic flows in proximity to Solent Infant School.
3.2.3.7. The Framework Signage Strategy contained within Appendix 3 of the FTMS also contained the following measures to mitigate the potential for traffic flow increases along Evelegh Road. Gilman Road and other residential roads in the vicinity of Farlington Avenue / Havant Road:

- During the full road closure of Farlington Avenue, signed diversion routes will also be installed between the Havant Road / Farlington Avenue and Portsdown Hill Road / Farlington Avenue junctions to direct traffic along suitable routes. These will be accompanied by signage on residential roads to the east and west of Farlington Avenue that will discourage reassignment along these routes.
- Advanced warning or Variable Message Sign (VMS) signs will be located at the A3 London Road / Portsdown Hill Road junction, A27 / A2030 Eastern Road roundabout, A3 London Road / Spur Road / A397 / Southampton Road roundabout and $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ Junction 5 to communicate upcoming or current construction works, thereby allowing drivers of use suitable alternative routes.
3.2.3.8. Should construction take place on Havant Road during the school term that results in traffic flow increases on Evelegh Road traffic marshalling can also be provided These traffic marshals will help direct and manage traffic flow in the vicinity of the school at the start and end of the school day and will provide regular / responsive communication to the designated road safety officer to ensure that any issues that may arise can be identified and resolved.
3.2.3.9. It is the view of the Applicant that these measures will mitigate the road safety impacts identified on Evelegh Road and Gilman Road in the Road Safety Note.


## Grove Road and Station Road

3.2.3.10. The mitigation of road safety impacts associated with increased traffic flows on Grove Road, Station Road and in proximity to Springfield School will be achieved using similar strategies as those identified for Evelegh Road and Gilman Road above. For example, the programme restrictions contained within the FTMS that prohibit the full closure of Farlington Avenue to school holidays will fully mitigate traffic reassigning within the vicinity of Springfield School as a result of these works during term time.
3.2.3.11. The Framework Signage Strategy proposed that signs to discourage use of routes will be placed at the northern and southern end of South Road, Station Road and Lower Drayton lane in addition to those measures identified in Paragraph 3.2.3.7. The combination of these will encourage drivers to use suitable alternative routes and avoid the use of Grove Road and Station Road to reassign away from the works.
3.2.3.12. Should construction take place on Havant Road during school term time, traffic marshalling will also be provided in the vicinity of Springfield School to mitigate road safety impacts associated with residual traffic reassignment onto these links.
3.2.3.13. Taking these measures into account, the Applicant considers that the road safety impacts identified on Grove Road and Station Road will be mitigated.

## Dundas Lane

3.2.3.14. The Road Safety Note identified a potential road safety impact associated with increased traffic flows in the vicinity of Admiral Lord Nelson school as traffic reassigns away from construction works on the A2030 Eastern Road. The main mitigation of this impact will be the programme restrictions contained within the FTMS, which will permit construction work on the A2030 Eastern Road during the school term only during June and the first half of July.
3.2.3.15. Furthermore, the Framework Signage Strategy proposed use of VMS and advanced warning signs across the local and strategic highway networks to ensure that drivers are aware of construction works on the A2030 Eastern Road prior to reaching it, thereby allowing numerous opportunities to reassign onto other suitable routes rather than use Dundas Lane.
3.2.3.16. Should work take place on a section of the A2030 Eastern Road that leads to traffic reassigning onto Dundas Lane it is also intended that traffic marshalling is employed to mitigate the impact of increased traffic flows in the vicinity of Admiral Lord Nelson School at the start and end of the school day. These traffic marshals will help direct and manage traffic flow in the vicinity of the school at the start and end of the school day and will provide regular / responsive communication to the designated road safety officer to ensure that any issues that may arise can be identified and resolved.
3.2.3.17. With these measures in place, it is the Applicant's view that the road safety impacts identified will be mitigated.
3.2.4. ASSESSMENT OF TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT LOCATIONS
3.2.4.1. An assessment of the possible road safety implications at traffic management locations on the Onshore Cable Corridor was also completed in relation to the impacts of predicted queue lengths resulting from either shuttle working traffic signals or single lane closures.

This assessment showed that the following traffic management locations would result of queueing through upstream junctions:

- B2177 Portsdown Hill Road (Section 4); and
- A2030 Eastern Road (Section 8).
3.2.4.2. In these circumstances the road safety impacts of traffic queueing through upstream junctions will be mitigated through the installation of 'Keep Clear' or 'Do Not Block Junction' signs for the duration of construction works, which will be secured during the detailed traffic management strategies for each location. This is also considered to be normal practice for the mitigation of such impacts to be mitigated as far as possible given the design, implementation and operation of temporary traffic management is governed by Chapter 8 of the Traffic Signs Manual and the Streetworks Code of Conduct.


### 3.2.5. CONCLUSION

3.2.5.1. These additional assessments have demonstrated that while there will be temporary impact on various receptors as a result of the Proposed Development, these will be manageable through the measures identified within the FTMS (REP6-030) and Framework CTMP (REP6-032).

### 3.3. ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENT OF ROAD SAFETY IMPACTS ON HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCILS HIGHWAY NETWORK

3.3.1.1. At Deadline 6 the Applicant submitted the HCC Road Safety Technical Note (REP6075). This document has been produced in response to post-application discussions held with Hampshire County Council (HCC), Portsmouth City Council (PCC) and Highways England (HE) at a meeting dated 8/12/20 to discuss the Statement of Common Ground (SOCG). Comments were also provided by HCC in their Deadline 5 submission (REP6-080) related to the road safety implications of increased traffic flows as result of traffic reassignment away from construction works on the Onshore Cable Corridor.
3.3.1.2. In specific response to HCC's comments this Technical Note provided a further assessment of the impact of temporary increased traffic flows on links in the study area, which are anticipated to experience an increase in traffic flows as a result of vehicles redistributing away from the proposed construction works on the Onshore Cable Corridor.
3.3.1.3. Similarly to the Technical Note completed for the PCC highway network, these additional assessments have demonstrate that while there will be temporary impact on various receptors as a result of the Proposed Development, these will be manageable through the measures identified within the FTMS (REP6-030) and Framework CTMP (REP6-032).
3.3.1.4. This assessment considered all links which were forecast to experience a change in traffic flow by $10 \%$ or more or an increase in hourly flow of more than 60 vehicles per hour, which follows stages 1 and 2 of the process used for link assessments contained within the TA (APP448).
3.3.1.5. The assessment of road safety implications was based upon a quantitative and qualitative approach, using the forecast traffic flows changes between the SRTM DoMinimum and Do-Something scenarios and the characteristics of each street, including link sensitivity derived in Chapter 22 of the ES, to determine potential safety impacts of increased traffic. The impacts reported can also therefore be considered as the environmental effect of the Proposed Development, taking into account the matrix for classifying the significance of effects shown in Table 22.6 of the Chapter 22 of the ES (APP-137).
3.3.1.6. In summary, this assessment concluded there would be moderate adverse effects on road safety on the following links within the HCC highway network before the consideration of appropriate mitigation:

- Milton Road, Waterlooville (Section 4): As a result of traffic flow increases in the vicinity of Hart Plain Junior School, Hart Plain Infant School and Cowplain Community School;
- Mill Road, Waterlooville (Section 4): As a result of traffic flow increases in the vicinity of Mill Hill Primary School and Growing Places Nursery;
- Park Avenue, Purbrook (Section 4) As a result of traffic flows increases in the vicinity of Purbrook Park School; and
- Wesbrook Grove, Purbrook: As a result of traffic flow increases in the vicinity of Purbrook Infant School.


## Mitigation of Impacts

3.3.1.7. To mitigate these impacts, a number of specific measures are included within the FTMS (REP6-030) which are in addition to the holistic mitigations identified in paragraph 3.2.3.5.
Milton Road
3.3.1.8. The Framework Signage Strategy contained within Appendix 3 of the FTMS also contained the following measures to mitigate the potential for traffic flow increases along Milton Road:

- Advanced warning or VMS signs will be located at key locations around Denmead and Waterlooville to communicate upcoming or current construction works, thereby allowing drivers of use suitable alternative routes. Locations for such signage include at the northern and western Denmead, at the Milton Road / Lovedean Lane junction and A3 London Road / Dell Piece West / Cherrington Lane traffic signal junction; and
- During construction works along B2150 Hambledon Road, signage will be installed on Hart Plain Avenue to discourage reassignment along this route.
3.3.1.9. Should construction take place on B2150 Hambledon Road during the school term that results in traffic flow increases on Milton Road traffic marshalling will also be provided at the start and end of the school day to mitigate road safety impacts associated with increased traffic flows. These traffic marshals will help direct and manage traffic flow in the vicinity of the school at the start and end of the school day and will provide regular / responsive communication to the designated road safety officer to ensure that any issues that may arise can be identified and resolved.
Mill Road / Park Avenue / Westbrook Grove
3.3.1.10. Traffic reassignment along these routes will primarily occur during periods when shuttle working traffic signals is required on the A3 London Road to facilitate construction of the Onshore Cable Route. However the programme restrictions contained within the FTMS, which will permit the use of shuttle working traffic signals on A3 London Road in the school term only during June and the first half of July, will provide the main mitigation of the impacts on road safety.
3.3.1.11. Furthermore, the locations of signs shown in the Framework Signage Strategy will:
- Direct drivers away from the A3 London Road primarily onto the $A 3(M)$ rather than routing down other less suitable routes;
- Direct local traffic to use Stakes Hill Road / Frendstaple Road and College Road rather than other less suitable routes such as Mill Road, Park Avenue and Westbrook Grove; and
- Discourage use of routes which may be sensitive to traffic flow increases associated with reassigned traffic, including Mill Road, Park Avenue and Westbrook Grove
3.3.1.12. Should construction take place on A3 London Road during the school term traffic marshalling will also be provided at the start and end of the school day to mitigate road safety impacts associated with increased traffic flows on Mill Road, Park Avenue and Westbrook Grove. These traffic marshals will help direct and manage traffic flow in the vicinity of the school at the start and end of the school day and will provide regular / responsive communication to the designated road safety officer to ensure that any issues that may arise can be identified and resolved.
3.3.2.

CONCLUSION
3.3.2.1. These additional assessments have demonstrated that while there will be temporary impact on various receptors as a result of the Proposed Development, these will be manageable through the measures identified within the FTMS (REP6-030) and Framework CTMP (REP6-032).

### 3.4. ASSESSMENT OF STRATEGIC ROAD NETWORK JUNCTIONS

3.4.1.1. Further to the analysis of Personal Injury Collision (PIC) records assessed within Chapter 4 of the STA, additional analysis has been completed to assess the potential impacts of the Proposed Development on road safety at junctions with the Strategic Road network. This was requested in Annex B of Highways England Deadline 4 submission (REP4-043). This additional analysis is included within the Technical Note entitled 'Collision Analysis on Highways England Roads', which is submitted into the examination at Deadline 7 as Appendix 1 of this STA Addendum. It includes an analysis of PIC records at the following junctions:

- Junction 2, A3 (M);
- Junction 3, A3 (M);
- A27 / A2030 Eastern Road junction; and
- Portsbridge Roundabout.
3.4.1.2. The conclusion of this Technical Note is that the Proposed Development is not considered to have any material impact on existing accident trends at the junctions assessed. This is because any changes in traffic flow do not see increases in traffic flows that are material without the Proposed Development in place, the analysis of which has been based on a worst case in any event.

4. 

## TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT

4.1. A3(M) JUNCTION 2 AND 3 FURTHER JUNCTION CAPACITY ASSESSMENTS
4.1.1.1. As requested by Highways England Annex D of Highways England Deadline 1 submission (REP1-208) additional assessments of Junction 2, A3 (M) have been undertaken in Technical Note HE03, which is included in Appendix 2 of this STA Addendum. This Technical Note supersedes paragraphs 1.12.4.7 to 1.12.4.9 of the Transport Assessment (APP-448) and Section 5.3 .4 of the STA (REP1-142).
4.1.1.2. For each junction, the following Do-Minimum and Do-Something scenarios have been assessed following on from comments included in (REP1-208) and discussions held between the Applicant and Highways England on the draft version of the TN03:

1. Sensitivity modelling using ARCADY lane simulation;
2. Sensitivity testing without traffic generated from nearby committed development sites and the committed highway mitigation schemes associated with them;
3. Sensitivity testing with the traffic generated from nearby committed development sites and the committed highway mitigation schemes associated with them; and
4. Sensitivity using 2019 traffic survey data with TEMRPO growth applied to estimate 2026 traffic flows in combination with scenarios 2 and 3.
4.1.1.3. The conclusions of these assessments is that the Proposed Development will not materially worsen the operation of $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ Junction 2 and 3.

## 5. <br> SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

5.1.1.1 This STA Addendum has been completed following technical submissions at Deadline 6 and 7 by the Applicant that require clarification of information or updates to assessments contained within the STA:

- Day Lane Technical Note (REP6-073), which has provided an updated strategy for the management of HGVs along Day Lane during the construction period of the Proposed Development;
- Joint Bay Technical Note (REP6-070), which has provided indicative Joint bay locations along the Onshore Cable Route and subsequently required updates to cable drum delivery assessments included within the STA for clarity;
- Technical Note 'Collision Analysis on Highways England Roads’ which has provided an assessment of the anticipated road safety impacts at SRN junctions during construction of the Proposed Development; and
- Additional junction capacity assessments of A3(M) Junction 2 and 3 contained within Technical Note 'HE03 - Response to Highways England Technical Note TN03'.
5.1.1.2. For each of the above submissions, this STA Addendum has summarised the relevant content and provides an updated assessment where necessary. In conclusion, the assessments completed within this document do not alter the findings of the TA or the STA.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1.1.1. This Technical Note (HE04) has been prepared in response to representations made by Highways England (HE) and Portsmouth City Council (PCC) in relation to the submission documents for the AQUIND Interconnector DCO application ("the Proposed Development"). Comments were made in HE's Deadline 4 Submission Annex B (REP4-043) entitled 'Aquind Interconnector - Review of Collision Analyses' and in paragraph 96 of PCC's Deadline 4 Submission - Comments on responses to deadline 3 (REP4-036) as well as at a meeting held with the Applicant, Hampshire County Council, PCC and HE on $8^{\text {th }}$ December 2020. These comments refer to the need for a review of collision data to be completed at the following four junctions of the Strategic Road Network (SRN):

- A3(M) Junction 2;
- A3(M) Junction 3;
- Junction of A27 / A2030 Eastern Road; and
- Junction of A27 / A3 / M27 / A397 (Portsbridge Roundabout).
1.1.1.2. $\quad$ Therefore, using recorded collision data provided for this area by Hampshire Constabulary covering a five-year period between 01/10/2014 and 30/09/2019, this Technical Note assesses the following:
- Reported collisions at the above junctions including slip roads and a minimum of 200m on all other approaches;
- Causation factors of the collisions;
- Casualty Types (Pedestrian/Motorcycle/Car etc);
- Severity of collisions;
- Any common patterns of collisions, e.g. intoxication / manoeuvre error / weather / road condition / speeding etc.;
- Any common patterns of collision type, e.g. rear shunt; and
- Any common patterns of location e.g. slip roads.
1.1.1.3. The aim of this assessment is to identify existing accident cluster sites at the four Strategic Road Network (SRN) junctions and if construction of the Proposed Development will exacerbate existing trends as a result of the reassignment of traffic away from traffic management associated with construction of the Onshore Cable Route. All assessments of traffic flow impact at each SRN junction are based on outputs from the Sub-Regional Transport Model (SRTM), which has been used to assess the future year baseline and construction stage impacts of the Proposed Development. In the SRTM modelling, it has been assumed that six 100 m sections along the Onshore Cable Corridor will be under construction at any one time. This is in line with the construction programme which assumes a maximum of six sections of the Onshore Cable Route being constructed at any one time, as secured by the draft Development Consent Order; the specific combination of locations was agreed with HCC and PCC as part of the TA scoping exercise.
1.1.1.4. $\quad$ Further details of the SRTM modelling are provided within the Supplementary Transport Assessment (REP1-142) but as a summary the impacts of the proposed traffic management has been modelled across the following scenarios:
- 2026 Do Minimum (DM) Scenario: the future baseline without the Proposed Development;
- 2026 Do Something 1 (DS1) Scenario: traffic management to facilitate the construction of the Onshore Cable Route is in place at the six specified locations but on the A2030 Eastern Road lane closures apply to the southbound carriageway only; and
- 2026 Do Something 2 (DS2) Scenario: traffic management is in place at the six specified locations but with lane closures on the northbound carriageway along the A2030 Eastern Road
1.1.1.5. The 2026 Do Minimum scenario outlines future year traffic conditions without the Proposed Development. In this sense its sole purpose is to provide the baseline for comparison. For the two Do Something Scenarios, 2026 was selected as the forecast year most aligned to the anticipated timescales of the Proposed Development and reflective of available future years of the SRTM.


### 1.1.2 ADDITIONAL JUNCTION CAPACITY ASSESSMENTS OF A3(M) JUNCTION 2 AND 3

1.1.2.1. Further to the junction capacity assessments included within the STA and as requested by Highways England in Annex D of thier Deadline 1 submission (REP1208), additional assessments of Junction 2, A3 (M) have been undertaken in Technical Note HE03, which is included in Appendix 2 of the STA Addendum. This Technical Note supersedes paragraphs 1.12.4.7 to 1.12.4.9 of the Transport Assessment (APP-448) and Section 5.3.4 of the STA (REP1-142).
1.1.2.2. For each junction, the following Do-Minimum and Do-Something scenarios have been assessed following on from comments included in (REP1-208) and discussions held between the Applicant and Highways England on the draft version of the TN03:

1. Sensitivity modelling using ARCADY lane simulation;
2. Sensitivity testing without traffic generated from nearby committed development sites and the committed highway mitigation schemes associated with them;
3. Sensitivity testing with the traffic generated from nearby committed development sites and the committed highway mitigation schemes associated with them; and
4. Sensitivity using 2019 traffic survey data with TEMRPO growth applied to estimate 2026 traffic flows in combination with scenarios 2 and 3.
1.1.2.3. HEO3 also included details of increased traffic flows at A3 (M) Junction 2 and 3 when comparing 2019 traffic surveys against the 2026 DM, DS1 and DS2 scenarios. This information on traffic flows and updated junction capacity assessments have been taken into account in the assessment of collision data and the potential for the proposed development to exacerbate existing accident trends at these junctions.
1.1.2.4. In consideration of the impacts reported in HE 03 it is also noted that the assessments were considered to be very robust because the DS1 and DS2 scenarios used a worstcase scenario for the location of traffic management associated with the Proposed Development (three traffic management locations), the cumulative effect of which leads to a high level of modelled traffic re-assignment onto A3(M) junctions 2 and 3. However this will not occur due to the programme restrictions in the FTMS (REP1068), which allow only one of the three modelled traffic management locations take place at any one time. These programme restrictions and FTMS are secured via protective provisions contained in the draft Development Consent Order.
1.1.2.5. The remainder of this Technical Note is set-out in the following sections:

- Section 2 reviews the collision data for A3(M) Junction 2;
- Section 3 reviews the collision data for A3(M) Junction 3;
- Section 4 reviews the collision data for the junction of A27 / A2030 Eastern Road;
- Section 5 reviews the collision data for the Portsbridge Roundabout; and
- Section 6 gives a summary and conclusions of the Technical Note.


## 2. A3(M) JUNCTION 2

### 2.1. INTRODUCTION

2.1.1.1. This Analysis covers $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ Junction 2 which includes $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ (North), Dell Piece East B2149, A3(M) (South) and Dell Piece West B2149. The analysis of the data also includes all the slip roads of the A3 (M) which form a part of the junction and a minimum of 200 m on all other approaches. Where patterns are identified, these are highlighted for information.

### 2.2. COLLISION ANALYSIS

2.2.1.1. There has been a total of 25 recorded collisions in this location over a period of five years, of which one was serious, and all others involved only slight injuries. Of the 25 collisions, one involved a motorcycle, one involved an LGV and the remaining collisions all involved only cars. The causes of collisions were as follows (some collisions had more than one cause):

- 24 were due to human error - various factors related to human error that are not separately listed below (e.g. speeding is listed separately as a specific human error);
- Two were due to weather-related factors;
- Two were due to intoxication;
- One was due to speeding;
- One was due to distraction outside the vehicle; and
- One was due to an unspecified cause (which was not clear from collision description).
2.2.1.2. Figure 21 shows the location of collisions and Table 2-1 summarises the police collision reports for collisions that resulted in severe injuries. A full summary of accidents recorded is included in Appendix 1 for reference.

Figure 2-1 - Junction 2, A3(M) Collision Plot
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Table 2.1 - Junction 2, A3(M) Collision Reports Summary

| Police Ref | Road User <br> Types (other <br> than car or <br> van) | Severity | Description / Causation Factors |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 44190021102 | Motorcycle | Serious | Veh1 M/Cycle) travelling S along <br> A3(M) on-slip joined the main <br> carriageway and collided with the <br> nearside of veh2 (car) travelling S <br> along A3(M) in lane one. |
|  |  |  |  |

2.2.1.3. The collisions were mostly caused by human error. It is appropriate to consider further if these errors might have been in some way influenced by any locationspecific factor such as the design of the junction; so as to determine if reassignment of traffic through this junction could exacerbate existing accident trends. To do this, the types and exact locations of collisions are considered in further detail below.
2.2.1.4. A total of 21 collisions were rear end collisions, of which:

- Nine occurred on the slip roads;
- Six occurred on the approaches to the roundabout from B2149;
- Four occurred elsewhere on B2149; and
- Two occurred on $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ mainline carriageway.
2.2.1.5. All nine of the rear-end collisions that occurred on the off-slips were at the location where the off-slips from the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ merge with the roundabout.
2.3. IMPACT OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT
2.3.1.1. It can be expected that rear-end collisions would be in the majority at a gradeseparated roundabout junction as most traffic does not interact with traffic moving in a different direction; and the wide carriageway space would reduce the likelihood of side-swipe collisions.
2.3.1.2. In terms of locations, the exact locations are fairly evenly distributed with no concentration on any particular part of the junction (such as the slip roads). This therefore corroborates the view that reassignment of traffic to this junction would not be intensifying use of a particularly hazardous junction as the data do not suggest any location-specific factor which might indicate a flaw in the design of part of the junction.
2.3.1.3. However, for completeness, an assessment has been undertaken of the possible impact of traffic flow increases and peak hour at this junction at this
junction, with reference to the submitted technical note HE03. Specifically related to the Highways England SRN, a hazard that could potentially be caused by traffic flow increases at a grade-separated junction such at the A3(M) Junction 2 is increased queuing on the off-slip roads. This could cause fast-moving traffic to join the back of an off-slip road queue and brake too late.
2.3.1.4. While queuing occurs at most junctions during peak hours, there is potentially more of a concern where a slip road leaves a mainline carriageway because only the exiting traffic would be slowing, so such traffic would be less likely to start to decelerate before joining the off-slip.
2.3.1.5. In this case, all of the rear-end collisions that occurred on the off-slips were at the location where the off-slips from the A3(M) merge with the roundabout, so this is not an existing problem at A3M Junction 2. An assessment however has been undertaken to confirm if the Proposed Development may lead to such an issue occurring, using the junction modelling results from HE03.
2.3.1.6. The traffic flow changes at A3M Junction 2 are summarised in Table 4 of Section 2 of HE03; these are reproduced below in Table 2.2. This traffic flow comparison shows that the Proposed Development is anticipated to lead to an overall reduction in traffic using the junction in the AM peak and an slight increase in traffic during the PM peak

Table 2.2 - SRTM Traffic Flows, A3M Junction 2

|  | 2026 Assessed <br> DM Scenario | 2026 Assessed <br> DS1 Scenario | 2026 Assessed <br> DS2 Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak | 4,007 | 3,989 | 3,985 |
| PM Peak | 414 | $(-18$ vehicles $)$ | $(-22$ vehicles $)$ |
|  |  | 4,097 | 4,094 |
|  |  | $(+183$ vehicles $)$ | $(+180$ vehicles $)$ |

### 2.3.2. A3(M) JUNCTION 2 EXISTING LAYOUT

2.3.2.1. The above flows were used in the assessments in Section 3 of HE03 in which lane simulation was used in Arcady to assess the impacts of the Proposed Development on the existing layout of the junction. The results for the 2026 Scenarios are in Tables 8 to 10 of HE03 with predicted queue lengths summarised in Tables 2-3 and 2-4 below.

Table 2.3 - Junction 2, A3 (M) Existing Layout AM Peak Junction Modelling Queue Lengths

| Arm | Lane | DM <br> Scenario | DS1 Scenario | DS2 Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue <br> (PCU) | Queue <br> (PCU) | Queue <br> (PCU) |
| Dell Piece East | 1 (left / ahead) | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 21 | 12 | 13 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right U-turn) | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 1 (left / ahead) | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right U-turn) | 8 | 9 | 9 |

Table 2.4 - Junction 2, A3 (M) Existing Layout PM Peak Junction Modelling Queue Lengths

| Arm | Lane | DM Scenario | DS1 Scenario | DS2 Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| Dell Piece East | 1 (left / ahead) | 4 | 4 | 3 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { (right / U- } \\ & \text { turn) } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2 (ahead/ right / U-turn) | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 1 (left / ahead) | 7 | 2 | 2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { (right / U- } \\ & \text { turn) } \end{aligned}$ | 37 | 34 | 40 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 (ahead/ right / U-turn) | 1 | 1 | 2 |

2.3.2.2. The data in the above tables shows that the Proposed Development is not forecast to have material impact on the operation of the junction and forecast queue lengths.
2.3.2.3. The A3M junction 2 off-slip roads have lengths of approximately 360 metres and 270 metres, south and north respectively, with the worst-case forecast queue length increase experienced on the $A 3(M)$ North approach where it increases from 8 PCUs (48m) to 9 vehicles (54m). As such, the impact of the Proposed Development is not expected to materially change the collision risk at A3M Junction 2 when considering the existing layout.
2.3.3. A3(M) JUNCTION 2 COMMITTED IMPROVEMENT SCHEME
2.3.3.1. Further to the assessment of the existing layout at $A 3(M)$ Junction 2, Technical Note HE03 has also assessed the full signalisation of the junction, which is a committed junction improvement scheme associated with the development at Land East of Horndean, Rowlands Castle Road, Horndean, which proposes 800 dwellings and other complimentary uses (55562/005).
2.3.3.2. The Linsig traffic modelling results for the 2026 SRTM Scenarios with this committed junction improvements are in Tables 21 to 23 of HE03 with predicted queue lengths summarised in Tables 2-5 and 2-6 below.

Table 2.5 - Junction 2, A3 (M) Full Signalisation AM Peak Junction Modelling Queue Lengths

| Arm | DM Scenario | DS1 Scenario | DS2 Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| Dell Piece East | 31 | 29 | 29 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| B2149 Dell Piece <br> West | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 41 | 20 | 20 |
| Circulatory (east) | 33 | 29 | 29 |
| Circulatory (south) | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Circulatory (west) | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Circulatory (north) | 5 | 15 | 15 |

Table 2.6 - Junction 2, A3 (M) Full Signalisation PM Peak Junction Modelling Queue Lengths

| Arm | DM Scenario | DS1 Scenario | DS2 Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| Dell Piece East | 18 | 19 | 18 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 69 | 67 | 67 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| Circulatory (east) | 11 | 14 | 10 |
| Circulatory (south) | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Circulatory (west) | 47 | 46 | 47 |
| Circulatory (north) | 6 | 8 | 6 |

2.3.3.3. The data in the above tables shows that the Proposed Development is not forecast to have material impact on the operation of the junction and forecast queue lengths. As such, the impact of the Proposed Development is not expected to materially change the collision risk at A3M Junction 2 when considering the assessment of the committed junction improvement scheme at this junction with the SRTM traffic flows.

## A3(M) Junction 2 committed improvement scheme Alternative Future Year Assessment

2.3.3.4. Further discussions held between the Applicant and HE, at a meeting date 18th November 2020, also led to a request for additional lane simulation assessments to be undertaken calculated on the basis of observed traffic flows for Junction 2 of the A3 (M). These are discussed further in Section 5 of HE03, with the junction modelling results shown in Table 40 and 41, with predicted queue lengths summarised in Tables 2-7 and 2-8 below.

Table 2.7 - Junction 2, A3 (M) Full Signalisation Alternative Scenario AM Peak Junction Modelling Queue Lengths

| Arm | DM Scenario | Combined DS <br> Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| Dell Piece East | 25 | 24 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 6 | 6 |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 11 | 12 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 7 | 7 |
| Circulatory (east) | 13 | 13 |
| Circulatory (south) | 5 | 3 |
| Circulatory (west) | 8 | 10 |
| Circulatory (north) | 14 | 2 |

Table 2.8 - Junction 2, A3 (M) Full Signalisation Alternative Scenario PM Peak Junction Modelling Queue Lengths

| Arm | DM Scenario | Combined DS <br> Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| Dell Piece East | 29 | 19 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 10 | 17 |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 9 | 7 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 12 | 15 |
| Circulatory (east) | 11 | 12 |
| Circulatory (south) | 10 | 12 |
| Circulatory (west) | 11 | 3 |


| Arm | DM Scenario | Combined DS <br> Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| Circulatory (north) | $\mathbf{1}$ | 13 |

2.3.3.5. The results set out demonstrate that predicted queue lengths are not anticipated to increase significantly except on the A3(M) North approach, which will increase from 10 PCUs ( 60 m ) to 17 vehicles (102m) in the PM peak. This queue length however can be easily accommodated within the existing slip-road which is approximately 270 m long. As such, the impact of the Proposed Development is not expected to materially change the collision risk at A3M Junction 2.

## 3. A3(M) JUNCTION 3

### 3.1. INTRODUCTION

3.1.1.1. This collision analysis covers A3(M) Junction 3 which includes A3(M) (North), Hulbert Road (West), Hulbert Road (East) and A3(M) (South). The analysis of the data also includes all the slip roads of the A3 (M) which form a part of the junction and a minimum of 200 m on all other approaches. Where patterns are identified, these are highlighted for information.

### 3.2. COLLISION ANALYSIS

3.2.1.1. There has been a total of 40 recorded collisions in this location over a period of five years of which five were serious and all others involved only slight injuries. Of the 40 collisions, one involved a pedestrian, one involved a pedal cyclist, four involved motorcycles and the remaining collisions all involved only cars. The causes of collisions were as follows (some collisions had more than one cause):

- 35 were due to human error - various factors related to human error that are not separately listed below (e.g. speeding is listed separately as a specific human error);
- Seven were due to speeding;
- Four were due to illness / disability, fatigue or intoxication;
- Two were due to weather-related factors;
- One was due to road layout;
- One was due to a tyre blowout; and
- One was due to an unspecified cause (which was not clear from collision description).
3.2.1.2. Error! Reference source not found. shows the location of collisions and Error! Reference source not found. summarises the police collision reports for collisions that resulted in severe injuries. A full summary of accidents recorded is included in Appendix 1 for reference.

Figure 3-1 - Junction 3, A3(M) Collision Plot


Document Ref.: Second Written Question Response - Appendix 1 - Technical Note providing a review of collision data at Strategic Road Network junctions

Table 3.1 - Junction 3, A3(M) Collision Reports Summary

| Police Ref | Road User <br> Types (other <br> than car or <br> van) | Severity | Description / Causation Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 2 8 0 5 6 3}$ | Motorcycle <br> $\mathbf{1 4 0 4 0 5 9 8 9}$ | Serious | Veh 1 (car) travelling SW along A3(M) <br> on-slip when vehicle suffers a blow out <br> causing vehicle to lose control and <br> collide with veh 2 (M/Cycle) before <br> colliding with the barrier. Rider of veh <br> 2 fell off during collision. |
| $\mathbf{1 4 0 4 1 0 7 8 4}$ |  | Serious | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A3(M) <br> southbound junction 3 on-slip lost <br> control and collided with the offside <br> barrier. |
| $\mathbf{4 4 1 8 0 3 7 6 1 9 8}$ | Pedestrian | Serious | Veh 2 (car) travelling N along A3(M) <br> off-slip, begins to move onto <br> roundabout but stops again causing <br> following veh 1 (van) to move off and <br> collide with rear of veh 2. |
| Veh1 (car) travelling around the |  |  |  |
| roundabout from Hulbert Road to the |  |  |  |
| A3(M) southbound collides with |  |  |  |
| casualty 1 (pedestrian) who was |  |  |  |
| standing in the middle of the |  |  |  |
| carriageway in dark clothing. |  |  |  |\(\left|\begin{array}{l}Veh 1 (car) travelling NW along A3(M) <br>

off-slip, at the top of the slip road veh <br>
1 leaves straight ahead at the junction <br>
and collides with the barrier and a sign <br>
on the roundabout and overturns.\end{array}\right|\)
3.2.1.3. The collisions had varied causes thereby not indicating any specific pattern that would indicate a need for mitigation. Furthermore, these causes do not suggest any location-specific factor such as the design of the junction. However, in assessing hazard, it is appropriate to give some consideration also to trends in terms of the types and exact locations of collisions.
3.2.1.4. A total of 31 collisions were rear end collisions, of which:

- 19 occurred on the slip roads;
- Eight occurred on the approaches to the roundabout from Hulbert Road;

[^1]- Three occurred on A3(M) mainline carriageway; and
- One occurred on the roundabout circulatory carriageway.
3.2.1.5. From the collision data it could be observed that 18 of the rear end collisions occurred where the off-slips from $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ merge with the roundabout and one occurred elsewhere on the off slip.


### 3.3. IMPACT OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

3.3.1.1. It can be expected that rear-end collisions would be in the majority at a gradeseparated roundabout junction as most traffic does not interact with traffic moving in a different direction; and the wide carriageway space would reduce the likelihood of side-swipe collisions.
3.3.1.2. In terms of locations, the exact locations of the rear-end collisions are predominantly at the intersection of the off-slip roads with the circulatory carriageway, which might potentially suggest an existing safety issue, probably due to drivers observing on-coming traffic to their right, then entering the roundabout at speed unaware of the closeness of a vehicle right in front.
3.3.1.3. AS with A3(M) Junction 2, an assessment has been undertaken of the possible impact of traffic flow increases and peak hour at this junction at this junction, with reference to the submitted technical note HE03.
3.3.1.4. The traffic flow changes at A3M Junction 3 are summarised in Table 4 of Section 2 of HE03; these are reproduced below in Table 3.2. This traffic flow comparison shows that the Proposed Development is anticipated to lead to an a slight increase in traffic during the AM peak but a reduction in traffic during the PM peak.

Table 3.2 - Traffic Flows, A3M Junction 3

|  | 2026 Assessed <br> DM Scenario | 2026 Assessed <br> DS1 Scenario | 2026 Assessed <br> DS2 Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak | 4,535 | 4,641 | 4,693 |
| PM Peak | 4,783 | $(+160$ vehicles | $(+158$ vehicles $)$ |
|  |  | 4,741 | 4,747 |
| $(-42$ vehicles | $(-36$ vehicles $)$ |  |  |

3.3.2. A3(M) JUNCTION 3 EXISTING LAYOUT
3.3.2.1. The above flows were used in the assessments in Section 3 of HE 03 in which lane simulation was used in Arcady to assess the impacts of the Proposed Development on the existing layout of the junction. The results for the 2026 scenarios are in Tables 12 to 14 of HE03 and are reproduced below in Tables 3-3 to 3-4.

[^2]Table 3.3 - Junction 3, A3(M) Existing Layout AM Peak Lane simulation Queue Lengths

| Arm | Lane | DM Scenario | DS1 Scenario | DS2 Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| Hulbert <br> Road (east) | 1 (left / ahead) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 (ahead / <br> right / U-turn) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 (M) <br> (south) | 1 (left) <br> 2 (left / ahead | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Hulbert <br> Road (west) | right / U-turn) | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| (left /ahead) <br> A3 (right / U- <br> turn) <br> (north) | 1 (left /ahead) <br> 2 (right / U- <br> turn) | 56 | 117 | 119 |

Table 3.4 - Junction 3, A3 (M) Existing Layout PM Peak Lane simulation Queue Lengths

| Arm | Lane | DM Scenario | DS1 Scenario | DS2 Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| Hulbert Road (east) | 1 (left / ahead) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 (ahead/ right / U-turn) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3 (M) } \\ & \text { (south) } \end{aligned}$ | 1 (left) | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Hulbert Road (west) | 1 (left /ahead) | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { (right / U- } \\ & \text { turn) } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 1 (left /ahead) | 164 | 163 | 169 |


| Arm | Lane | DM Scenario | DS1 Scenario | DS2 Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| A3 (M) <br> (north) | (right / U- <br> turn) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

3.3.2.2. The data in the above tables shows that the maximum predicted queue on an off-slip is 169 PCUs on the A3M north, an increase of PCUs (30m) in comparison with the DM scenario. The north off-slip from the A3M at junction 3 has a length of approximately 270 metres. Therefore, the predicted maximum queue length, of 984 m in the DM scenario and $1,014 \mathrm{~m}$ in the DS2 scenario, would extend considerably into the mainline carriageway. Given that this this queue the Proposed Development is anticipated to increase this queue length by only 30 m , it is however considered that the Proposed Development will not have a material impact on the collision risk on this approach.
3.3.3. A3(M) JUNCTION 3 COMMITTED IMPROVEMENT SCHEME
3.3.3.1. Further to the assessment of the existing layout at $A 3(M)$ Junction 3, Technical Note HE03 has also assessed the part signalisation of the junction, which is a committed junction improvement scheme associated with the development at Old Park Farm Development (05/0500)/OUT, Waterlooville. This junction improvement, which signalises the southern off-slip of the junction is expected to be implemented prior to construction of the Proposed Development
3.3.3.2. The Linsig traffic modelling results for the 2026 SRTM Scenarios with this committed junction improvements are in Tables 27 to 29 of HE03 with predicted queue lengths summarised in Tables 3-5 and 3-6 below.

Table 3.5 - Junction 3, A3 (M) Part Signalisation AM Peak Junction Modelling Queue Lengths

| Arm | DM Scenario | DS1 Scenario | DS2 Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| Hulbert Road (east) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Hulbert Road (west) | 106 | 103 | 104 |


| Arm | DM Scenario | DS1 Scenario | DS2 Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| A3 (M) (north) | 2 |  |  |
| Circulatory (south) | 10 | 2 | 2 |

Table 3.6 - Junction 3, A3 (M) Part Signalisation PM Peak Junction Modelling Queue Lengths

| Arm | DM Scenario | DS1 Scenario | DS2 Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| Hulbert Road (east) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Hulbert Road (west) | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 138 | 148 | 150 |
| Circulatory (south) | 11 | 12 | 12 |

3.3.3.3. The data in the above tables shows that the Proposed Development is generally not forecast to have material impact on the operation of the junction and forecast queue lengths, with the exception A3(M) North (unsignalized) offslip. The Proposed Development is anticipated to increase the forecast queue lengths on this approach from 138 PCU ( 828 m ) in the DM scenario to 148 PCU (888m) in DS1 and 150 (900m). In all cases this will extend onto the $A 3(M)$ mainline and the maximum queue length increase of 72 m caused by the Proposed Development is not expected to materially change the collision risk at this location.

## A3(M) Junction 3 committed improvement scheme Alternative Future Year Assessment

3.3.3.4. Further discussions held between the Applicant and HE, at a meeting date 18th November 2020, also led to a request for additional lane simulation assessments to be undertaken calculated on the basis of observed traffic flows of Junction 3 of the A3 (M). These are discussed further in Section 5 of HE03, with the junction modelling results shown in Table 48 and 49, with predicted queue lengths summarised in Tables 3-7 and 3-8 below.

Table 3.7 - Junction 3, A3 (M) Part Signalisation Alternative Scenario AM Peak Junction Modelling Queue Lengths

| Arm | Lane | DM Scenario | Combined DS <br> Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| Hulbert Road <br> (east) | 1 (left / ahead) | 1 | 1 |
| 2 (ahead / right / | 0 | 0 |  |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / <br> right / U-turn) | 1 | 2 |
| Hulbert Road <br> (west) | 1 (left /ahead) | 2 | 2 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 2 (right / U-turn) | 41 | 3 |
|  | 2 (left /ahead) | 1 | 1 |

Table 3.8 - Junction 3, A3 (M) Part Signalisation Alternative Scenario PM Peak Junction Modelling Queue Lengths

| Arm | Lane | DM Scenario | Combined DS <br> Scenario |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (PCU) | Queue (PCU) |
| Hulbert Road <br> (east) | 1 (left / ahead) | 2 (ahead / right / | 1 |
| U-turn) |  |  |  |$\quad 0$| 1 |
| :---: |
| A3 (M) (south) |

3.3.3.5. The results set out demonstrate that the Proposed Development is not forecast to lead to significant queue length in either of the AM or PM peaks and that all queues can be easily accommodated within the $A 3(M)$ off-slips and other approaches without blocking upstream junctions. It can be concluded therefore that the Proposed Development will not have a material impact on the collision risk at this junction.
4.

## A27/A2030 EASTERN ROAD

## JUNCTION

### 4.1. INTRODUCTION

4.1.1.1. This analysis covers the roundabout junction of A27 and A2030 Eastern Road which includes A2030 Eastern Rd(N), A27 Havant Bypass(E), A2030 Eastern Road(S) and A27 Havant Bypass(W). The analysis of the data also includes all the slip roads of the $A 3(M)$ which form a part of the junction and a minimum of 200 m on all other approaches. Where patterns are identified, these are highlighted for information.

### 4.2. COLLISION ANALYSIS

4.2.1.1. There has been a total of 52 recorded collisions in this location over a period of five years of which nine were serious and all others involved only slight injuries. Of the 52 collisions, one involved a pedestrian, eight involved motorcycles, 10 involved cyclists and the remaining collisions all involved only cars. The causes of collisions were as follows:

- 47 were due to human error - various factors related to human error that are not separately listed below (e.g. speeding is listed separately as a specific human error);
- Three were due to weather-related factors;
- Two were due to speeding;
- Two were due to vehicle defects;
- One was due to intoxication;
- One was due to road layout;
- One was due to distraction outside vehicle;
- One was due to defective traffic signals;
- One was due to vision obstruction from vegetation; and
- One was due to an unspecified cause (which was not clear from collision description either).
4.2.1.2. Error! Reference source not found. shows the location of collisions and Error! Reference source not found. summarises the police collision reports for collisions that resulted in severe injuries. A full summary of accidents recorded is included in Appendix 1 for reference.

Figure 4-1 - A27/A2030 Eastern Road Junction Collision Plot
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Table 4.1 - A27IA2030 Eastern Road Junction Collision Reports Summary

| Police Ref | Road User <br> Types (other <br> than car or <br> van) | Severity | Description / Causation Factors |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0 0 1 1 2 7 8}$ |  | Serious | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A2030 <br> Eastern road entered the roundabout <br> intending to join A27 eastbound. <br> Shortly after veh 1 entered the <br> roundabout, veh 2 (car) collided with <br> the offside of veh 1. |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0 0 1 6 3 9 9}$ | Motorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 (M/Cycle) travelling N along <br> A2030 Eastern road attempted to beat <br> traffic lights turning red, travelling <br> between 50-60mph. Veh 1 hits offside <br> kerb causing veh to collide with <br> roundabout island. |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0 0 2 8 1 7 3}$ | Motorcycle | Serious | Veh 2 (M/Cycle) travelling S around <br> A2030 Eastern road roundabout from <br> green traffic lights overreacts to veh 1 |
| (van) turning left out of Farlington |  |  |  |
| Marsh car park onto A2030 Eastern |  |  |  |
| road roundabout. Veh 1 had not |  |  |  |
| entered the same lane as veh 2. |  |  |  |$|$| Veh 1 (M/Cycle) travelling S around |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A2030 Eastern road roundabout loses |
| control due to ice. |


| Police Ref | Road User <br> Types (other <br> than car or <br> van) | Severity | Description / Causation Factors |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4 4 1 8 0 3 7 3 7 9 6}$ |  | Serious | Veh2 (car) travelling N along A2030 <br> Eastern road. The ATS are not <br> working. Veh2 edges out onto the <br> roundabout, but drives too far and <br> collides with veh1 (car) already on the <br> roundabout. |
| $\mathbf{4 4 1 9 0 1 7 9 7 5 6}$ | Pedal Cycle | Serious | Veh1 (P/Cycle) travelling S around the <br> A2030 Eastern road roundabout in lane <br> 2. Veh2 (van) travelling S in lane 1. As |
|  |  | veh2 passed veh1 the racking on the <br> side of the van caught the front wheel <br> of veh1 causing rider to fall off. |  |

4.2.1.3. The collisions were mostly caused by human error. It is appropriate to consider further if these errors might have been in some way influenced by any locationspecific factor such as the design of the junction' to determine if reassignment of traffic through this junction could exacerbate existing accident trends. To do this, further consideration of the types and exact locations of collisions is provided below.
4.2.1.4. A total of 17 collisions were rear end collisions, of which:

- Six occurred on the slip roads;
- Five occurred on A27;
- Four occurred on the approaches to the roundabout from A2030
- One occurred elsewhere on A2030; and
- One occurred on the roundabout circulatory carriageway.
4.2.1.5. From the collision data it could be observed that four of the collisions occurred where the off-slips from the A27 merge with the roundabout and two occurred on the on-slip road approaching A27.


### 4.3. IMPACT OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

4.3.1.1 As already noted, the majority of collisions were caused by human error. Also, the exact locations are fairly evenly distributed with no concentration on any particular part of the junction (such as the slip roads). These two points together suggest that reassignment of traffic to this junction would not be

[^3]intensifying use of a particularly hazardous junction as the data do not suggest any location-specific factor which might indicate a flaw in the design of part of the junction.
4.3.1.2. Furthermore, while construction of the Proposed Development is taking place on the A2030 Eastern Road, there is a predicted decrease in traffic flows through this junction. SRTM flows in the DM, DS1 and DS2 scenarios on each arm of the junction are illustrated in Tables 4.2 and 4.3 below.
4.3.1.3. In the DS1 scenario, overall traffic flows across the junction decrease in both the AM and PM peaks compared to the DM scenario, although the A2030 South experiences very slight increases of 5 and 1 vehicles per hour, which are more than offset by the decreases on other arms.
4.3.1.4. In the DS2 scenario, overall traffic flows across the junction decrease in both the AM and PM peaks compared to the DM scenario, although the A27 East experiences a slight increase of 20 vehicles per hour in the PM peak, which is more than offset by the decreases on other arms.

Table 4.2 - A27/A2030 Eastern Road SRTM Flows: AM Peak

| Arm | Direction | DM | DS1 | Change: <br> DS1 from <br> DM | DS2 | Change: <br> DS2 from <br> DM |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A27 East | Westbound | 1,767 | 1,745 | -22 | 1,754 | -13 |
| A2030 <br> South | Northbound | 2,091 | 2,096 | 5 | 2,045 | -46 |
| A27 <br> West | Eastbound | 1,185 | 1,168 | -17 | 1,174 | -11 |
| A2030 <br> North | Southbound | 1,317 | 1,267 | -50 | 1,303 | -14 |

Table 4.3 - A27/A2030 Eastern Road SRTM Flows: PM Peak

| Arm | Direction | DM | DS1 | Change: <br> DS1 from <br> DM | DS2 | Change: <br> DS2 from <br> DM |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A27 East | Westbound | 1,581 | 1,492 | -89 | 1,601 | 20 |
| A2030 <br> South | Northbound | 2,230 | 2,231 | 1 | 2,188 | -42 |
| A27 <br> West | Eastbound | 1,002 | 933 | -69 | 965 | -36 |
| A2030 <br> North | Southbound | 1,682 | 1,567 | -115 | 1,628 | -54 |

4.3.1.5. Taking account of the analysis completed of existing accident data and reduction in traffic flow it is not anticipated that the Proposed Development will have a material impact on the collision risk at this junction.

## 5. PORTSBRIDGE ROUNDABOUT

### 5.1. INTRODUCTION

5.1.1.1. This analysis covers the roundabout junction of the A27, the A3, the M27 and the A397 (the Portsbridge Roundabout). The analysis of the data also includes all the slip roads of the M27/A27 which form a part of the junction and a minimum of 200 m on all other approaches. Where patterns are identified, these are highlighted for information.

## 5.2. <br> COLLISION ANALYSIS

5.2.1.1. There has been a total of 112 recorded collisions in this location over a period of five years of which two were fatal, 16 were serious and all others involved only slight injuries. Of the 112 collisions, five involved pedestrians, 31 involved motorcycles, 15 involved cyclists, five involved buses, eight involved goods vehicles/lorries and the remaining collisions all involved only cars. The causes of collisions were as follows (some collisions had more than one cause):

- 107 were due to human error - various factors related to human error that are not separately listed below (e.g. speeding is listed separately as a specific human error);
- Eight were due to speeding;
- Eight were due to weather factors (including dazzled by sun, other vision hindrances, slippery road surface, other weather-related factors);
- Four were due to illness / disability, fatigue or intoxication;
- Three were due to distraction outside vehicle;
- Two were due to pedestrian crossing road masked by stationary vehicle;
- Two were due to animal or object in carriageway;
- Two were due to deposit on road (e.g. oil, mud, chippings);
- Two were due to vehicle defects;
- Two were due to unclear causes: cause unspecified and no indication from collision description; and
- One was due to road layout.
5.2.1.2. Figure $\mathbf{5 - 1}$ shows the location of collisions and Table 5-1 summarises the police collision reports for collisions that resulted in severe and fatal injuries. A full summary of accidents recorded is included in Appendix 1 for reference.

[^4]Figure 5-1 - Portsbridge Roundabout Collision Plot


Document Ref.: Second Written Question Response - Appendix 1-Technical Note providing a review of collision data at Strategic Road Network junctions

Table 5-5.1 - Portsbridge Roundabout Collision Reports Summary

| Police Ref | Road User Types (other than car or van) | Severity | Description / Causation Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150179272 | Motorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling N behind veh 2 (car) in outside lane of A3 London Road. Veh 2 indicated and began changing lanes to left. Veh 1 started overtaking. Veh 2 then moved back into outisde lane without indicating and collided with offside of veh 1. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road 61 metres north of Shell petrol station, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 150289979 | Motorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} /$ cycle) travelling S along A3 London Road loses control after applying too much throttle whilst changing lanes to the right and collides with the central reservation. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road 27 metres south of M27 westbound junction 12 offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 150365559 | Lorry; Motorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 (lorry) travelling W along A27 indicated left whilst alongside veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ). Veh 2 then reacted and lost control on the slippery road surface, causing the rider to fall. Occurred on A27 westbound at junction with A3 London Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 150406309 | Motorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 (car) travelling NE along A397 Northern Road turns right onto Portsmouth Road across the path of veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} /$ cycle) travelling SW long A397 Northern Road and collides. <br> Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with Portsmouth Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 150419652 | Motorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A397 <br> Northern Road entered A27 Western Road |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Police Ref } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Road } \\ \text { User } \\ \text { Types } \\ \text { (other } \\ \text { than car } \\ \text { or van) }\end{array} & \text { Severity } & \text { Description / Causation Factors } \\ \hline \mathbf{1 6 0 0 4 2 2 0 4} & & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Portsbridge Rbt and collided with veh 2 } \\ \text { (m/cycle) travelling SE along A27 Western } \\ \text { Road around Rbt. } \\ \text { Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction } \\ \text { with A397 Northern Road, Cosham, } \\ \text { Hampshire }\end{array} \\ \hline \mathbf{1 6 0 1 7 5 4 0 7} & \text { Bus; pedal } & \text { Serious } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Veh (car) travelling W along A27 exited } \\ \text { onto A27 offslip and collided with rear of } \\ \text { veh 1 (van) stationary in traffic facing W } \\ \text { along A27 offslip. } \\ \text { Occurred on A27 westbound offslip at } \\ \text { junction with A27 westbound, Cosham, } \\ \text { Hampshire } \\ \text { Veh 1 (bus) travelling W around } \\ \text { Portsbridge Rbt having entered from A397 } \\ \text { Northern Road in bus lane and moves over } \\ \text { into lane 1 failing to see veh 2 (p/cycle) }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { travelling in the same direction and } \\ \text { collides. } \\ \text { Occurred on A3 London Road rbt 23 }\end{array}\right\}$

| Police Ref | Road User Types (other than car or van) | Severity | Description / Causation Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | and collided with the offside of veh 2 (car) in lane 1. <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44170160985 | Motorcycle | Fatal | Veh 1 (car) travelling E along A27 after joining from the A27 eastbound onslip, moved into lane 3 and into path of veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling in lane 3. This manoeuvre caused rider of veh 2 to fall off. Unknown if any contact between vehs. Occurred on A27 eastbound at junction with A27 eastbound onslip, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44170271124 | Motorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 (m/cycle) travelling $S$ around Rbt, exits onto A3 London Road in outside lane, loses control and collides with raised kerb and railings on the offside. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with M27 westbound junction 12 offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44170304499 | Motorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling W along A27 offslip fails to stop in time and collides with rear of veh 2 (car) stopped in traffic. Occurred on A27 westbound at junction with A27 westbound offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44170454388 | Motorcycle | Serious | Veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling W along A27 in lane 2 moves into lane 1 and then onto the A27 westbound offslip and collides with rear of veh 1 (car) stationary on A27 westbound offslip facing W. Veh 2 view obscured by a HGV in lane 1. <br> Occurred on A27 westbound offslip at junction with A27 westbound, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44180104677 | Pedestrian | Serious | Veh1 (car) travelling N along Northern Road. Cas1 (pedestrian) has attempted to |


| Police Ref | Road User Types (other than car or van) | Severity | Description / Causation Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | cross the road from $E$ to $W$ between traffic. Cas1 is clipped by veh1's nearside wing mirror causing cas 1 to fall to the ground. Occurred on A397, Northern Road, outside bowling green, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44180234974 | Bus; pedal cycle | Fatal | Veh1 (p/cycle) joins the A397 Northern Road at pedestrian crossing to travel N. The rider falls from veh and is struck by veh2 (bus) travelling N along A397 Northern Road. Occurred on A397 Northern Road, 50 meters N of junction with Portsmouth Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| 44190190261 | Motorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling S along A3 London Road and veh 2 (van) travelling in the same direction entering from the rbt. Unclear who collided with who. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road 76 metres south of M27 westbound junction 12 offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44190245354 | Pedal cycle | Serious | Veh1 (p/cycle) travelling NB around A27 Portsbridge Roundabout on cycle path hits an object on the path causing veh1 to collide with a lamp post knocking the rider off. <br> Occurred on A27 Portsbridge Roundabout under M27 underpass, Hilsea, Hampshire |

5.2.1.3. The collisions were almost all caused by human error; and the road layout was only noted as a cause in one collision. Nevertheless, it is appropriate to consider further if these errors might have been influenced by any locationspecific factor such as the design of the junction; so as to determine if reassignment of traffic through this junction could exacerbate existing accident trends. To do this, further analysis of the types and exact locations of collisions is provided below.
5.2.1.4. A total of 46 collisions were rear end collisions, of which:

- Seven occurred on the A27 Western Road approach to the roundabout;
- Eight occurred on the A3 approach to the roundabout;
- Nine occurred on the A397 approach to the roundabout;
- Two occurred on the A397 heading away from the roundabout;
- Eight occurred on the mainline carriageways;
- Five occurred on the off-slips, including one on the intersection between the off-slip and the mainline carriageway; and
- Seven occurred on the roundabout circulatory carriageway.


### 5.3. IMPACT OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

5.3.1.1. As already noted, the vast majority of these collisions were caused by human error. Also, the exact locations are fairly evenly distributed with no concentration on any particular part of the junction (such as the slip roads). These two points together suggest that reassignment of traffic to this junction would not be intensifying use of a particularly hazardous junction as the data do not suggest any location-specific factor which might indicate a flaw in the design of part of the junction.
5.3.1.2. Notwithstanding the above, Figure 5.1 shows a small cluster of collisions at the intersection of the A27 off-slip with the roundabout's circulatory carriageway, which has previously been raised by PCC as a concern. From reviewing the descriptions of these collisions in Table 5.1, there does not appear to be a particular cause related to the road layout. However, as noted in the review of A3M junction 3 in Chapter 3, collisions at the intersection of an off-slip road with a circulatory carriageway might suggest a hazard of drivers observing on-coming traffic to their right, then entering the roundabout at speed unaware of the closeness of a vehicle right in front. It is therefore worth considering if there will be increases in traffic flow as a result of the Proposed Development.
5.3.1.3. The Applicant's Transcript of Oral Submissions from Issue-Specific Hearing 2 of 14 December 2020 (REP5-061) includes discussion of the predicted changes in flows through the Portsbridge Roundabout as a result of the Proposed Development.
5.3.1.4. In Paragraphs 3.30 to 3.32 of REP5-061, the Applicant accepted that when using the SRTM outputs the Do-Minimum scenario results for the junction capacity analysis do not reflect the existing situation where traffic queues are known to extend back from the westbound A27 off-slip onto the A27 mainline in the peak hours. The Applicant therefore completed further analysis of traffic flows comparing the outputs of the Do Something 1 and 2 Scenarios with the Do Minimum Scenarios.
5.3.1.5. This analysis showed - as summarised in REP5-061 paragraph 3.30 - that the Do-Something scenarios lead either to a decrease in traffic flow or an increase of 30 to 40 vehicles across the entire junction in the AM and PM peak hours. In addition, the A27 Westbound off-slip experiences a maximum increase of nine vehicles in the PM peak Do-Something 1 scenario and a decrease in traffic in all other scenarios. This is despite the junction operating in the SRTM with a lower level of delay than the existing baseline, with traffic instead using alternative routes such as the M275 to avoid construction works on the A2030 Eastern Road.
5.3.1.6. The comparative assessment results are summarised in Table 5.2 below, to show the changes arising from traffic reassignment by virtue of construction of the Proposed Development.

Table 5.2 - Portsbridge Roundabout Comparative Assessment

|  | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Portsbridge Roundabout DS 1 Net Traffic Flow <br> Changes compared to DM Scenario | +8 | +34 |
| A27 Westbound Off-Slip DS 1 Net Traffic Flow <br> Changes compared to DM Scenario | -10 | +9 |
| Portsbridge Roundabout DS 2 Net Traffic Flow <br> Changes compared to DM Scenario | -18 | +36 |
| A27 Westbound Off-Slip DS 2 Net Traffic Flow <br> Changes compared to DM Scenario | -6 | -8 |

5.3.1.7. As such, the traffic and collision risk impact of the Proposed Development on Portsbridge Roundabout will be negligible.
5.3.1.8. Furthermore, the impact will be temporary and only occur when works are taking place on A2030 Eastern Road. This will be limited to seven weeks per circuit, and only during school holidays, June and July (due to FTMS programme restrictions). Compared with this, the modelling assesses neutral months, when flows would typically be higher. Therefore, any impact would be expected to be lower than the modelled impacts, as public travel would also be lower for much of this period.

## 6.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

### 6.1. SUMMARY

6.1.1.1. Based on the collision data received from Hampshire Constabulary the collision risk was assessed at the following three junctions of the Strategic Road Network (SRN):

- Junction 2 of the A3(M);
- Junction 3 of the A3(M);
- Junction of A27 / A2030 Eastern Road;
- Junction of A27 / A3 / M27 / A397 (Portsbridge Roundabout).
6.1.1.2. These have been assessed against existing accident data and traffic modelling using the SRTM and observed traffic flows.
6.2. CONCLUSIONS
6.2.1.1 At the junction of the A27 / A2030, at the Portsbridge Roundabout and at Junction 2 of the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$, the collision data do not show that reassignment of traffic to these junctions would intensify use of particularly hazardous junctions, as the data do not suggest any location-specific factors which might indicate flaws in the designs of parts of the junctions.
6.2.1.2. At Junction 3 of the $A 3(M)$, the predominance of the rear-end collisions at the intersection of the off-slip roads with the circulatory carriageway might potentially suggest an existing safety issue, probably due to drivers observing on-coming traffic to their right, then entering the roundabout at speed unaware of the closeness of a vehicle right in front.
6.2.1.3. At Junction 3 of the A3M, the mitigation measures proposed to be implemented alongside the committed Old Park Farm development include signalisation of the northbound off-slip of the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ and the corresponding circulatory. Such a scheme will very likely address this type of collision by removing the need for approaching drivers to look to their right as they enter the roundabout. Furthermore, traffic modelling completed in HEO3 shows that the Proposed Development is not predicted to have a material impact on queue lengths on this approach during the AM and PM peak periods.
6.2.1.4. Overall, the Proposed Development is not expected to materially worsen the collision risk on the SRN at either of these four junctions.


## Appendix A

## SUMMARY OF COLLISION DATA

Junction 2, A3(M) Collision Reports Summary

Police Ref | Road User |
| :---: |
| Types (other |
| than car or van) |$\quad$ Severity $\quad$ Description / Causation Factors

| 140437106 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling NW along A3(M) northbound junction 2 off-slip was waiting at the roundabout at the top of the slip road. Veh 2 (car) approaching from behind assumed the road was clear but collided with the rear of veh 1 , which was yet to move off. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150064096 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling SE along B2149 Dell Piece West saw braking traffic ahead too late, brakes but then lock causing veh 2 to skid and collides with the rear of veh 1 (car) who suddenly brakes due to braking traffic ahead. |
| 150206935 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling SW along B2149 Dell Piece East began to pull onto roundabout but braked suddenly due to a vehicle coming round roundabout. Following veh 1 (car) failed to see veh 2 brake and collided with rear of veh 2. |
| 15035527 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling E along B2149 Dell Piece West stopped to wait at the roundabout at $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$. Veh 2 (car) failed to stop in time and collided with the rear of veh 1. |
| 150407893 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling N along A3(M) northbound failed to react sufficiently to traffic slowing ahead and collided with the rear of veh 2 (car). |
| 160087705 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling NE along Dell Piece West collided with rear of veh 1 (car) waiting at junction in front. |
| 160113415 | LGV | Slight | Veh 1 (van) travelling S along A3(M) southbound junction 2 off-slip was stopped at the roundabout at the end of the off-slip. Veh 2 (van) failed to stop in time and collided with the rear of veh 1 . |
| 160180031 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A3(M) junction 2 off-slip was waiting at the roundabout. Veh 2 (car) failed to stop in time and collided with the rear of veh 1. |


| 160218949 | Slight | Cas 1 (passenger) is travelling on the bonnet of veh 1 (car) travelling NW along A3(M) off-slip, as veh 1 goes around a left hand bend cas 1 falls off the bonnet and skids across lane 2 and landed in the verge. Veh 1 failed to stop and left the area. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160221352 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along B2149 Dell Piece East stopped at the roundabout at A3(M). Veh 2 (car) failed to react in time and collided with the rear of veh 1. |
| 160246022 | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling W along B2149 Dell Piece East collides with the rear of veh 1 (car) which starts to enter the roundabout and then stops due to traffic came around the roundabout. |
| 160317446 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling E along B2149 Dell Piece West slowed due to heavy traffic. Veh 2 (van) failed to react in time and collided with the rear of veh 1. |
| 160324935 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling $N$ along A3(M) off-slip failed to brake at end of slip road and collided with rear of veh 2 (car) stationary in front waiting to join roundabout. |
| 160476971 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along B2149 Dell Piece East, turns right into fuller's after letting a goods vehicle out across the path of vehicle veh 2 (van) travelling E along B2149 Dell Piece East and collides. Veh 2 would have been masked by goods vehicle. |
| 44170120346 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling $N$ along A3(M) junction 2 off-slip checked to see if the roundabout was clear and moved forwards onto roundabout colliding with veh 2 (car) in front and still waiting to enter roundabout. |
| 44170343711 | Slight | Veh 2 travelling north on $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ is stationary in traffic when veh 1 drives into rear of veh2 |
| 44170401506 | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling SW along B2149 Dell Piece East in lane 2 enters roundabout turning right onto $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ northbound, swerves to the left and collides with the rear offside of veh 1 (car) travelling in lane 1 intending to exit on $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{M})$ southbound |
| 44170469829 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along B2149 Dell Piece East was distracted by looking at SAT NAV and collided with rear of veh 2 (car) which had stopped at the side of the road in order to sort out children in vehicle. |


| 44170504551 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling W on B2149 Dell Piece East collides with rear of veh2 (car) who was stationary in traffic. Veh2 drove off without leaving details and driver of veh1 suffered minor injury. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44180393328 |  | Slight | Veh1 (van) travelling S along A3(M) off-slip fails to stop in time on approach to the roundabout and collides with the rear of veh2 (car) travelling along A3(M) in front, waiting to join roundabout. |
| 44190021102 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh1 M/Cycle) travelling S along A3(M) on-slip joined the main carriageway and collided with the nearside of veh2 (car) travelling S along A3(M) in lane one. |
| 44190141173 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling $N$ along A3(M) off-slip believed that veh2 (car) stationary in front is about to move off onto the roundabout. Veh2 does not move away and veh1 collides with the rear of veh2. |
| 44190220416 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling N along the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ northbound off-slip failed to slow on approach to the roundabout and collided with the rear of veh2 (car) waiting to enter roundabout |
| 44190314941 |  | Slight | Veh1 (van) travelling S along the A3(M) off-slip failed to slow in time on approach to the roundabout and collided with the rear of veh2 (car) travelling $S$ in front, waiting to enter the roundabout. |
| 44190326046 |  | Slight | Veh2 (van) travelling W along Dell Piece East fails to stop in time on approach to the roundabout and collides with the rear of veh1 (car) travelling W in front, stationary waiting to enter the roundabout. |

## Junction 3, A3(M) Collision Reports Summary

| Police Ref | Road User Types (other than car or van) | Severity | Description / Causation Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44170018641 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling south on A3(M) was rear ended by veh 2 (car) in slow moving traffic. |
| 160176416 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling SW along A3(M) southbound in lane 2 moved into lane 1. At this moment, traffic in lane 1 braked. Veh 1 was unable to react in time and collided with the rear of veh 2 (car). |
| 140369828 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along B2150 Hulbert Road stopped to wait for traffic at the $A 3(M)$ junction 3 roundabout. Veh $2(v a n)$ failed to stop in time and collided with the rear of veh 1. |
| 44190148585 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling NE along A3 off-slip slowed on approach to roundabout and was struck from behind by veh2 (car) travelling NE. |
| 44170042205 |  | Slight | Veh 3 (van) travelling $N$ along A3(M) off-slip road collides with the rear of veh 2 (car) stationary, shunting veh 2 into the rear of veh 1 (car) stationary |
| 150280563 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 (car) travelling SW along A3(M) on-slip when vehicle suffers a blow out causing vehicle to lose control and collide with veh 2 ( $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Cycle}$ ) before colliding with the barrier. Rider of veh 2 fell off during collision. |
| 44170396122 |  | Slight | V1 was travelling behind V2 leaving the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ off-slip road. At the roundabout on Hulbert Road V1 was driven into the rear of v2 whilst it was stopped at the roundabout |
| 44180320735 |  | Slight | Veh2 (car) travelling E along B2150 Hulbert road comes to a stop at roundabout. Veh1 (car) travelling E along B2150 Hulbert Road behind veh 2 fails to slow in time and collides with rear of veh 2. |
| 140405989 |  | Serious | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A3(M) southbound junction 3 on-slip lost control and collided with the offside barrier. |


| $\mathbf{1 4 0 4 1 0 7 8 4}$ | Serious | Veh 2 (car) travelling $N$ along A3(M) off-slip, begins to move onto roundabout but stops <br> again causing following veh 1 (van) to move off and collide with rear of veh 2. <br> Veh 2 (car) travelling NW along B2150 Hulbert Road around right hand bend [on the <br> roundabout] was looking for gap in traffic on roundabout when it failed to see veh 1 <br> (police car) stationary at the roundabout junction in front. Veh 2 collided with rear of <br> veh 1. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 3 1 4 5 0 2}$ | Slight |  |


| 150394425 | Serious | Veh 1 (car) travelling NW along A3(M) off-slip, at the top of the slip road veh 1 leaves straight ahead at the junction and collides with the barrier and a sign on the roundabout and overturns. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44190268049 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling NW along Hulbert Road loses control crosses central reservation into opposing carriageway and collides with veh 2 (car) travelling SE along Hulbert Road |
| 160364235 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling $N$ along A3(M) northbound junction 3 off-slip failed to stop in time for traffic waiting to enter the roundabout and collided with the rear of veh 2 (van). |
| 150053848 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling NW along A3(M) off-slip and collides with the rear of veh 2 (car) stationary at give way line waiting to enter roundabout. |
| 44190273613 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling N along A3(M) off-slip failed to brake in time and collided with rear of veh 2 (car) waiting at junction in front. |
| 150061480 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling SE along the B2150 Hulbert Road and collides with the rear of veh 2 (car) slowing as approaching roundabout. Veh 1 fails to stop. |
| 44180041477 | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling N along A3(M) off-slip failed to slow in time and collided with rear of veh 1 (car) waiting in front. |
| 44180040516 | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling S on A3(M) junction 3 off-slip approaching the roundabout collides with the rear of veh2 (car) that was stationary waiting to join the roundabout. Front seat passenger of veh2 suffers minor injuries. |
| 150419037 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along the roundabout at $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ and B 2150 Hulbert Road failed to stop in time for traffic ahead and collided with the rear of veh 2 (car). |
| 44190281335 | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling N on $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{B}$ off-slip road in traffic moves off for unknown reasons and collides with the rear of veh2 (car) that is waiting to join the roundabout. |
| 44190303147 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling NE along A3(M) off-slip failed to slow on approach to the roundabout and collides with the rear of veh 2 (car) stationary at the roundabout. |
| 44170277230 | Slight | Veh 1 (car) with learner driver travelling NW along B2150 Hulbert Road is stationary at roundabout due to obscured view to the right. Foreign veh 2 (goods veh) waiting behind moved forwards thinking veh 1 had moved off and hit rear of veh 1. |


| $\mathbf{1 6 0 0 1 2 6 5 1}$ |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling N along A3(M) northbound junction 3 offslip failed to negotiate the <br> exit onto the roundabout and crossed the lanes of the roundabout, colliding with the <br> barrier. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0 0 1 5 9 3 9}$ | Pedal Cycle | Slight | Veh 1 (P/ Cycle) was travelling NW along B2150 Hulbert road. Veh 2 (car) passed too <br> close when overtaking and clipped veh 1, causing the rider to fall. <br> Veh 1 (car) travelling N along A3 (M) northbound off-slip fails to slow in time and collides <br> into rear of veh 2 (car) in front. <br> Veh1 (car) travelling N along A3(M) exited at junction 3 and collides with rear of veh2 <br> (car) which is stationary waiting to join roundabout. Veh1 drove off and could not be <br> traced. |
| $\mathbf{4 4 1 9 0 3 4 2 8 1 2 ~}$ | Slight |  |  |

## A27/A2030 Eastern Road Junction Collision Reports Summary

| Police Ref | Road User Types (other an car or van) | Severity | Description / Causation Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140355651 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling SW along A2030 Eastern road around roundabout moves off from traffic lights and collides with veh 2 (car) stopped due to police veh on emergency call entering from A27 off-slip |
| 140407485 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along A27 fails to slow in time and collides with the rear of veh 2 (car) slowing, shunting veh 2 into the rear of veh 3 (car) slowing for slow moving traffic in front due to horrible weather. |
| 14041576 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling $N$ along A2030 Eastern road was stopped at the traffic lights at the roundabout for A27. Veh 2 (car) failed to stop in time and collided with the rear of veh 1 . |
| 140449623 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (goods veh) travelling W A27 lane 3 moved into lane 2 with intention of progressing into lane 1 . Veh 1 collided with offside of veh 2 (car) which was already in lane 2, causing veh 2 to spin, hit side barrier and overturn. |
| 150039552 | Pedal Cycle | Slight | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{Cycle}$ ) was travelling S along A2030 Eastern road when veh 2 (car) travelling W turned left from the shell petrol station into its path, causing veh 1 to collide with the offside of veh 2. |
| 150140526 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling N along A2030 Eastern road was in lane 2 waiting to turn right on the roundabout onto A27 eastbound. Veh 2 (taxi) was weaving between traffic and collided with the nearside of veh 1. |
| 150271950 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (goods veh) travelling N along A2030 Eastern road entered the roundabout at A27. Veh 1 (car) travelling $W$ on the roundabout then collided with the offside of veh 2 and spun, colliding with the barrier on the roundabout island. |
| 150298890 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 2 (car) and veh 3 (car) travelling SW along A27 off-slip side by side arguing about earlier incident. Veh 2 veers to centre line as veh 1 (M/Cycle) filtering through traffic and collides, causing the rider to be thrown off and collides with veh 3. |


| 150410128 | Pedal Cycle | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling NW pulled out from the Farlington marshes car park and <br> collided with the nearside of veh 1 (P/Cycle) travelling SW on the roundabout. <br> Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A2030 Eastern road entered the roundabout intending <br> to join A27 eastbound. Shortly after veh 1 entered the roundabout, veh 2 (car) <br> collided with the offside of veh 1. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0 0 1 1 2 7 8}$ | Serious |  |  |


| 44170010869 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling N along A2030 Eastern road approaches roundabout in lane 2 and collides with an unknown veh which does not stop at scene. Following veh 2 (goods veh) unable to stop in time and collides with rear of veh 1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $441700197 / 5$ | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 1 (van) travelling NE along A27 in lane 1 over the brow of slope and sees veh 2 (M/Cycle) travelling slowly in front with no rear lights, swerves to avoid but does not and collides with rear, causing the rider to fall off. |
| 44170040116 | Pedal Cycle | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling west on A27 Eastern road off-slip stops at the traffic lights. Veh 2 ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{Cycle}$ ) travelling north across pelican crossing. Veh 1 moves off and collides with rear of veh 2. |
| 44170040245 | Pedal Cycle | Slight | Veh 1 (P/Cycle) travelling south on A2030 Eastern road. Veh 2 (car) has pulled out of side road and collided with nearside of veh 1. |
| 44170096176 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along A27 when driver lost concentration causing veh to collide with rear of veh 2 (car) travelling in front during rush hour traffic. |
| 44170117641 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (good veh) travelling W around roundabout fails to stop at red traffic light across the path of veh 1 (car) travelling $N$ along A2030 Eastern road enters roundabout in lane 1 as has green traffic light and collides. |
| 44170155355 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 1 (M/Cycle) with learner rider travelling $N$ along A2030 Eastern road in lane 1 entered roundabout and cut across lane 2 in order to continue around roundabout, colliding with veh 2 (car) travelling N along A2030 Eastern road in lane 2 to turn left onto A27. |
| 44170232769 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (van) travelling S along A2030 Eastern road in lane 2 abruptly cuts in front of veh 1 (car) travelling the same direction causing veh 1 to slam on their brakes to avoid impact. |
| 44170273123 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling N along A2030 Eastern road in lane 2, fails to stop in time and collides with the rear of veh 2 (car) slowing, shunting veh 2 into the rear of veh 3 (car) slowing due to slowing traffic ahead. |
| 44170277764 |  | Slight | V1 (car) travelling E along A27 stops at roundabout intending to turn left onto eastern road. V2 (car) stops behind v1. V3 (van) fails to stop and collides with v2 pushing v2 into v1. |


| 44170477965 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 (M/Cycle) travelling S around A2030 Eastern road roundabout loses control due to ice. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44170501307 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling NE along A27 off-slip enters roundabout and changes lanes to the right and collides with veh 1 (car) travelling in the same direction intending to exit onto A2030 Eastern road. |
| 44180030546 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A2030 Eastern road turned right into Walton road and collided with veh 2 (car) travelling N along A2030 Eastern road. Allegation that one of the vehicle contravened a red light. |
| 44180035306 | Pedestrian | Slight | Cas1 (pedestrian) crossing A2030 Eastern road at pedestrian crossing on a green man when they are struck by veh1 (car) travelling S. No details were taken from driver before they drove off. Cas1 suffered minor injuries. |
| 44180061222 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A2030 Eastern road failed to notice stationary vehs in front and collided with rear of veh 2 (car) in front. Veh 2 was pushed into rear of veh 3 (car) stationary at red traffic lights in front. |
| 44180070976 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling N along A2030 Eastern road in lane 1 enters roundabout and attempts to move into lane 2 colliding with veh 1 (car) travelling in lane 2. |
| 44180165938 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling NE along A27 eastbound off-slip goes round the roundabout and fails to stop at a red light, colliding with veh2 (car) travelling SW along the A27 westbound off-slip and joining the roundabout. |
| 44180240528 |  | Serious | Veh1 (car) travelling NE along the A27 on-slip from the A2030 stops at the end of the on-slip road before the main carriageway. Veh2 (car) travelling NE along the A27 on-slip collides with the rear of veh1. |
| 44180342530 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh1 (M/Cycle) travelling E along A27 on-slip in lane 2, travels too close to the offside and mounts the kerb. The rider is flung from the vehicle and bands on the armco barrier. |
| 44180362828 | Pedal Cycle | Serious | Veh1 (P/Cycle) travelling SW around roundabout in cycle lane. Veh2 (P/Cycle) travelling NE around roundabout in cycle lane. The two vehicles fail to see each other and collide head on. |


| $\mathbf{4 4 1 8 0 3 7 3 7 9 6}$ | Serious | Veh2 (car) travelling N along A2030 Eastern road. The ATS are not working. Veh2 <br> edges out onto the roundabout, but drives too far and collides with veh1 (car) <br> already on the roundabout. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4 4 1 8 0 3 9 9 1 5 5}$ | Pedal Cycle | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling around the roundabout goes to take the exit onto the A27 <br> eastbound but crosses across the path of veh2 (P/Cycle) travelling around the <br> roundabout on the nearside. Veh2 was knocked to the ground. <br> Veh3 (car) travelling SW along A27 Havant bypass fails to slow in time for traffic <br> ahead and collides with the rear of veh2 (car) pushing it forward into the rear of <br> veh1 (car) travelling SW along A27 Havant bypass in front. |
| $\mathbf{4 4 1 8 0 4 3 5 1 5 3}$ | Slight |  |  |


| 44190179756 | Pedal Cycle | Serious | Veh1 (P/Cycle) travelling S around the A2030 Eastern road roundabout in lane 2. Veh2 (van) travelling S in lane 1. As veh2 passed veh1 the racking on the side of the van caught the front wheel of veh1 causing rider to fall off. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44190221579 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along A27 on-slip has attempted to get onto A27 failing to look properly and collides with rear nearside of veh 2 (car) owing to this veh 2 has tried to swerve out the way and collides with the rear of veh 3 (car). |
| 44190253818 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling NE around A2030 Eastern road roundabout in lane 1 enters A27 on-slip but realises their error and attempts to get back onto the roundabout, colliding with veh 2 (minibus) which was entering the A27 on-slip in lane 2. |
| 44190288891 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling E along A27 eastbound on-slip swerves to avoid veh2 (car) also travelling E that slows on the slip road, veh1 collides with the nearside of veh1 and then into veh3 (car) also travelling E in lane 1 of $\mathrm{A} 27 \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{B}$. |

## Portsbridge Roundabout Collision Reports Summary

| Police Ref | Road User Types (other than car or van) | Severity | Description / Causation Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140369066 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling W along M 27 offslip enters the Portsbridge Rbt across the path of Veh 1 (m/cycle) travelling S along A397 Northern Road around the rbt and collides, causing the rider to fall off. Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with M 27 westbound offslip, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 140390256 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling N along A27 Western Road exited the Portsbridge Rbt and lost control on a left-hand bend, leaving the carriageway onto the central reservation and colliding with a road sign. <br> Occurred on A27 W estern Road 51 metres north of Portsbridge roundabout, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 140435919 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling E along A27 in lane 3 moves into lane 2 causing veh 2 (car) in lane 2 to be forced into lane 1. Veh 1 lost control and collided with nearside barrier. Occurred on A27 eastbound at junction with A27 eastbound Portsbridge onslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 140436890 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling $N$ along A3 London Road in lane 1 indicated right and veered towards lane 2. Veh 2 (car) then swerved and collided with railings to the offside. Occurred on A3 London Road outside Shell petrol station, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 140445157 | Pedal cycle | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A27 Western Road failed to slow in time and entered the Portsbridge rbt, colliding with the nearside of veh 2 (p/cycle) travelling E on the rbt. Occurred on A27 W estern Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 150000726 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 1 (m/cycle) travelling N along A3 Northern Parade slipped on an empty gravel back [sic] approaching the Portsbridge Rbt, causing the rider to fall. |


|  |  |  | Occurred on A3 Northern Parade at junction with A27 Western Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150015010 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling N along A3 London Road on approach to Rbt collides with veh 1 (car) slowing in front. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A27 westbound offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 150024879 | Lorry | Slight | Veh 2 (lorry) travelling S along A397 Northern Road collided with rear of veh 1 (car) approaching rbt in front in middle lane. <br> Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with A27 eastbound onslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 140030712 | Pedal cycle | Slight | Veh 2 (van) waiting to exit bus depot to turn left onto A3 London Road, pulls forwards and hits veh 1 ( $p /$ cycle) travelling S along west pavement of A3 London Road. Veh 1 entered bus lane against traffic to go around front of veh 2 . <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with bus depot, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 150092298 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A397 Northern Road waiting behind veh 2 (car), believes Veh 2 is going to proceed but doesn't and collides into rear of veh 2. Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with A3 Northern Parade, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 150102362 | Pedal cycle | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W turned left from a petrol station into A3 London Road and collided with the offside of veh 2 ( $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling Salong the cycle lane. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with Shell garage, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 150115689 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A27 Western Road slowed for the Portsbridge Rbt. Veh 2 (car) failed to react in time and collided with the rear of veh 1. <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 15012265 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along M 27 westbound in lane 2 swerved to the offside to avoid a vehicle changing lanes from lane 1 to lane 2 , and collided with the nearside of veh 2 (car) in lane 3. <br> Occurred on M27 westbound marker post 46.1, Portsmouth, Hampshire |


| 150144192 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along A27 westbound lost control and collided with the nearside barrier, coming to rest in lane 1. Occurred on A27 westbound marker post 46.8, Cosham, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150179272 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling N behind veh 2 (car) in outside lane of A3 London Road. Veh 2 indicated and began changing lanes to left. Veh 1 started overtaking. Veh 2 then moved back into outisde lane without indicating and collided with offside of veh 1. Occurred on A3 London Road 61 metres north of Shell petrol station, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 150248403 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling NE along A397 Northern Road turned right at the Portsmouth Road junction and entered the yellow boxes into the path of veh 2 (car) travelling SW, causing veh 2 to collide with the nearside of veh 1. Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with Portsmouth Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 150286701 | Bus | Slight | Veh 1 (van) travelling S along A3 London Road braked to avoid a dog which ran out into the carriageway from the nearside into its path. Veh 2 (bus) then collided with the rear of veh 1 . <br> Occurred on A3 London Road outside Portsmouth Hydropools, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 150289413 | Pedestrian | Slight | Cas 1 (pedestrian) travelling NE across A397 Northern Road ran into path of veh 1 (car) travelling SW along A397 Northern Road in lane 3 and passing queuing traffic in lane 2. Occurred on A397 Northern Road 46 metres southwest of Portsmouth Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 150289979 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling S along A3 London Road loses control after applying too much throttle whilst changing lanes to the right and collides with the central reservation. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road 27 metres south of M 27 westbound junction 12 offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 150310543 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A27 Western Road fails to give way and enters the Rbt across the path of veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling NE around the rbt intending to exit onto A397 Northern Road and collides. |


|  |  |  | Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150365559 | Lorry; M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 (lorry) travelling W along A27 indicated left whilst alongside veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ). Veh 2 then reacted and lost control on the slippery road surface, causing the rider to fall. Occurred on A27 westbound at junction with A3 London Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 150392372 | Goods vehicle | Slight | Veh 2 (goods veh) travelling E along M 27 changes lane to the left and collides with veh 1 (van) also travelling E. <br> Occurred on M27 westbound marker post 46.6, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 150406309 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 (car) travelling NE along A397 Northern Road turns right onto Portsmouth Road across the path of veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling SW long A397 Northern Road and collides. Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with Portsmouth Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 150419652 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A397 Northern Road entered A27 Western Road Portsbridge Rbt and collided with veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling SE along A27 Western Road around Rbt. <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 150440927 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling $N$ along A3 London Road on approach to Rbt collides with the rear of veh 1 (car) stationary at rbt waiting to enter. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 160042204 |  | Serious | Veh 2 (car) travelling W along A27 exited onto A27 offslip and collided with rear of veh 1 (van) stationary in traffic facing W along A27 offslip. Occurred on A27 westbound offslip at junction with A27 westbound, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 160056716 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along M 27 westbound lost control, collided with the central reservation and then veered across the carriageway and collided with the nearside crash barrier. <br> Occurred on M27 westbound marker post 46.5, Portsmouth, Hampshire |


| 160074127 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling SW along A397 Northern Road entered the rbt at A27. Veh 2 (car) traveling E on the rbt intending to exit at A27 eastbound then collided with the rear of Veh 1. <br> Occurred on A397 northern road at junction with A27 eastbound, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160092990 | Goods vehicle | Slight | Veh 1 (goods veh) was travelling W around the rbt having entered from A27 W estern Road. Veh 2 (car) travelling N from A3 London Road entered the rbt into veh 1's path, causing veh 1 to collide with the rear of veh 2 . <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A3 London Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 160113884 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along A27 westbound failed to notice traffic slowing ahead and collided with the rear of veh 2 (car). <br> Occurred on A27 westbound marker post 46.8, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 160116306 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling NE along A397 Northern Road was waiting to turn right into Portsmouth Road. Veh 2 (van) failed to stop in time and collided with the rear of veh 1. Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with Portsmouth Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 160166733 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling SE along A27 in lane 2 suddenly changes lanes causing veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling in the same direction filtering between lanes 2 and 3 to collide with the offside and the rider to be knocked off. <br> Occurred on A27 eastbound at junction with A27 eastbound Portsbridge onslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 160168227 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling NE along A397 Northern Road was held in traffic. Veh 2 (car) failed to stop in time and collided with the rear of veh 1. <br> Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with Portsmouth Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 160175407 | Bus; pedal cycle | Serious | Veh 1 (bus) travelling W around Portsbridge Rbt having entered from A397 Northern Road in bus lane and moves over into lane 1 failing to see veh 2 (p/cycle) travelling in the same direction and collides. |


|  |  |  | Occurred on A3 London Road rbt 23 metres west of A397 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160206994 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A397 Northern Road starts to pull away to enter rbt but stalls causing veh 2 (car) travelling behind to collide with the rear of veh 1 . Veh 2 fails to stop. <br> Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with M 27 eastbound junction 12 onslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 160214276 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A3 London Road in lane 2 whilst negotiating a left-hand bend when veh mounts offside kerb and collides with the central barriers. Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A3 Portsbridge Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 16021725 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling NW along A27, sees veh change lane and change back again so driver takes evasive action but over steers causing veh 1 to lose control, spins and collides with the nearside barrier. <br> Occurred on A27 westbound marker post 46.9, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 160228549 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling N along A3 London Road moved off at the Portsbridge Rbt and collided with the rear of veh 2 (car) which was still stationary. Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A27, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 160230812 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling N along A3 London Road entered the Portsbridge Rbt and collided with the rear of veh $2(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{cycle})$ on the rbt. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A27, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 160243909 | M otorcycle; Lorry | Slight | Veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling SE along A27 Western Road lost control due to veh 1 (lorry) changing lanes causing rider of veh 2 to fall off. No details known of veh 1. Occurred on A27 Western Road 34 metres southeast of Lynx House, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 160250894 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A27 Western Road began moving off at the Portsbridge Rbt and collided with the rear of veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) which was still stationary. Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A3 London Road, Cosham, Hampshire |


| 160255242 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 (car) travelling NW along A3 London Road moves off to enter rbt and collides with the rear of veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) stationary waiting to enter rbt. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A27, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160267669 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling S along A27 Western Road moved from lane 2 to lane 1 and collided with the offside of veh 2 (car) in lane 1. <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 160350322 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling NW along A27 Western Road in lane 1 moves into lane 2 across the path of veh 2 (car) travelling in the same direction in lane 2 and collides, causing veh 1 to be pushed sideways into tree in central carriageway. Occurred on A27 Western Road outside of Porsche centre, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 160368650 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling $N$ along A3 London Road moved forwards and collided with rear of veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) stationary in front. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A27 Western Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 160403655 | Goods vehicle | Slight | Veh 2 (goods veh) travelling N along A3 London Road pulled out onto A3 London Road Portsbridge Rbt causing veh 1 (car) travelling SW around A3 London Portsbridge Rbt to brake suddenly. No contact between vehs. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 160404337 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A397 Northern Road fails to notice veh 2 (car) stationary at junction in front and collides with rear of veh 2. <br> Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with A27 eastbound, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 160425057 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling W along A27 offslip motorbike turns left in the dedicated filter lane to go south onto A3 London Rd, rider loses control on bend and falls off. Occurred on A27 westbound offslip at junction with A3 London Road, Cosham, Hampshire |


| 160427550 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling NW enters A27 Western Road from the Rbt, rear starts skidding, driver turns wheels into the turn but the rear wheel made contact with the kerb to the central reservation, spins and collides with a lamp post. <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160429190 | Pedal cycle | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along A27 offslip, turns left onto Rbt across the path of veh 2 (p/cycle) travelling Saround Portsbridge Rbt to exit onto A3 Northern Road and collides. <br> Occurred on A3 Northern Road at junction with A27 westbound offslip, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 160480530 | M otorcycle | Slight | Vh1 travelling NW on A3 London Road approaching Hilsea roundabout failed to stop in time and collided with vh2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) who was also approaching the roundabout. Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A27 westbound offslip, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44170011875 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A397 Northern Road in lane 3 intending to enter rbt fails to notice veh 2 (van) has stopped at the rbt and collides with the rear of veh 2. Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with A27 eastbound onslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44170042425 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (taxi) travelling N along A3 London Road collides with rear of veh 2 (car) travelling in front. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A27 Western Way, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44170119589 | Pedestrian | Slight | Cas 1 (pedestrian) travelling NE across A27 W estern Road between stationary vehs in Lane 2. Cas 1 walked straight out into lane 1 and was hit by veh 1 (car) travelling SE along A27 Western Road in lane 1. <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road 43 metres northwest of Lynx House, Portsmouth, Hampshire |


| 44170130915 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along M 27 travelling in lane 2 collides with the rear of veh 2 (car) travelling in the same direction. Driver veh 1 stated his attention was distracted whilst sorting out heating. <br> Occurred on M27 westbound marker post 46.4, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44170139959 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling SW along Portsmouth Road stopped at junction and was hit from behind by veh 2 (car). Veh 1 was pushed onto A397 Northern Road and veh 2 failed to stop at scene. <br> Occurred on Portsmouth Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44170155749 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling S along A397 Northern Road failed to stop in time and collided with rear of veh 1 (car) waiting in traffic in front. Veh 2 failed to stop at scene. Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with A27 eastbound onslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44170160985 | M otorcycle | Fatal | Veh 1 (car) travelling E along A27 after joining from the A27 eastbound onslip, moved into lane 3 and into path of veh 2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling in lane 3 . This manoeuvre caused rider of veh 2 to fall off. Unknown if any contact between vehs. <br> Occurred on A27 eastbound at junction with A27 eastbound onslip, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44170184224 |  | Slight | Veh 5 (car) travelling NW along A27 brakes due braking vehs in front and hit in rear by Veh 4 (car) braking and hit in rear by veh 3 (car) braking. Veh 2 (car) brakes and hit in rear by veh 1 (car). <br> Occurred on A27 westbound marker post 46.8, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44170217549 |  | Slight | V1 (car) travelling W on A27 lost control in poor weather conditions and crashed. Occurred on A27 Portsbridge 50m E of Portsbridge Roundabout, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44170237285 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling N along A3 London Road begins to pull away onto rbt but stops due to a veh on the rbt changing lanes. Veh 2 (car) travelling behind veh 1 collides with Veh 1 knocking rider off. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |


| 44170261145 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling SW along A397 Northern Road fails to stop in time and collides with the rear of veh 2 (van) travelling in the same direction moves off and then stops on approach to rbt. <br> Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with A27 eastbound onslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44170271124 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling S around Rbt, exits onto A3 London Road in outside lane, loses control and collides with raised kerb and railings on the offside. Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with M 27 westbound junction 12 offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44170275408 |  | Slight | V1 (car) lost control on rbt leaving road, hitting kerb and flipping the car. Occurred on A27 Portsbridge Rbt, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44170304499 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling W along A27 offslip fails to stop in time and collides with rear of veh 2 (car) stopped in traffic. <br> Occurred on A27 westbound at junction with A27 westbound offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44170313286 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling $N$ along A3 London Road fails to slow in time and collides with rear of veh 2 (car) slowing in front. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A27 Western Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44170346284 | Pedal cycle | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling SE along A27 Western Road begins to pull out onto Portsbridge Rbt but brakes sharply due to veh 3 (p/cycle) travelling E around Rbt with no lights on Veh. Veh 2 (car) behind veh 1 then collides with rear of veh 1. <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44170357989 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (van) travelling E along M 27 moves from lane 2 to lane 3 hitting veh 2 (car). Veh 1 spun and hit rear of veh 3 (car). Following veh 4 (car) hit spinning veh 1 and veh 5 (car) then hit veh 4 pushing it again into veh 1. <br> Occurred on M27 eastbound at junction with A27 eastbound onslip, Farlington, Hampshire |


| 44170389153 | Pedal cycle | Slight | Veh 2 ( $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling SE along A27 Western Road fails to stop and collides with the rear of veh 1 (car) stationary at red traffic lights. <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A3 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44170397741 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling N along A3 London Road and collides with the rear of veh 1 (car) travelling in the same direction approaching the rbt. Veh 2 failed to stop. Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44170400712 |  | Slight | V1 slowing to allow ambulance to pass when v2 collides with the rear of v1. Occurred on A27 Western Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 4417041016 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A3 London Road in lane 1 and veh 2 (car) travelling in the same direction in lane 2 indicates and moves into lane 1 causing veh 1 to swerve as it was going faster than veh 2 into entrance of car park; collides with a barrier. Occurred on A3 London Road 75 metres south of M 27 westbound junction 12 offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44170437591 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh1 (van) entering Portsbridge Roundabout and collides with veh2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling west on roundabout, knocking off rider. <br> Occurred on A3 at junction with A27, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| 44170454388 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh $2(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{cycle})$ travelling W along A27 in lane 2 moves into lane 1 and then onto the A27 westbound offslip and collides with rear of veh 1 (car) stationary on A27 westbound offslip facing W. Veh 2 view obscured by a HGV in lane 1. Occurred on A27 westbound offslip at junction with A27 westbound, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44170482262 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling SE along M 27 in lane 1, fails to brake in time for slow moving traffic and collides with the rear of veh 2 (car) slowing, shunting veh 2 into the rear of veh 3 (van) slowing. <br> Occurred on m27 eastbound marker post 46.7, portsmouth, hampshire |


| 44170496395 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 2 (van) travelling SE along A27 W estern Road pulled out onto A3 Portsbridge Rbt colliding with veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling E around A3 Portsbridge Rbt. Veh 2 failed to stop. <br> Occurred on A3 Portsbridge Rbt at junction with A27 Western Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44180014065 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling N on A3 London Road about to enter Portsbridge Rbt when a tyre blows out, causing the veh to lose control and collide with offside barrier of rbt. Driver suffers minor injuries. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A27 Western Road, Cosham, Hampshire. |
| 44180017496 | Pedal cycle | Slight | Veh1 (p/cycle) was travelling S on A3 Portsbridge Roundabout and collided with veh2 (car) that pulled out from A27 w/b off-slip into path of veh1. Rider of veh1 was knocked off and suffered minor injuries. <br> Occurred on A3 Portsbridge Roundabout at junction with A27 westbound junction 12 off-slip, Highbury, Hampshire. |
| 44180022336 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling N on A3 London Road slows and stops for Portsbridge Rbt and is hit in rear by veh2 (car) that failed to slow in time. Veh2 failed to stop and drove off. <br> Driver of veh1 suffered minor injuries. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A27 Western Road, Highbury, Hampshire. |
| 44180024650 | Pedestrian | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling SW along A397 Northern Road contravenes red light on pedestrian crossing and collides with cas 1 (pedestrian) travelling E across A397 Northern Road. Occurred on A397 Northern Road outside HM RC Lynx House, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44180045533 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) stationary in traffic travelling SW on A397 Northern Road is struck from behind by veh2 (car). Veh2 failed to stop and drove off. Driver of veh1 suffered minor injury. <br> Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with Portsmouth Road, Cosham, Hampshire. |
| 44180069119 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling S around rbt in lane 2 to exit onto A3 London Road turns back onto the rbt causing veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling S around rbt exiting onto A3 London Road in lane 3 lose control and the rider to fall off. |


|  |  |  | Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with M 27 westbound junction 12 offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4418010467 | Pedestrian | Serious | Veh1 (car) travelling $N$ along Northern Road. Cas1 (pedestrian) has attempted to cross the road from E to W between traffic. Cas1 is clipped by veh1's nearside wing mirror causing cas 1 to fall to the ground. <br> Occurred on A397, Northern Road, outside bowling green, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44180112081 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling NE along A397 Northern Road turned right into Portsmouth Road across path of veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling SW along A397 Northern Road causing collision. <br> Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with Portsmouth Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44180120341 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling W along A27 off slip when veh slips on mud and rider loses control, causing both rider and pillion to fall off. <br> Occurred on A27 offslip, 100 meters E from Portsbridge Roundabout, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| 44180123089 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling SE along A27 fails to notice veh2 (car) slowing to give way at roundabout and collides with rear. <br> Occurred on A27, Western road, at junction with A397, Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| 44180143119 | Pedal cycle | Slight | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling S along A3 London Road turned to enter car park too quickly causing rider to fall off. Rider not wearing a helmet or any clothing on upper body. Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with car park, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44180179587 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling around the Portsbridge Roundabout approaches junction with Western Road and stops on rbt to give way to an ambulance joining rbt from Western Road. Veh2 (car) travelling behind veh1 fails to slow and collides with rear of veh1. Occurred on A27 Western Road, at junction with A397 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |


| 44180186857 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling SE along A27 Western Road stops to give way at roundabout. Veh2 (car) travelling SE alongside veh1 collides with the offside of veh1 causing rider to fall off. <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road, at junction with A397 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44180227770 | Pedestrian | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A3 London Road moves into lane 1 after overtaking slower veh, for reasons unknown collides with the kerb tearing tyre open mounts the pavement and collides with cas 1 (pedestrian) travelling same way on pavement. Occurred on A3 London Road 100 metres south of M 27 westbound junction 12 offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44180234974 | Bus; pedal cycle | Fatal | Veh1 (p/cycle) joins the A397 Northern Road at pedestrian crossing to travel N. The rider falls from veh and is struck by veh2 (bus) travelling N along A397 Northern Road. Occurred on A397 Northern Road, 50 meters N of junction with Portsmouth Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| 44180238600 | Pedal cycle | Slight | Veh1 (p/cycle) travelling SE along A3 from the roundabout. Veh2 (car) also travelling SE along the A3 gets too close to veh1, clipping them on the offside. <br> Occurred on A3 at junction with A397 Portsmouth Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| 44180239290 |  | Slight | Veh 2 (car) travelling N along A London Road stopped at rbt and was hit from behind by Veh 1 (car). Veh 1 failed to stop at scene. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44180288240 | Bus; goods vehicle | Slight | Veh1 (bus) travelling N along A3 London Road. Veh2 (goods veh) travelling NE along Hilsea Lido road pulls out onto A3 London Road without giving way to veh1. Veh1 brakes to avoid a collision but cas1 (passenger) hits head on seat in front. Occurred on A3 London Road at junction with Hilsea Lido Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| 44180311740 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling SE along A27 W estern Road stops for the roundabout. Veh2 (car) travelling SE along A27 Western Road fails to slow in time and collides with the rear of veh1. |


|  |  |  | Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A3 Northern Parade, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44180318748 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling SW along Portsmouth Road stops at give way waiting to join A397. Veh3 (car) travelling SW along A397 in lane 1 flashes to let veh1 out. Veh1 pulls out all the way lane 2 and collides with veh2 (car) travelling SW along A397 in lane 2. Occurred on A397 Northern Road, at junction with Portsmouth Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| 44180387451 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling N along A3 London Road hits suspected diesel [sic] and the back end slides out. Driver over corrects, loses control and hits a nearside road traffic sign. Occurred on A3 London Road, 120 meters N of junction with Northern Road, Hilsea, Hampshire. |
| 44180425792 | Pedal cycle | Slight | Veh2 (car) travelling W along M27 enters roundabout without giving way to veh1 ( $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling S around the roundabout. Veh2 strikes the back wheel of veh1. Occurred on A397 at junction with A3 London Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44180449499 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A27 Western Road and collides with the rear of veh 2 (van) stationary at the rbt. <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A397 northern Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44190010513 |  | Slight | Veh4 (car) travelling W along the A27 failed to slow for traffic ahead and collided with the rear of veh3 (car) in front, shunting it forward into the rear of veh2 (van), which in turn is pushed into the rear of veh1 (van). <br> Occurred on A27 westbound at marker post 46.8, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| 44190096562 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling S along A27 Western Road braked suddenly, causing veh2 (car) travelling $S$ behind to drive into the rear of veh1. <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| 44190125356 | Goods vehicle | Slight | Veh 1 (goods veh) travelling NW along M 27 moves lanes to the right, fails to see veh 2 (car) travelling in the same direction as veh 2 was in veh 1 blind spot and collides, causing veh 2 to spin and collides into the central barrier. |


|  |  |  | Occurred on A27 westbound marker post 46.8, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44190171090 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling SW along A397 Northern Road in lane 3. On approach to the roundabout veh1 starts to move into lane 2 and is hit on the rear offside wheel arch by Veh2 (car) travelling SW along A397 behind, in lane 3. <br> Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with M 27 slip roads, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |
| 44190189807 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling W along the A27 failed to notice stationary traffic ahead and collided with the rear of veh2 (car), shunting it forward into the rear of veh3 (car) which is then pushed into the rear of veh4 (car). <br> Occurred on A27 westbound at junction with junction 12 offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44190190261 | M otorcycle | Serious | Veh 1 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling S along A3 London Road and veh 2 (van) travelling in the same direction entering from the rbt. Unclear who collided with who. <br> Occurred on A3 London Road 76 metres south of M 27 westbound junction 12 offslip, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44190191791 | M otorcycle | Slight | Veh2 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling N on A 3 is stationary waiting to enter Portsbridge Rbt. Veh1 (car) also travelling N is behind veh2. Veh1 assumes veh2 has started to enter the rbt and moves off colliding with the rear of veh2 that is still stationary. Occurred on A3 Portsbridge Roundabout at junction with A27 Western Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44190197091 | Pedal cycle; bus | Slight | Veh1 (p/cycle) travelling Sthe wrong way down the bus station lane collides with veh2 (bus) travelling N . <br> Occurred on A3 London Road at Hilsea bus station, Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44190231867 |  | Slight | Veh1 (car) travelling SE along A27 Western Road pulls away from give way lines onto roundabout but fails to notice veh2 (car) already on roundabout in middle lane ahead. Veh1 collides with rear of veh2. <br> Occurred on A27 Western Road at junction with A397 Northern Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire. |


| 44190245354 | Pedal cycle | Serious | Veh1 (p/cycle) travelling NB around A27 Portsbridge Roundabout on cycle path hits an object on the path causing veh1 to collide with a lamp post knocking the rider off. Occurred on A27 Portsbridge Roundabout under M 27 underpass, Hilsea, Hampshire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44190268261 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling W along A27 westbound offslip fails to slow in time and collides into rear of veh 2 (car) in front. <br> Occurred on A27 westbound offslip at M 27 Portsmouth, Hampshire |
| 44190304733 | Pedal cycle | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling in lane 2 around A27 Portsbridge Rbt cut across lane 1 to exit onto A27 Western Road, hitting veh 2 ( $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{cycle}$ ) travelling in lane 1 and intending to move into lane 2 to continue around rbt. Veh 1 fts . Occurred on A27 Portsbridge Rbt at junction with A27 Western Road, Cosham, Hampshire |
| 44190320049 |  | Slight | Veh 1 (car) travelling S along A397 Northern Road collided with rear of veh 2 (car) stationary in front. Veh 2 is pushed into rear of veh 3 (car) waiting to enter rbt in front. Veh 3 driven by learner driver. Veh 1 fts . Occurred on A397 Northern Road at junction with A27 eastbound, Cosham, Hampshire. |
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1.1.1. This Technical Note (HE03) has been prepared in response to the representation made by AECOM on behalf of Highways England (HE) in relation to the submission documents for the AQUIND Interconnector DCO applications. Comments were made by HE in the document entitled 'Aquind Interconnector - Review WSP TN HE01 \& HE02' (HETN03) dated $21^{\text {st }}$ August 2020.
1.1.1.2. HETN03 sets out comments on ten topics, these are as follows:

Topics considered critical to the agreement in principle of the planning application:

- Item 1: Sensitivity test modelling of Junction 2 and 3, A3 (M) in ARCADY using Lane Simulation;
- Item 2: Further work to quantify the impact of Aquind Interconnector in the following scenarios:
- Without the committed development and without its mitigation; and
- With the committed development and with its mitigation scheme.


## Topics regarded as important but not critical to the agreement in principle of the planning application

- Item 3 and Item 4: Traffic management at Farlington Playing Fields;
- Item 5: Timings of HGV movements;
- Item 6: Collaboration strategy with HE in respect to overlapping construction of schemes;
- Item 7: Further information regarding construction phasing and duration of works;
- Item 8: Further clarification regarding traffic flows;
- Item 9: AM peak modelling of A3 (M), Junction 3; and
- Item 10: Further information regarding traffic flows to / from Hulbert Road East A3 (M), Junction 3.
1.1.1.3. $\quad$ This Technical Note will respond to each of these points,
1.1.1.4. Since the receipt of HETN03, the Applicant has held regular discussions with Highways England and their advisors, AECOM in order to seek to progress outstanding matters. This included the submission of version 001 of this document, which was submitted on $12 / 11 / 2020$. This further iteration of this document provides further detail as required by Highways England, particularly in relation to matters concerning Junctions 2 and 3 of the A3 (M).
1.1.1.5. Given the above, the structure of this response is as follows:
- Section 2 - Traffic Flows: addresses Item 8 and Item 10 of HETN03 regarding correctness of traffic flows;
- Section 3 - Lane Simulation Sensitivity Tests: addresses Item 1 of HETN03 and includes lane simulation sensitivity tests of both Junction 2 and 3 of A3 (M), accounting for traffic flow amendments set out in Section 2. This Section also includes clarification in response to Item 9;
- Section 4-Committed Development Assessments: which addresses Item 2 of the HETN03 regarding the impact of committed developments at the junctions, again accounting for the traffic flow amendments set out in Section 2;
- Section 5 - Alternative Future Year Assessments: which, following discussions with HE contains additional assessments of both Junction 2 and Junction 3 of the A3 (M) undertaken on the basis of alternative future year traffic flows;
- Section 6 - Construction Methodology: addressing comments in Items 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 pertaining to construction methodology, phasing and construction traffic movements; and
- Section 7 - Other Matters: which addresses all other pertinent matters.


### 1.1.2. HIGHWAYS ENGLAND MODELLING REVIEW

1.1.2.1. This Technical Note (HE03) also takes into account comments made by HE regarding undertaken traffic modelling of both Junction 2 and Junction 3 of A3 (M) in correspondence's correspondence dated 27 November 2020.
1.1.2.2 $\quad$ The recommendations set out by HE's consultants in the aforementioned review are set out below for reference:

## Priority Junction Modelling

## Junction 2, A3 (M)

"We have reviewed the lane movement and lane levels and suggest the following changes:

- Arm 2 (A3(M) South) - Level 1 Lane 2 - Lane movement to Arm 3 (B2149 Dell Piece West) should be removed unless there is evidence that drivers actually use the offside lane to make the left turn here or signage is to be provided to encourage them to do so (none appears to be present as of now); and
- The storage (PCU) at each lane on arms 1 and 3 should be revised as currently all lanes are coded with a storage of 'infinity': AECOM measure the two-lane section of arm 1 as 35 m long, and of arm 3 as 50 m long. [This comment does not apply to the two Motorway slip roads which are two lanes throughout]."
1.1.2.3. The Applicant accepts the comments made by HE at this junction and have updated all modelling to reflect these amendments.
Junction 3, A3 (M)
"We have reviewed the lane movement and lane levels and suggest the following changes:
- Arm 2 (A3(M) South) - Level 1 Lane 2 - Lane movement to Arm 3 (Hulbert Road West) should be removed for the same reason as given above;
- Arm 3 (Hulbert Road West) - Level 1 Lane 1 - Arm 1 (Hulbert Road East) should be included as a destination, since this lane appears to feed traffic into the nearside lane on the bridge; and
- Arm 3 (Hulbert Road West) - Level 1 Lane 2 - Arm 1 (Hulbert Road East) and Arm 4 ( $\mathrm{A} 3(M)$ north) should be removed as destinations, since this lane feeds traffic into the offside lane on the bridge."
1.1.2.4. The Applicant accepts the requested amendments for the Hulbert Road (west) approach of the junction, and the associated modelling has been updated to reflect these.
1.1.2.5. The Applicant does not accept HE's requested removal of the availability of the offside lane of the A3 (M) northbound off-slip for traffic wishing to turn left on to Hulbert Road (west). The use of the offside lane in question for left turners has been found to be commonplace when reviewing existing traffic behaviour at this junction. There are no lane markings advising left turners to remain within the nearside lane of the northbound slip road and Hulbert Road (west) has a dualled two lane exit which continues to the next downstream junction, meaning that left turning vehicles using the offside lane can do so unimpeded and without the need to merge with traffic using the nearside lane. As such, this movement has been retained in all modelling of Junction 3, A3 (M) included within this Technical Note.


## Signalised Junction Modelling

## Junction 2, A3 (M)

"The model should be revised so that the lane connectors used in the model match the road markings on the drawings provided in HEO3 (Committed mitigation scheme). Consequently, the associated connectors should be amended accordingly. Specific examples follow:

- "Arm 4 ( $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ southbound off slip): there is a missing connector from lane 4/2 to lane 12/2;
- Arm 1 (Dell Piece East): the connector from lane $1 / 1$ to lane $5 / 1$ is incorrect and there should be an additional connector from lane 1/2 to lane 9/2;
- Arm 6 (Circulatory West): the connector from lane $6 / 2$ to lane $11 / 2$ is incorrect."
1.1.2.6. The Applicant accepts the comments made by HE at this junction and have updated all modelling to reflect these amendments.
Junction 3, A3 (M)
"The model should be revised so that the lane connectors used in the model match the road markings on the drawings provided in HEO3 (Committed mitigation scheme). Consequently, the associated connectors should be amended accordingly. There is only one specific example at $\mathrm{A} 3(M) \mathrm{J} 3$ :
- Arm 2 (A3(M) northbound off slip): the connector from lane $2 / 2$ to lane $7 / 2$ is incorrect."
1.1.2.7. The Applicant notes HE's comments regarding the need for the future year traffic modelling to match the proposed scheme design which is set out in Keir drawing entitled 'A3 (M) J3 Northbound Slip S278 Signalisation Scheme' provided at Appendix 5. However, in order to gain a better understanding of how this junction may operate in the future, all assessments of a signalised Junction 3 included in this Technical Note have been undertaken for two different lane alignments on the A3 (M) South approach. These alignments are as follows:
- Use of the offside lane to turn left prohibited: In this model, as per the scheme design for this junction created by HE, left turning from the A3 (M) south approach is only permitted via the nearside lane. Use of the offside lane of this approach to turn left is prohibited; and
- Use of the offside lane to turn left permitted: In this model, left turning is permitted via both lanes of the $A 3(M)$ south approach. This is in alignment with the current behaviour of traffic which has been observed at this junction, together with the arrangement of this junction.
1.1.2.8. Aside from this differentiation in lane alignment, the two signalised junction models for Junction 3, A3 (M) used for assessment purposes are identical.


## 2. TRAFFIC FLOWS

2.1.

## INTRODUCTION

2.1.1.1. Items 8 and 10 of the comments raised HETN03 pertained to the correctness of traffic flow data used within junction capacity assessments for Junction 2 and Junction 3 of the A3 (M).

### 2.2. ITEM 8 - JUNCTION 2, A3 (M)

2.2.1.1. The recommendation set out in Item 8 pertains both to discrepancies between the traffic flows presented in the modelling outputs and those in the traffic flow diagrams for Junction 2, A3 (M), which were provided in Appendix 3 of HETN02. Item 8 is detailed in paragraph 3.2 of HEO3, which is replicated below for ease of reference:
"3.2. Based on the calculations undertaken by $\operatorname{AECOM}$, there appear to be some minor discrepancies between the flows found in the flow diagrams and those included in the models. For example the left turn from arm 3 to arm 34 (link 1006-1004) is shown as 703 vehicles in the matrix of traffic flows but 727 in the ARCADY model. There are other examples of the same order of magnitude. It is recommended that either the flow diagrams or the models are corrected to ensure that these are consistent, and that clarification is provided. Furthermore, there appear to be no traffic flows from $A 3(M)$ south to Dell Piece East, AECOM recommend confirmation that this is correct."
2.2.1.2. The slight discrepancy between the traffic flows included in the model and those which were presented in the traffic flow diagrams arose from the addition of construction traffic to the model, which had not been replicated in the traffic flow diagrams. Further details relating to the addition of construction traffic at Junction 2, A3 (M) can be found in Section 3 of Technical Note HE02, which was previously submitted to HE by the Applicant. This includes details of the traffic flows from A3(M) south to Dell Piece East.
2.2.1.3. Further investigation into the traffic flows at Junction 2, A3 (M) has found that the SRTM outputs received for this junction were incorrect. The correct turning counts for this junction have been obtained for all modelled scenarios and are provided in Appendix 1 for reference. Construction vehicles have been added to the SRTM data where appropriate, as is detailed in Section 3 of Aquind Technical Note HEO2. The corrected traffic flows for Junction 2, A3 (M), with additional construction traffic where appropriate, have been used in for all assessments undertaken in this report.

### 2.3. ITEM 10 - JUNCTION 3, A3 (M)

2.3.1.1. Item 10 of HE03 concerns the absence of traffic movements between $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ south and Hulbert Road east at Junction 3, A3 (M). Item 10 is replicated below for reference:
"With regard to $A 3(M)$ Junction 3, there appears to be no flows from $A 3(M)$ south to Hulbert Road East, and confirmation should be provided that this is correct"
2.3.1.2. The absence of traffic flows on these movements were due to nature of the SRTM outputs only. As with Junction 3, A3 (M), the Applicant notes HE's comments regarding these specific SRTM outputs, and as such has included movements between these two arms in all assessments undertaken in this Technical Note. Traffic flows for the missing movements have been calculated using observed data collected from a survey of Junction 3, A3 (M) in September 2019 carried out by the Applicant and included in Appendix 2. The observed 2019 traffic flows are set out in Table 1.

Table 1: 2019 Traffic Survey Traffic Flows (Junction 3, A3 (M))
AM Peak

| From / To | Hulbert Road (east) | A3 (M) (south) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Hulbert Road (east) | - | 14 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 38 | - |
|  | PM Peak |  |
| From / To | Hulbert Road (east) | A3 (M) (south) |
| Hulbert Road (east) | - | 43 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 17 | - |

2.3.1.3. TEMPRO growth rates were applied to the recorded 2019 traffic flows set out in Table 1 in order to bring them into alignment with the 2026 assessment year used in the SRTM. The TEMPRO growth rates are set out in Table 2 for the Havant area.

Table 2: 2019-2026 TEMPRo Growth rates, Junction 3 A3 (M)

| Locality | Growth Rates $(2019-2026)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Havant 006 MSOA | 1.102536 | 1.106028 |

2.3.1.4. The resultant 2026 forecast traffic flows for this movement are set out in Table 3.

Table 3: 2026 Traffic flows for movements between A3 (M) and Hulbert Road East
AM Peak

| From / To | Hulbert Road (east) | A3 (M) (south) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hulbert Road (east) | - | 15 |  |
| A3 (M) (south) | 42 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| From / To | PM Peak |  |  |
| Hulbert Road (east) | - | A3 (M) (south) |  |
| A3 (M) (south) | 19 | 48 |  |

2.3.1.5. $\quad$ These revised traffic flows for the movement between Hulbert Road East and $A 3$ (M) south which are set out in Table 3 have been used in the Do Minimum (DM) and Do Something (DS) scenarios 1 and 2 in all further assessments of Junction 3, A3 (M) undertaken in this Technical Note and are included in Appendix 3 for reference.

### 2.4. SUMMARY

2.4.1.1. This section has provided a response to Item 8 and Item 10 of HE03. In respect to Item 8, further investigation into the traffic flows at Junction 2, A3 (M) found them to be incorrect and thus corrected traffic flows for this junction have been provided. This Section has also addressed concerns raised by HE regarding the absence of traffic flow data for the movement between the A3 (M) (south) and Hulbert Road (east) arms of Junction 3, A3 (M). In order to address these concerns, the Applicant has collated observed traffic count data for the missing movements and applied appropriate growth factors as to match the assessment year. The observed traffic flows with growth factors applied have been included in the place of the absent movements in all further assessments of these junctions within this Technical Note.
2.4.1.2. In using these traffic flows the Applicant notes the robust nature of the assessments undertaken within this Technical Note with regards to the total volume of traffic flow assessed as using Junction 2 and 3 of the A3 (M). This is shown in Table 4 below which provides a comparison of traffic flows recorded during the 2019 surveys of each junction and adjusted DM, DS1 and DS2 scenarios.

Table 4: Comparison of Total Traffic Flow Assessed at Junction 2 / 3 of A3 (M)

|  |  | AM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Junction | 2019 Traffic Surveys | 2026 Assessed DM Scenario | 2026 Assessed DS1 Scenario | 2026 Assessed <br> DS1 Scenario |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | 2,697 | $\begin{gathered} 4,007 \\ (+48.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,989 \\ (+47.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,985 \\ (+47.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| A3 (M) Junction 3 | 4,095 | $\begin{gathered} 4,535 \\ (+10.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,641 \\ (+13.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,693 \\ (+14.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| Junction | 2019 Traffic Surveys | 2026 Assessed DM Scenario | 2026 Assessed DS1 Scenario | 2026 Assessed <br> DS1 Scenario |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | 3,099 | $\begin{gathered} 3,914 \\ (+26.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,097 \\ (+32.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,094 \\ (+32.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| A3 (M) Junction 3 | 3,892 | $\begin{gathered} 4,783 \\ (+22.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,741 \\ (21.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,747 \\ (+22.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

2.4.1.3. $\quad$ The traffic flows in Table 4 highlight the increases in traffic flow at $A 3$ (M) Junction 2 and 3 when compared with the 2019 surveys against the 2026 DM, DS1 and DS2 scenarios. The traffic flows presented for A3 (M) Junction 2 represent significant growth in traffic flow compared to TEMPRO estimates for the period between 2019 and 2026. Whilst the traffic flows presented for A3 (M) Junction 3 do not increase by the same proportions as those identified at $A 3(M)$ Junction 2, they are still beyond those forecast by TEMPRO. Therefore, all assessments contained within this Technical Note are very robust estimates of junction operation and impact of the Proposed Development.

## LANE SIMULATION MODELLING

### 3.1. INTRODUCTION

3.1.1.1. This section addresses Item 1 of HE 03 , which requested additional sensitivity tests be undertaken at both Junction 2 and Junction 3 of the A3 (M), to include the use of lane simulation within ARCADY and incorporating the minor amendments to be included in the junction models as requested by AECOM's correspondence of 27 November 2020. This section provides an assessment of the junctions in their current form, noting the committed capacity improvement schemes for these locations discussed in Section 4 of this Note that may be completed prior to construction of the Onshore Cable Route. In addition, the assessments contained within this section are considered to be very robust on the basis of the following:

- All assessments have been undertaken using traffic flows shown in Table 4, which represent a significant increase when compared against the observed 2019 traffic surveys. This is a result of the traffic growth and committed development assumptions included within the SRTM for the local area;
- The traffic flows include for committed development at Land to the East of Horndean and Old Park Farm, which are required to deliver mitigation schemes at Junctions 2 and 3 of the A3 (M). These mitigation schemes were not included within the SRTM modelling; and
- The DS1 and DS2 scenarios have used a worst-case scenario for the location of traffic management associated with construction of the Onshore Cable Route with temporary traffic signals included on the B2150 Hambledon Road, B2150 Hambledon Road / A3 Maurepas Way / Houghton Avenue roundabout and A3 London Road / Ladybridge roundabout. The cumulative effect of this traffic management leads to a high level of traffic re-assignment away from the Onshore Cable Corridor and onto the wider highway network such as $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ junctions 2 and 3 . However this will not occur due to the programme restrictions contained within the Framework Traffic Management Strategy (FTMS) (REP1-068), which prevents such a traffic management scenario from occurring. With these restrictions in place only one of the three traffic management locations included within the SRTM may take place at any one time.
3.1.1.2. These programme restrictions and FTMS are secured via protective provisions contained in the draft Development Consent Order.


### 3.2. ITEM 1

3.2.1.1. $\quad H E$ have requested further sensitivity tests are undertaken at both Junction 2 and Junction 3 of A3 (M). The request from HE is set out below:
"With regard to $A 3(M)$ Junctions 2 and 3, lane simulation should be used within ARCADY as a sensitivity test (paras 3.5 and 3.11 ) and these sensitivity tests should be undertaken before the results of the modelling are accepted (para 3.7 and 3.14)."
3.2.1.2. Following this recommendation, as a sensitivity test, further junction modelling was undertaken within ARCADY using lane simulation. The geometric parameters used in these sensitivity tests have not altered from those used in the ARCADY modelling set out in Appendix 3 of Technical Note HE02, as these elements have been previously accepted by Highways England. The traffic flow inputs have been modified in order to provide those details that were absent in the SRTM outputs, as is further detailed in Section 2 of this report.
3.2.1.3. Full outputs of this ARCADY modelling is included within Appendix 4 of this Technical Note.

### 3.2.2. JUNCTION 2, A3 (M)

3.2.2.1. As is set out in Section 2.2 of this Technical Note, the SRTM outputs which had previously been received by the Applicant for Junction 2, A3 (M) were found to be incorrect. As such all previously submitted assessments for Junction 2, A3 (M) should be taken to be superseded. A revised set of traffic flow diagrams for this junction are provided as part of this response. Specifically, superseded assessments comprise of those included in:

- Table 105, 106 and 107 of the originally submitted Transport Assessment (APP-448);
- Table 32, 33 and 34 of the Supplementary Transport Assessment (REP1-142); and
- Table 4, 5 and 6 of Highways England Technical Note 2 (HE02).
3.2.2.2. For the purpose of completeness, ARCADY assessments have been undertaken using the corrected flows set out in Section 2.2, with the addition of construction traffic in the PM peak in the DS scenarios where appropriate. The revised assessment results are included in Table 5, Table 6, and Table 7, which provide details of the capacity assessment outputs, in terms of forecast vehicle queue lengths represented as Passenger Car Units (PCU), average vehicle delay expressed in seconds and capacity expressed as a Ratio of Flow to Capacity (RFC).

Table 5: 2026 DM AM Junction 2, A3 (M) results

| Arm | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | RFC | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | RFC |
| Dell Piece East | 3 | 12 | 0.74 | 3 | 10 | 0.67 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 2 | 7 | 0.61 | 2 | 6 | 0.64 |
| B2149 Dell Piece <br> West | 2 | 4 | 0.58 | 4 | 8 | 0.75 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 5 | 16 | 0.80 | 7 | 45 | 0.88 |

Table 6: 2026 DS1 Junction 2, A3 (M) results

| Arm | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | RFC | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | RFC |
| Dell Piece East | 3 | 12 | 0.73 | 2 | 10 | 0.65 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 2 | 8 | 0.63 | 3 | 8 | 0.71 |
| B2149 Dell Piece <br> West | 2 | 4 | 0.58 | 4 | 8 | 0.78 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 6 | 19 | 0.83 | 5 | 35 | 0.83 |

Table 7: 2026 DS2 Junction 2, A3 (M) results

| Arm | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | RFC | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | RFC |
| Dell Piece East | 3 | 12 | 0.73 | 2 | 10 | 0.65 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 2 | 8 | 0.63 | 3 | 8 | 0.71 |
| B2149 Dell Piece <br> West | 2 | 4 | 0.58 | 4 | 8 | 0.78 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 6 | 19 | 0.83 | 5 | 35 | 0.83 |

3.2.2.3. The results set out for the AM peak demonstrate that all arms of Junction 2, A3 (M) are able to operate within their theoretical capacities in the DM scenario, and both DS scenarios modelled. In the PM peak, the A3 (M) (north) arm is approaching capacity in the DM scenario, although queueing is limited to seven PCUs, which can be easily accommodated on the slip-road given its link length of 280 metres. This arm is anticipated to operate within capacity in both of the DS scenarios modelled. Furthermore, all other arms of this junction are forecast to operate within their theoretical capacity in all modelled scenarios.

## Lane Simulation

3.2.2.4. As per the request of HE, further sensitivity tests have been conducted for Junction 2 using lane simulation in ARCADY. These sensitivity tests have been conducted using the corrected traffic flows, with the addition of construction traffic in the PM peak in the DS scenarios where appropriate. The results of the modelling undertaken for Junction 2, A3 (M) for the 2026 Do Minimum (DM) scenario when using lane simulation are set out in Table 8.

Table 8: 2026 DM Junction 2, A3 (M) Lane simulation results

| Arm | Lane | AM peak (08:30-08:45) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak } \\ (17: 30-17: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay (s) |
| Dell Piece East | 1 (left / ahead) | 5 | 17 | 4 | 14 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 21 | 68 | 3 | 12 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 1 | 8 | 2 | 9 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 9 | 3 | 15 |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 1 (left / ahead) | 3 | 10 | 7 | 23 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 2 | 37 | 66 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left) | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 8 | 32 | 1 | 8 |

3.2.2.5. The results set out for the DM scenario in the AM peak forecast a queue of 21 PCU (126m) on the Dell Piece East arm. This queue will not block back to the next junction. In the PM peak, queueing of 37 PCU (222m) is forecast on B2149 Dell Piece West, this queue is also not anticipated to block back to the next junction. On both the northbound and southbound off-slips of the $A 3(M)$ at this junction, queueing and delay is forecast to be minimal in the DM scenario in both the AM and PM peaks. Queue lengths on both off-slips can be accommodated without blocking back on to the A3 (M) mainline in either direction.
3.2.2.6. The results of the modelling undertaken for Junction 2, A3 (M) for the 2026 Do Something 1 (DS1) scenario when using lane simulation are set out in Table 9.

Table 9: 2026 DS1 Junction 2, A3(M) Lane simulation results

| Arm | Lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM peak } \\ (08: 30-08: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak } \\ (17: 30-17: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) |
| Dell Piece East | 1 (left / ahead) | 5 | 16 | 4 | 14 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 12 | 38 | 3 | 11 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 1 | 8 | 3 | 15 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 2 | 9 | 3 | 13 |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 1 (left / ahead) | 2 | 9 | 2 | 9 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 2 | 34 | 58 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left) | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 9 | 35 | 1 | 8 |

3.2.2.7. The results set out for the DS1 scenario broadly align with those presented for the DM scenario. Some minor decreases in queuing are forecast in both the AM and PM peak as a result of an overall decrease in traffic flow through this junction in DS1 when compared with the DM scenario. Queueing is however forecast to increase on the A3 (M) (north) arm in the AM peak in DS1 when compared to the DM scenario by one PCU (6m). Overall the traffic reassignment associated with construction of the Onshore Cable Route is not predicted to have a detrimental impact on the operation of the junction in comparison with the DM scenario.
3.2.2.8. The results of the modelling undertaken for Junction 2, A3 (M) for the 2026 Do Something 1 (DS2) scenario when using lane simulation are set out in Table 10.

Table 10: 2026 DS2 Junction 2, A3 (M) Lane simulation results

| Arm | Lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM peak } \\ (08: 30-08: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak } \\ (17: 30-17: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay (s) | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay (s) |
| Dell Piece East | 1 (left / ahead) | 5 | 17 | 3 | 14 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 13 | 43 | 2 | 10 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 1 | 8 | 3 | 14 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 9 | 3 | 12 |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 1 (left / ahead) | 2 | 9 | 2 | 9 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 1 | 40 | 70 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left) | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 9 | 35 | 2 | 8 |

3.2.2.9. The junction modelling results for the DS2 scenario again align with those from the DM and the DS1 scenario. Whilst there are some minor variations in queue length and delays forecast, these are considered unlikely to materially impact upon the operation of the junction. Overall the traffic reassignment associated with construction of the Onshore Cable Route is not predicted to have a detrimental impact on the operation of the junction in comparison with the DM scenario.

### 3.2.3. JUNCTION 3, A3 (M)

3.2.3.1. The results of the modelling undertaken using lane simulation should be reviewed in the context of the assessments which have been previously undertaken for this junction. The results of the previous modelling at this junction, which does not use lane simulation, can be found in the following:

- 2026 DM AM and PM Peak: Table 11 of the originally submitted Transport Assessment (TA) (APP-448)
3.2.3.2. This table has been replicated below for ease of reference.

Table 11: 2026 DM junction modelling results, replicated from Table 111 of the originally submitted Transport Assessment (TA) (APP-448)

| Arm | AM peak (08:00 - 09:00) |  | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | RFC | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | RFC |
| Hulbert Road (east) | 1 | 3 | 0.35 | 1 | 4 | 0.38 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 3 | 10 | 0.73 | 4 | 12 | 0.79 |
| Hulbert Road (west) | 3 | 5 | 0.71 | 2 | 3 | 0.54 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 8 | 28 | 0.89 | 30 | 66 | 1.00 |

3.2.3.3. Comparatively, the results of the modelling undertaken for Junction 3, A3 (M) for the 2026 DM scenario when using lane simulation are set out in Table 12.

Table 12: 2026 DM Junction 3, A3 (M) Lane simulation results

| Arm | Lane | AM peak (08:30-08:45) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak } \\ (17: 30-17: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay (s) | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) |
| Hulbert Road (east) | 1 (left / ahead) | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 2 | 7 | 2 | 8 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 2 | 7 | 2 | 8 |
| Hulbert Road (west) | 1 (left /ahead) | 96 | 196 | 2 | 7 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left /ahead) | 5 | 22 | 164 | 484 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 7 |

3.2.3.4. The results set out for the DM scenario show limited queueing in the AM peak on all arms with the exception of the nearside lane of Hulbert Road (west) arm, which does not form part of the SRN. It is forecast that in the AM peak, in the DM scenario the Hulbert Road (west) arm of this junction will experience queueing of $96 \mathrm{PCU}(576 \mathrm{~m})$. In the PM peak, a queue of 164 PCU (984m) is forecast for the A3 (M) (north) arm. This level of queueing extends beyond the limits of the off slip, blocking back on to the mainline of the $A 3(M)$ southbound.
3.2.3.5. The results of the modelling undertaken for Junction 3, A3 (M) for the 2026 DS1 scenario when using lane simulation are set out in Table 12.

Table 13: 2026 DS1 Junction 3, A3 (M) Lane simulation results

| Arm | Lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM peak } \\ (08: 30-08: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak } \\ (17: 30-17: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay (s) | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) |
| Hulbert Road (east) | 1 (left / ahead) | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 2 | 9 | 2 | 9 |
| Hulbert Road (west) | 1 (left /ahead) | 117 | 236 | 2 | 6 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left /ahead) | 6 | 23 | 163 | 471 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 |

3.2.3.6. The modelling results for the DS1 scenario in the AM peak show an increase in queueing compared to the DM scenario on the Hulbert Road (west) arm. Minor increases in queue lengths are forecast on the A3 (M) (south) A3 (M) (north) arms, although these would be accommodated within the length of slip road.
3.2.3.7. In the PM peak, a decrease in the forecast queue length of $1 \mathrm{PCU}(6 \mathrm{~m})$ is shown on the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ northern approach. As this arm is forecast to be have extensive queueing in the PM peak in the DM scenario, this decrease in queueing is considered unlikely to have a material impact on the operation of the junction. As shown on the traffic flow diagrams provided at Appendix 3 ('Junction 3, A3 (M) Adjusted Turning Counts), the implementation of traffic management in the DS1 scenario would increases the traffic flow on the A3 (M) northern approach to Hulbert Road east by only five vehicles during this peak hour. For context purposes, this is the nearside lane of $A 3(M)$ (north) that experiences the highest forecast queue values, however it can be seen that the
actual increase in traffic flow using this link is not at all significant.
3.2.3.8. The results of the modelling undertaken for Junction 3, A3 (M) for the 2026 DS2 scenario when using lane simulation are set out in Table 124.

Table 14: 2026 DS2 Junction 3, A3 (M) Lane simulation results

| Arm | Lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM peak } \\ (08: 30-08: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak } \\ (17: 30-17: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay (s) | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) |
| Hulbert Road (east) | 1 (left / ahead) | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 2 | 8 | 2 | 9 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 2 | 8 | 2 | 9 |
| Hulbert Road (west) | 1 (left /ahead) | 119 | 240 | 2 | 6 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left /ahead) | 5 | 21 | 169 | 491 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 7 |

3.2.3.9.

The results for the DS2 scenario, as with the DS1 scenario, show an increase in forecast queueing on the Hulbert Road (west) arm in the AM peak, and a minor increase in queueing on the A3 (M) (south) and A3 (M) (north) arms, but which can be accommodated within the length of the slip roads. In the PM peak, an increase in the forecast queue length of $5 \mathrm{PCU}(30 \mathrm{~m})$ is shown on the A3 $(M)$ north approach despite the implementation of traffic management in this scenario only increasing the traffic flow between this arm and Hulbert Road east by nine vehicles. As with the DS1 scenario, it is considered that these changes will not have a material impact upon the operation of the junction when compared to the DM scenario.

### 3.3. SUMMARY

3.3.1.1. This Section has addressed Item 1 of HE03 which requested that additional sensitivity tests be run at both Junction 2, A3 (M) and Junction 3, A3 (M) using lane simulation in ARCADY. Queuing at Junction 2, A3 (M) is forecast to be minimal on A3(M) off-slips in all assessed scenarios. At Junction 3, it is forecast there that there will be queues on the offside lane of Hulbert Road west in the AM peak in all scenarios. Significant queue lengths are forecast on the A3 (M) north arm in the PM peak in all scenarios. In all DS scenarios, however, the implementation of traffic management associated with construction of the Onshore Cable Route is not forecast to have a material impact on the operation of either junction or peak hour queue lengths as it relates to the SRN.
3.3.1.2. In addition, the Land to the East of Horndean and Old Park Farm committed development schemes are required to introduce mitigation at the $A 3(M)$ junctions and this is discussed in Section 4 of this document. Together with the points raised above in respect of the traffic management measures, given that this analysis includes for the development traffic associated with these committed schemes, but without the identified mitigation, the assessment undertaken is therefore a theoretical one which could not occur in reality.

# 4. COMMITTED DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENTS 

### 4.1. INTRODUCTION

4.1.1.1. This section addresses the comments raised in Item 2 of HE03, pertaining to the mitigation measures secured at both Junction 2, A3 (M) and Junction 3, A3 (M) in association with committed developments in the area.
4.2. ITEM 2
4.2.1.1. Item 2 of HE's Technical Note relates to the inclusion of traffic associated with committed development in the SRTM, without the inclusion of the mitigation measures which are associated with said developments. Specifically, HE stated that:
'3.16. The SRTM included the signalisation of the A3(M) northbound off-slip approach to the Junction 3 roundabout. HE02 states that improvements are also proposed for the $A 3(M)$ Junction 2 as part of a development at Land East of Horndean, Rowlands Castle Road, Horndean, which proposes 800 dwellings and other complimentary uses. Both the consented scheme (55562/001), approved in 2016, and a revised scheme awaiting decision following planning committee held on 11 June 2020 (55562/005), included proposals to signalise A3(M) Junction 2. WSP note that the SRTM assumptions did not include this mitigation scheme, however it did include the demand generated by the proposed development. WSP conclude that given that the junction has been modelled within the Aquind Transport Assessment in its existing form without this mitigation, and no capacity concerns have been reported under such assessment, it is considered that a robust approach has also been taken for the modelling of this junction.
3.17. As stated above, AECOM do not yet agree that the junctions concerned necessarily operate within capacity once the impact of unequal lane usage is taken into account. Since the traffic flows used include the traffic generated by these committed developments, but the junction capacity models do not include their mitigation schemes, it is not possible to establish with any certainty what the net impact of the proposed Aquind Interconnector construction phase will be in either of the following scenarios:

- Without the committed development and without its mitigation scheme;
- With the committed development and with its mitigation scheme.
3.18. It is possible that either of these scenarios would result in a more favourable outcome than that currently presented in the TA. However, as things stand, the analysis has not shown conclusively that there will not be a severe impact at either $A 3(M)$ Junction 2 or $A 3(M)$ Junction 3 during the construction phase of the Aquind interconnector.'
4.2.1.2. As such, following this request from HE further junction modelling has been undertaken for both Junction 2 and Junction 3 of the A3 (M).


### 4.2.2 COMMITTED DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES

4.2.2.1. The following documents have been reviewed in order to inform the assessments undertaken on this topic:

- Land to the east of Horndean (55562/005):
- Environmental Statement - Chapter 2: Site description and development proposals (December 2018);
- Environmental Statement - Technical Appendix J: Transport Assessment (December 2018);
- Old Park Farm, Waterlooville (05/00500/OUT):
- Environmental Statement Volume 3A - Transport Assessment (November 2004); and
- Drawing No. 3-004032-DR-100-003-P06: A3(M) J3 Northbound Slip S278 Signalisation Scheme (March 2017).
4.2.2.2. A brief overview of these committed developments and their anticipated impact upon Junction 2 and Junction 3 of the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ is set out in Table 15 and drawings of the proposed junction improvement schemes are provided in Appendix 5 for reference.

Table 15: Overview of committed development

| Committed <br> Development | Proposals | Anticipated Traffic <br> Impacts | Committed Mitigation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land to the East of <br> Horndean | 800 Dwellings <br> 2ha <br> Employment <br> Local Centre <br> Primary <br> School | 454 Movements <br> trough Junction 2, A3 <br> (M) in the AM peak, <br> and 460 in the PM <br> peak | Full signalisation of <br> Junction 2, A3 (M) |
| Old Park Farm <br> (Forming part of the <br> West of <br> Waterlooville MDA) | 474 Mixed <br> dwellings <br> 7.7ha of <br> employment <br> $2.8 h a ~ o f ~$ <br> mixed-use <br> land | 189 Movements <br> Junction 3, A3 (M) in <br> the AM peak, and 153 <br> in the PM peak | Partial signalisation of <br> Junction 3, A3 (M) <br> (northbound off-slip and <br> southern circulatory <br> only). |

4.2.3.
4.2.3.1.
4.2.3.2. This section includes details of the specific traffic flow movements which are anticipated to be generated from the Old Park Farm development. This identified committed development traffic was then removed from the traffic flows for Junction 3 , A3 (M) which were obtained from the SRTM, and the ARCADY model re-run with these revised traffic flows. This assessment provides an understanding of the impact of the proposals associated with the AQUIND project in isolation, in a scenario in which the discussed committed development traffic is not put in place without the required mitigation scheme to be provided by the Old Park Farm development.
4.2.3.3. Full ARCADY outputs of this assessment are included in Appendix 6 of this Technical Note.
4.2.3.4. The traffic flows associated with the Old Park Farm have been taken from Appendix 10 of the Old Park Farm Transport Assessment. A summary of the development only traffic which is anticipated to travel through Junction 3 of the A3 $(M)$ is set out in Table 16 and Table 17 below.

Table 16: Old Park Farm, West of Waterlooville MDA, Development Traffic (AM Peak) Junction 3, A3 (M)

| To / From |  | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Hulbert Road | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| B | A3 (M) (south) | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 |
| C | B2150 Hulbert Road | 11 | 46 | 0 | 15 |
| D | A3 (M) (north) | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 |

Table 17: Old Park Farm, West of Waterlooville MDA, Development Traffic (PM Peak) Junction 3, A3 (M)

| To / From |  | A | B | C | D |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Hulbert Road | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| B | A3 (M) (south) | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 |
| C | B2150 Hulbert Road | 15 | 63 | 0 | 21 |
| D | A3 (M) (north) | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |

4.2.3.5. As per HE's request, the committed development traffic set out in Table 16 and Table 17 have been removed from the SRTM turning counts for this Junction 3, A3 (M). The resultant traffic flows were then assessed using an ARCADY model of Junction 3, A3 (M). The results of this assessment for the DM, DS1 and DS2 scenarios are included in Table 18, Table 19 and Table 20 respectively.

Table 18: 2026 DM with committed development traffic removed

| Arm | Lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM peak } \\ (08: 30-08: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak ( } \\ \text { 17:30-17:30) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) |
| Hulbert Road (east) | 1 (left / ahead) | 2 | 7 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 2 | 7 | 2 | 8 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 2 | 7 | 2 | 8 |
| B2150 Hulbert Road (west) | 1 (left) | 85 | 174 | 2 | 7 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left /ahead) | 5 | 23 | 165 | 472 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 7 |

4.2.3.8. The results for the DM scenario when modelled using lane simulation demonstrate minimal queueing on all arms other than Hulbert Road west in the AM peak. Hulbert Road west is anticipated to have a queue of 85 PCU (5108m). In the PM peak, the longest queues are forecast for $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ north where the queue is forecast to extend for 165 PCU (990m) and as such is anticipated to exceed the length of the southbound slip road, blocking back to the south bound mainline of the A3 (M). The results are similar to the position presented in Table 12 which includes committed development traffic associated with Old Park Farm, albeit that during the AM peak a lower queue length is forecast for B2150 Hulbert Road with the committed development traffic removed.
4.2.3.9. Table 19 now presents the capacity assessments for the DS1 scenario, without the Old Park Farm committed development traffic.

Table 19: 2026 DS1 with committed development traffic removed

| Arm | Lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM peak } \\ (08: 30-08: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak } \\ (17: 30-17: 30) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay (s) |
| Hulbert Road (east) | 1 (left / ahead) | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 |
| B2150 Hulbert Road (west) | 1 (left) | 107 | 214 | 2 | 6 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left /ahead) | 6 | 24 | 163 | 469 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 7 |

4.2.3.12. The results set out for the DS1 scenario show a worsening in the AM peak when compared to the DM scenario when modelled using lane simulation, with a 22 PCU (132m) increase in traffic flows being anticipated on the B2150 Hulbert Road (west).
4.2.3.13. In the PM peak, there is a slight decrease in queueing forecast for the $A 3(M)$ north arm, with this decrease comprising of two PCU (12m). As this arm is already forecast to experience considerable queueing in the DM scenario, it is considered that this minor decrease would not have a material impact on the operation of the junction. Again, the results are similar to the position presented in Table 13 which includes committed development traffic associated with Old Park Farm.
4.2.3.14. Table 20 now presents the capacity assessments for the DS2 scenario, without the Old Park Farm committed development traffic.

Table 20: 2026 DS2 with committed development traffic removed

| Arm | Lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM peak } \\ (08: 30-08: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak } \\ (17: 30-17: 30) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) |
| Hulbert Road (east) | 1 (left / ahead) | 2 | 7 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 |
| B2150 <br> Hulbert Road (west) | 1 (left) | 105 | 214 | 2 | 6 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left /ahead) | 6 | 24 | 165 | 467 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 |

4.2.3.16. The results of the DS2 assessment provides similar results to the DS1 scenario where there is forecast to be an increase in queue lengths on B2150 Hulbert Road in the AM peak when compared to the DM scenario. As it relates to the SRN, this temporary increase in queue lengths is unlikely to result in a material impact on the operation of the junction and would be unlikely to occur in reality due to the programme restrictions contained within the FTMS to mitigate the cumulative impacts of traffic management associated with construction of the Onshore Cable Route. Again, results are similar to the position presented in Table 14 which includes committed development traffic associated with Old Park Farm, albeit during the AM peak a lower queue length is forecast for B2150 Hulbert Road and a lower queue is shown on the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ (north) arm during the PM peak.
4.2.4. JUNCTION MODELLING WITH THE COMMITTED DEVELOPMENT AND WITH MITIGATION SCHEME
4.2.4.1. Further to the assessment undertaken in Section 4.2.3, this Section includes additional assessments of both Junction 2 and Junction 3 of the A3 (M). These additional assessments use the original traffic flows obtained from the SRTM, which included traffic to be generated from the committed developments at Old Park Farm and Land east of Horndean. The traffic flows that are inclusive of this committed development traffic were run in LinSig, accounting for the junction signalisation schemes which are to be delivered as mitigation measures alongside these committed developments. Full LinSig outputs are included in Appendix 7 of this Technical Note.
4.2.4.2. Details of the junction signalisation schemes to be delivered as mitigation alongside these committed developments were obtained from the following documents and are included in Appendix 5 of this Note:

- Junction 2, A3 (M) (Land East of Horndean): Environmental Statement Technical Appendix J: Transport Assessment: Appendix L 'Junction 3 - A3 (M) Junction 2 - Arcady and LinSig Results' (December 2018); and
- Junction 3, A3 (M) (Old Park Farm): Drawing No. 3-004032-DR-100-003-P06: A3(M) J3 Northbound Slip S278 Signalisation Scheme (March 2017).


## Junction 2, A3 (M)

4.2.4.3. The mitigation measures proposed to be implemented alongside the Land East of Horndean includes the full signalisation of Junction 2 of the A3 (M). This signalisation scheme has been modelled in LinSig with the SRTM traffic flows for the DM, DS1 and DS2 scenarios. Results are provided in terms of capacity, expressed as percentage Degree of Saturation (D.o.S), Mean Maximum Queue Values, expressed as Passenger Car Units (PCU's) and Delay per vehicle, expressed on the basis of average values in seconds, per vehicle, The results of these assessments can be seen in Table 21, Table 22 and Table 23.

Table 21: 2026 DM with committed development traffic and signalisation scheme Junction 2, A3 (M)

|  | AM Peak (08:00-09:00) |  |  | PM Peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> $(\mathrm{pcu})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ | D.o.S (\%) | MMQ <br> $(\mathrm{pcu})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ |
| Dell Piece East | 100.4 | 31 | 80 | 92.7 | 18 | 42 |
| A3 (M) South (off-slip) | 58.8 | 7 | 19 | 61.9 | 8 | 14 |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 73.9 | 11 | 19 | 109.6 | 69 | 201 |
| A3 (M) North (off-slip) | 105.1 | 41 | 144 | 90.4 | 11 | 55 |
| Circulatory (east) | 103.0 | 33 | 105 | 91.3 | 11 | 33 |
| Circulatory (south) | 49.0 | 2 | 8 | 45.8 | 2 | 10 |
| Circulatory (west) | 80.5 | 9 | 22 | 110.7 | 47 | 222 |
| Circulatory (north) | 82.0 | 5 | 22 | 91.2 | 6 | 20 |
|  |  | Tin |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Time: 60s
PRC: -16.7\%

Cycle Time: 60s
PRC: -23.0\%
4.2.4.4. The results set out for the DM scenario show that the junction is anticipated to be overcapacity in both the AM and PM peaks. The longest anticipated queue length in the AM peak is forecast to occur of the A3 (M) (north) off slip. This queue is forecast to extend for $41 \mathrm{PCU}(246 \mathrm{~m})$, which can be accommodated within the 280 m off-slip and thus would not block back onto the southbound mainline of the A3 (M). In the DM scenario in the PM peak the most extensive queueing is forecast of the B2149 Dell Piece West approach, with this queue comprising $69 \mathrm{PCU}(414 \mathrm{~m})$. In addition, queueing is forecast for the western circulatory of the junction of 47 PCU (282m). This level of queueing will block back beyond the storage capacity of the circulatory and thus will impact upon the operation of the junction. The matter of the forecast queue lengths is discussed in further detail in the summary of this section.

Table 22: 2026 DS1 with committed development traffic and signalisation scheme Junction 2, A3 (M)

|  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> (pcu) | Delay <br> (s/pcu) | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> (pcu) | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ |  |  |  |  |
| Dell Piece East | 100.2 | 29 | 80 | 94.1 | 19 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| A3 (M) South (off-slip) | 56.5 | 7 | 18 | 60.8 | 8 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 73.1 | 11 | 18 | 108.8 | 67 | 186 |  |  |  |  |
| A3 (M) North (off-slip) | 94.8 | 20 | 47 | 88.4 | 10 | 53 |  |  |  |  |
| Circulatory (east) | 100.5 | 29 | 74 | 83.6 | 14 | 33 |  |  |  |  |
| Circulatory (south) | 56.0 | 3 | 8 | 45.8 | 2 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Circulatory (west) | 84.8 | 10 | 29 | 111.1 | 46 | 231 |  |  |  |  |
| Circulatory (north) | 92.3 | 15 | 47 | 91.4 | 6 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cycle Time: 60 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cycle Time: 60 s |

4.2.4.5. $\quad$ The results for this junction in the DS1 scenario show that the junction would operate no worse than the position shown by the DM scenario, with both slip roads seeing queueing either remaining as it is in the DM or decreasing.

Table 23: 2026 DS2 with committed development traffic and signalisation scheme Junction 2, A3 (M)

4.2.4.6. The results for the DS2 scenario broadly align with those which were forecast for the DS1 scenario, with the junction anticipated to operate over capacity in both the AM and PM peaks, but no worse than the position shown by the DM scenario. Queue lengths on the slip roads in both periods can be accommodated without blocking back on to the mainline of the A3 (M).
4.2.4.7. This position is however unlikely to occur in reality due to the programme restrictions contained within the FTMS to mitigate the cumulative impacts of traffic management associated with construction of the Onshore Cable Route.

## Junction 3, A3 (M)

4.2.4.8. $\quad$ The mitigation measures proposed to be implemented alongside the Old Park Farm development includes the partial signalisation of Junction 3 of the A3 (M). Signalisation is proposed for the northbound off-slip of the A3 (M) at this junction, and the corresponding circulatory. As has been discussed in Section 1 of this Technical Note, LinSig assessments of Junction 3, A3 (M) have been undertaken twice, for two different lane usage scenarios on the A3 (M) south approach. Aside from the differences in lane alignment of the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ south approach, the two models of Junction 2, A3 (M) are identical. The signalisation scheme has been modelled using both lane alignments, with the SRTM traffic flows for the DM, DS1 and DS2 scenarios. A3 (M) South Approach: Left Turn Prohibited from Offside Lane
4.2.4.9. This Section contains the results of the junction modelling undertaken using the SRTM flows, when modelled preventing use of the offside lane of the A3 (M) approach to turn left on to B2150 Hulbert Road (west).
4.2.4.10. The results of these assessments are set out in Table 24, Table 25 and Table 26.

Table 24: 2026 DM with committed development traffic and signalisation scheme Junction 3, A3 (M) (left turn prohibited from offside lane)

|  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D.o.S (\%) | MMQ <br> $(p c u)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> $(\mathrm{pcu})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hulbert Road (East) | 53.7 | 1 | 5 | 49.7 | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A3 (M) South off-slip | 86.3 | 18 | 20 | 92.7 | 23 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2150 Hulbert Road (West) | 117.0 | 103 | 302 | 85.8 | 5 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A3 (M) North off-slip | 79.9 | 2 | 10 | 115.4 | 138 | 272 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circulatory (south) | 89.0 | 11 | 50 | 89.8 | 12 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cycle Time: 60s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cycle Time: 60s |  |  |  |

4.2.4.11. The results set out in Table 24 demonstrate that the junction is over its theoretical capacity in the AM and PM peaks in the DM scenario. The longest queues in the AM peak are forecast on the B2150 Hulbert Road approach, which is anticipated to see queueing of $103 \mathrm{PCU}(618 \mathrm{~m})$. In the PM peak the longest queues are seen on the A3 (M) North approach, which is anticipated to see queueing of 138 PCU (828m). This queue is anticipated to extend beyond the limits of the 260 m slip, blocking back on to the mainline southbound carriageway of the A3 (M).
Table 25: 2026 DS1 with committed development traffic and signalisation scheme Junction 3, A3 (M) (left turn prohibited from offside lane)

|  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> (pcu) | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> $(\mathrm{pcu})$ | Delay (s/pcu) |  |
| Hulbert Road (East) | 53.8 | 1 | 5 | 57.0 | 1 | 7 |  |
| A3 (M) South off-slip | 94.2 | 25 | 32 | 99.6 | 37 | 59 |  |
| B2150 Hulbert Road (West) | 116.8 | 102 | 300 | 78.3 | 3 | 9 |  |
| A3 (M) North off-slip | 80.0 | 2 | 10 | 117.3 | 147 | 298 |  |
| Circulatory (south) | 90.5 | 12 | 53 | 93.7 | 14 | 63 |  |
|  | Cycle Time: 60s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRC: -29.8\% |  | Cycle Time: 60s <br> PRC: - 30.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |

4.2.4.12. The results set out for the DS1 scenario demonstrate the junction is again anticipated to be exceeding its theoretical capacity in the AM and PM peak. In the AM peak the reassignment of vehicles away from traffic management included in the DS1 scenario does not materially impact the operation of the junction. In the PM peak the DS1 scenario is forecast to result in a slight increase in queue lengths on the $A 3(M)$ North approach from 138 PCU to 147 PCU (882m). However, this increase is unlikely to represent a material difference to drivers travelling through this junction, given the forecast queues seen in the DM scenario, with only an additional 26 second delay per vehicle shown.

Table 26: 2026 DS2 with committed development traffic and signalisation scheme Junction 3, A3 (M) (left turn prohibited from offside lane)

|  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> (pcu) | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> $(\mathrm{pcu})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ |
| Hulbert Road (East) | 54.2 | 1 | 5 | 56.4 | 1 | 7 |
| A3 (M) South off-slip | 93.7 | 24 | 31 | 100.1 | 39 | 64 |
| B2150 Hulbert Road (West) | 115.0 | 112 | 268 | 78.7 | 4 | 9 |
| A3 (M) North off-slip | 79.8 | 2 | 10 | 117.6 | 149 | 303 |
| Circulatory (south) | 90.6 | 12 | 55 | 92.6 | 13 | 59 |
|  | Cycle Time: 60 s <br> PRC: $-29.8 \%$ |  |  | Cycle Time: 60s |  |  |

4.2.4.13. The results for the DS2 scenario broadly align with those which were forecast for the DS1 scenario, with the junction anticipated to operate over capacity in both the AM and PM peaks. This results in queue lengths on the A3 (M) North approach extending back onto the $A 3(M)$ mainline in the PM peak periods. This temporary increase in queue lengths however is unlikely to occur in reality due to the programme restrictions contained within the FTMS to mitigate the cumulative impacts of traffic management associated with construction of the Onshore Cable Route.

## A3 (M) South Approach: Left Turn Permitted from Offside Lane

4.2.4.14. This Section contains the results of the junction modelling undertaken using the SRTM flows, when modelled allowing the use of the offside lane of the A3 (M) south approach to turn left on to B2150 Hulbert Road (west), which as stated in Paragraph 1.1.2.5 is a manoeuvre which is commonplace at this junction
4.2.4.15. The results of these assessments are set out in Table 27, Table 28 and Table 29.

Table 27: 2026 DM with committed development traffic and signalisation scheme Junction 3, A3 (M) (left turn permitted from offside lane)

|  |  | AM Pe |  |  | M Peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D.o.S <br> (\%) | MMQ (pcu) | Delay (s/pcu) | $\begin{gathered} \text { D.o.S } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | MMQ (pcu) | Delay (s/pcu) |
| Hulbert Road (East) | 53.8 | 1 | 5 | 49.2 | 1 | 6 |
| A3 (M) South off-slip | 45.6 | 6 | 10 | 47.0 | 6 | 10 |
| B2150 Hulbert Road (West) | 117.9 | 106 | 314 | 85.8 | 5 | 13 |
| A3 (M) North off-slip | 79.9 | 2 | 10 | 115.4 | 138 | 272 |
| Circulatory (south) | 83.4 | 10 | 40 | 85.6 | 11 | 42 |
|  | Cycle Time: 60s PRC: - 31\% |  |  | Cycle Time: 60s PRC: -28.2\% |  |  |

4.2.4.16. The results set out for the DM scenario show that the junction is over capacity in both the AM and PM peak. The most extensive queueing in the AM peak is the 106 PCU (636m) queue anticipated on the B2150 Hulbert Road (west) arm. In the PM peak, the most extensive anticipated queue is for the A3 (M) (north) off-slip, which is forecast to extend for 138 PCU (828m). This queue is forecast to extend beyond the extents of the slip-road, blocking back on to the southbound mainline.
Table 28: 2026 DS1 with committed development traffic and signalisation scheme Junction 3, A3 (M) (left turn permitted from offside lane)

4.2.4.17. The results of the DS1 scenario broadly align with those set out for the DM scenario. In the PM scenario, the queueing on the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ north off-slip is anticipated to experience a slight increase in queueing of $10 \mathrm{PCU}(60 \mathrm{~m})$. Given the already considerable queueing anticipated in the DM scenario, it is unlikely that this addition to the queue length will have a material impact upon the operation of the junction.

Table 29: 2026 DS2 with committed development traffic and signalisation scheme Junction 3, A3 (M) (left turn permitted from offside lane)

4.2.4.18. The results set out for the DS2 scenario broadly align with those for the DM scenario. In the PM scenario, the queueing on the A3 (M) north off-slip is anticipated to experience a slight increase in queueing of 12 PCU (66m). Given the already considerable queueing anticipated in the DM scenario, it is unlikely that this addition to the queue length will have a material impact upon the operation of the junction.

### 4.3. SUMMARY

4.3.1.1. This Section has addressed Item 2 of HE03 pertaining to the impact the implementation of committed developments would have in on Junction 2 and Junction 3 of the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ in relation to the AQUIND proposals. Whilst generally it is noted that the DS1 and DS2 scenarios do not predict a material worsening of junction operation, at Junction 3 it is forecast that queue lengths extend back onto the $A 3(M)$ mainline as per the existing junction layout. In viewing these results however, the following should be noted:

- Stated queue lengths for signalised arms at Junctions are mean maximum queue lengths; this is a robust measurement of queue lengths and is likely to far exceed the average static queue at the junction. In addition, it should be noted that the Mean Maximum Queue values obtained consist of traffic joining the back of the
queues formed at the end of the red phase.
- As stated in Section 3.1 the DS1 and DS2 scenarios have used a worst-case scenario for the location of traffic management associated with construction of the Onshore Cable Route with temporary traffic signals included on the B2150 Hambledon Road, B2150 Hambledon Road / A3 Maurepas Way / Houghton Avenue roundabout and A3 London Road / Ladybridge roundabout. The cumulative effect of this traffic management leads to a high level of traffic reassignment away from the Onshore Cable Corridor and onto the wider highway network such as $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$ junctions 2 and 3 . However this level of traffic reassignment is unlikely to occur due to the programme restrictions contained within the Framework Traffic Management Strategy (FTMS) (REP1-068), which prevents such a cumulative traffic management scenario from occurring.
- All assessments have been undertaken using traffic flows shown in Table 4, which represent a significant increase when compared against the observed 2019 traffic surveys and predicted TEMPRO traffic growth rates for the same 2019-2026 period. For example, in the PM peak where queuing is forecast to reach the A3(M) mainline at Junction 3, the SRTM traffic flows represent a doubling of the growth rate predicted by TEMPRO between 2019 and 2026.This therefore provides a very robust forecast of likely junction operation in the DM, DS1 and DS2 scenarios. By definition, this would lead to a position where traffic queues shown by the additional modelling would not arise.
- In relation to the robust nature of the traffic flows, the high volume of traffic has led to all tested DM scenarios operating at or over capacity. As a consequence, any impacts associated with reassignment is heightened due to the junction not having available capacity to cater for increased traffic demand.
- The junction improvement scheme for A3 (M) Junction 2 forms part of the committed transport strategy for the outline planning permission 55562/005 that was approved at planning committee in June 2020. At the time of writing however a S106 Agreement has not been finalised and the Applicant understands that the trigger point for a planning condition relating to these works is yet to be confirmed. This is highlighted from the extract taken from the Planning Committee report for planning application 55562/005:
"Highways England has considered the application and the alterations to the junctions to accommodate the application and is satisfied that any changes can be safely made. They raise no objection subject to a condition requiring pedestrian and cycle routes linking the east and west sides of the A3 (M) to be carried out before first occupation. Although these works are requested to be carried out prior to first occupation of the development, the applicant has been in discussion with HCC LHA and it has been accepted by HCC LHA that the junction
works can be carried out later in the development, for example, prior to first occupation of the 230th dwelling. This is subject to the developer providing a bus service to and from the site to Horndean Technical College as soon as the first secondary school aged child occupies the development. This is to be secured through a S106 legal agreement and the bus service would commence from that point and for a full school term following completion of the Junction 2 works."
(https://easthants.moderngov.co.uk/documents/s12748/EHDC\ Part\ 1\%2 0Section\%201\%20Item\%201\%20Land\%20East\%20of\%20Horndean\%20SH.pd f, (6. Access, movement and highway safety)
- On this basis and assuming a reasonable build out of the site at a rate of 80 dwellings per year (as per the submitted Transport Assessment) starting in 2021, completion of the junction improvement works at Junction 2 would not be required until the end of 2023 which is when construction of the Onshore Cable Route is anticipated to be complete (Table 3.9 - Indicative Onshore Construction Programme, ES Chapter 3 Description of the Proposed Development (APP-118).
4.3.1.2. It is therefore concluded by the Applicant the scenarios tested within this Technical Note and very unlikely to occur in reality but represent a very robust prediction of junction operation and the impact of the Proposed Development.


## 5. <br> ALTERNATIVE FUTURE YEAR ASSESSMENT

### 5.1. INTRODUCTION

5.1.1.1. Further discussions held between the Applicant and HE, at a meeting date $18^{\text {th }}$ November 2020, led to a request for additional lane simulation assessments to be undertaken. The additional assessments are set out in this Section and are based on an alternative future year assessment calculated on the basis of observed traffic flows for both Junction 2 and Junction 3 of the A3 (M).
5.1.1.2. The full results of the alternative assessments undertaken are included in Appendix 8 for reference.
5.2. JUNCTION 2, A3 (M)

### 5.2.1 OBSERVED TRAFFIC FLOWS

5.2.1.1 The Applicant undertook Manual Classified Turning Count (MCTC) traffic surveys at Junction 2 of the A3 (M) in September 2019. The full results of these traffic surveys can be seen in Appendix 2 and are replicated in Table 30 for reference.
Table 30: Junction 2, A3 (M) - 2019 Observed turning counts
AM Peak (08:00 - 09:00) PM Peak (17:00 - 18:00)

| From / To | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Dell Piece East | 0 | 414 | 189 | 317 | 0 | 317 | 311 | 205 |
| B | A3 (M) (south) | 163 | 2 | 242 | 1 | 372 | 0 | 523 | 0 |
| C | B2149 Dell Piece West | 306 | 561 | 2 | 228 | 234 | 377 | 5 | 166 |
| D | A3 (M) (north) | 159 | 1 | 112 | 0 | 355 | 3 | 231 | 0 |

5.2.2.

## TEMPRO GROWTH FACTORS

5.2.2.1. As is stated in paragraph 1.10.3.9. of the Transport Assessment (APP-448), peak construction on the Onshore Cable Corridor is anticipated to be in 2022. As such, the additional future year assessments undertaken in this Section are based in 2022. TEMPRO growth factors have been used to growth the observed 2019 traffic flows to anticipated 2022 traffic levels. The locally adjusted growth factors used are set out in Table 31.

Table 31: Junction 2, A3 (M) - Locally adjusted TEMPRO growth rates (2019-2022)

| Level | Area | Local Growth Figure (2019 - 2022) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| E02006829 | East Hampshire 016 | 1.046692 | 1.04548 |

5.2.2.2. The resultant 2022 turning counts for Junction 2, A3 (M) are set out in Table 32.

Table 32: Junction 2, A3 (M) - 2022 Turning counts

|  |  | AM Peak $(08: 00-09: 00)$ |  |  | PM Peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From / To | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |  |
| A | Dell Piece East | 0 | 433 | 198 | 332 | 0 | 331 | 325 | 214 |
| B | A3 (M) (south) | 171 | 2 | 253 | 1 | 389 | 0 | 547 | 0 |
| C | B2149 Dell Piece West | 320 | 587 | 2 | 239 | 245 | 394 | 5 | 174 |
| D | A3 (M) (north) | 166 | 1 | 117 | 0 | 371 | 3 | 242 | 0 |

### 5.2.3. COMMITTED DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC

5.2.3.1. As is discussed in Section 4.2.2 of this Technical Note, the 'Land east of Horndean' committed development is scheduled to be being implemented during the same time period as the AQUIND Interconnector proposals. In 2022, the peak year for construction for AQUIND, the construction phasing set out in paragraph 2.50 of Chapter 2 of the Land east of Horndean Environmental Statement indicates that development will be $26 \%$ completed.
5.2.3.2. As such, $26 \%$ of the total development traffic set out in in "Environmental Statement - Technical Appendix J: Transport Assessment (December 2018)" has been calculated for inclusion in this assessment. In addition to this, the committed development traffic which was included in the Land east of Horndean Transport Assessment, associated with a nearby care home and sports pitches was also included. The resultant calculated development traffic, including the 2022 Land east of Horndean, care home and sports pitches traffic are set out in Table 33

Table 33: Junction 2, A3 (M) - 2022 Development traffic (Land East of Horndean and additional committed development)

|  |  | AM Peak $(08: 00-09: 00)$ |  |  | PM Peak $(17: 00-18: 00)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From / To | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |  |
| A | Dell Piece East | 0 | 55 | 16 | 21 | 0 | 39 | 10 | 13 |
| B | A3 (M) (south) | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| C | B2149 Dell Piece West | 3 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| D | A3 (M) (north) | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 4 | 0 |

5.2.4.
5.2.4.1. The 2022 committed development traffic set out in Table 33 have been added to the 2022 turning counts set out in Table 32 create the alternative DM scenario turning counts used in this additional assessment. The turning counts for the alternative DM are set out in Table 34.

Table 34: Junction 2, A3 (M) - Alternative DM scenario (2022)

|  |  | AM Peak (08:00 - 09:00) |  |  | PM Peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From / To | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |  |
| A | Dell Piece <br> East | 0 | 489 | 214 | 353 | 0 | 370 | 335 | 227 |
| B | A3 (south) <br> (M) | 199 | 2 | 254 | 1 | 449 | 0 | 556 | 0 |
| C | B2149 Dell <br> Piece West | 323 | 597 | 2 | 256 | 249 | 396 | 5 | 183 |
| D | A3 (noth) (M) <br> (north) | 175 | 1 | 118 | 0 | 392 | 3 | 246 | 0 |

5.2.5.

## TRAFFIC REDISTRIBUTION

5.2.5.1. In order to in take into account the anticipated impacts of the construction of the Onshore Cable Corridor, adjustments have been made to the alternative DM scenario presented in Table 34 on the basis of the traffic redistribution set out in the DS scenarios of the SRTM outputs.
5.2.5.2. In order to calculate these adjustments, the difference in traffic flows (in PCU) between the DM scenario, and both DS scenarios was first calculated. These differences are set out in Table 35.

Table 35: SRTM flows: difference between DM scenario and DS1 / DS2


As can be seen in
5.2.5.3. Table 35, the differences between DS1/DS2 and the DM scenario are broadly aligned. As such, an average of these has been take forward for use in the calculation of the alternative DS scenario. The average DS flow difference when compared to the DM is set out in Table 36.

Table 36: Average difference between DM and DS scenarios

|  | AM Peak $(08: 00-09: 00)$ |  |  | PM Peak $(17: 00-18: 00)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From / To | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |  |
| A | Dell Piece East | 0 | -34 | -5 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -17 | 0 |
| B | A3 (M) (south) | -2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | -31 | 0 | 187 | 0 |
| C | B2149 Dell Piece West | 7 | 45 | 0 | -47 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| D | A3 (M) (north) | -17 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | -35 | 0 |

5.2.5.4. In order to calculate the alternative DS scenario, the average difference between DM and DS scenarios has been applied to the alternative DM traffic flows which are set out in Table 34. The resultant traffic flows for the alternative DS scenario are set out in Table 37.

Table 37: Alternative DS scenario traffic flows

|  | AM Peak $(08: 00-09: 00)$ |  |  | PM Peak $(17: 00-18: 00)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From / To | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |  |
| A | Dell Piece East | 0 | 455 | 209 | 352 | 0 | 369 | 318 | 227 |
| B | A3 (M) (south) | 197 | 2 | 279 | 1 | 418 | 0 | 743 | 0 |
| C | B2149 Dell Piece West | 330 | 642 | 2 | 209 | 254 | 421 | 5 | 224 |
| D | A3 (M) (north) | 159 | 1 | 126 | 0 | 400 | 3 | 211 | 0 |

5.2.5.5. The traffic flows for the alternative DM and DS scenarios have been used in additional assessments undertaken in this section.
5.2.6. LANE SIMULATION RESULTS
5.2.6.1. This section sets out the lane simulation modelling results for Junction 2, $A 3(M)$ when assessed using the alternative DM and DS scenarios detailed above.
5.2.6.2. The results for the DM scenario are included in Table 38, and the DS scenario in Table 39.
Table 38: Junction 2, A3 (M) Alternative DM Lane Simulation

| Arm | Lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM peak } \\ (08: 30-08: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak } \\ (17: 30-17: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) |
| Dell Piece East | 1 (left / ahead) | 3 | 12 | 3 | 12 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 4 | 8 | 3 | 6 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 1 | 6 | 2 | 11 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 1 (left / ahead) | 2 | 8 | 1 | 7 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left) | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 |

5.2.6.3. The results set out for the alternative DM scenario show the junction is able to operate with limited queueing and delay on all arms, in both the AM and PM peak periods.

Table 39: Junction 2, A3 (M) Alternative DS Lane Simulation

| Arm | Lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM peak } \\ (08: 30-08: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak } \\ (17: 30-17: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay (s) | Queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) |
| Dell Piece East | 1 (left / ahead) | 3 | 11 | 3 | 12 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 1 | 6 | 6 | 22 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 1 (left / ahead) | 2 | 7 | 1 | 7 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left) | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 |

5.2.6.4. As with the alternative DM scenario, the results for the alternative DS scenario also show minimal queueing and delays on all arms, with the redistribution of traffic associated with the construction of the Onshore Cable Corridor
5.2.7.
5.2.7.1 The alternative DM and DS scenarios have also been assessed in a LINSIG model which reflects the proposals to signalised this junction, which are detailed in Section 4 of this report. The assessment of the signalised junction using the alternative DM and DS scenarios are set out in Table 40 and Table 41 respectively.

Table 40: Junction 2, A3 (M) Alternative DM signalised assessment

|  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> $(\mathrm{pcu})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> $(\mathrm{pcu})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ |
| Dell Piece East | 73.4 | 10 | 16 | 75.1 | 12 | 20 |
| A3 (M) South (off-slip) | 48.8 | 6 | 34 | 75.1 | 14 | 33 |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 56.3 | 10 | 16 | 50.5 | 8 | 22 |
| A3 (M) North (off-slip) | 35.0 | 4 | 36 | 55.3 | 8 | 33 |
| Circulatory (east) | 68.2 | 6 | 29 | 64.2 | 7 | 21 |
| Circulatory (south) | 54.9 | 4 | 7 | 75.5 | 8 | 17 |


| Circulatory (west) | 65.4 | 5 | 32 | 57.6 | 1 | 7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulatory (north) | 59.0 | 4 | 8 | 62.5 | 8 | 13 |
|  | Cycle Time: 90 s |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRC: $22.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5.2.7.2. The results set out demonstrate that the junction is within capacity in the AM and PM scenario when modelled using the alternative DM traffic flows. In both peaks queueing on both the $A 3(M)$ slip roads can be contained without blocking back onto the mainline.

Table 41: Junction 2, A3 (M) Alternative DS signalised assessment

|  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> (pcu) | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> $(\mathrm{pcu})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ |  |  |  |  |
| Dell Piece East | 88.6 | 19 | 33 | 88.2 | 18 | 36 |  |  |  |  |
| A3 (M) South (off-slip) | 53.7 | 7 | 35 | 88.5 | 21 | 40 |  |  |  |  |
| B2149 Dell Piece West | 86.8 | 18 | 42 | 59.2 | 10 | 26 |  |  |  |  |
| A3 (M) North (off-slip) | 42.1 | 4 | 42 | 52.9 | 7 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| Circulatory (east) | 49.6 | 5 | 12 | 46.7 | 6 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Circulatory (south) | 54.7 | 2 | 5 | 81.1 | 69 | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| Circulatory (west) | 40.9 | 7 | 33 | 49.8 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Circulatory (north) | 58.7 | 4 | 4 | 64.6 | 7 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cycle Time: 90 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cycle Time: 90 s |

5.2.7.3. As with the results set out for the alternative DM scenario, the junction is again forecast to be operating within capacity in the DS scenario. AS with in the DM and queueing on slip roads can be accommodated for without blocking the mainline of the $\mathrm{A} 3(\mathrm{M})$.
5.2.8.
5.2.8.1. In summary, when modelled using the existing junction layout, both the alternative DM and DS scenarios are able to operate within capacity with minimal queueing and delay anticipated. When modelled using the signalisation scheme proposed for this junction, the junction is also able to operate within capacity with minimal queueing on slip roads and circulatory carriageways.
5.3. JUNCTION 3, A3 (M)
5.3.1.1. This section sets out the alternative scenario assessments undertaken for Junction 3, A3 (M).
5.3.2. OBSERVED TRAFFIC FLOWS
5.3.2.1 The Applicant undertook Manual Classified Turning Count (MCTC) traffic surveys at Junction 2 of the A3 (M) in September 2019. The full results of these traffic surveys can be seen in Appendix 2 and are replicated in Table 42 below for reference.
Table 42: Junction 3, A3 (M) - 2019 observed turning counts

|  | AM Peak (08:00 - 09:00) |  |  | PM Peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From / To | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |  |
| A | Hulbert Road (east) | 0 | 14 | 439 | 186 | 0 | 43 | 467 | 156 |
| B | A3 (M) (south) off-slip | 38 | 3 | 877 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 1134 | 0 |
| C | B2150 Hulbert Road (west) | 311 | 1348 | 6 | 370 | 279 | 705 | 25 | 252 |
| D | A3 (M) (north) off-slip | 203 | 3 | 295 | 0 | 312 | 0 | 502 | 0 |

5.3.2.2. As was undertaken for Junction 2, a growth factor was applied to growth the observed 2019 traffic flows to anticipated 2022 traffic levels. The locally adjusted growth factors used are set out in Table 43.

Table 43: Locally adjusted growth factor for Havant 006 (2019-2022)

| Level | Area | Local Growth Figure (2019 - 2022) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| E02004767 | Havant 006 | 1.045934 | 1.043459 |

5.3.2.3. The resultant calculated 2022 traffic flows for Junction 3, A3 (M) are set out in Table 44. As there is data available regarding the committed development to be implemented by 2022 in the vicinity of this junction, no amendments have been made. As such, the 2022 turning counts set out in Table 44 has been used as the alternative DM scenario for the purpose of this assessment.

Table 44: 2022 Junction 3, A3 (M) turning counts (Alternative DM)

|  | AM Peak (08:00-09:00) |  |  |  | PM Peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From / To | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |  |
| AHulbert Road <br> (east) | 0 | 15 | 459 | 195 | 0 | 45 | 487 | 163 |  |
| B | A3 (M) (south) <br> off-slip | 40 | 3 | 917 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 1183 | 0 |
| C | B2150 Hulbert <br> Road (west) | 325 | 1410 | 6 | 387 | 291 | 736 | 26 | 263 |
| D | A3 (M) (north) <br> off-slip | 212 | 3 | 309 | 0 | 326 | 0 | 524 | 0 |

5.3.2.4. Using the same methodology which was applied when calculating the alternative DS scenario for Junction 2, in order to in take into account the anticipated impacts of the construction of the Onshore Cable Corridor, adjustments have been made to the alternative DM scenario presented in Table 44 on the basis of the traffic redistribution set out in the DS scenarios of the SRTM outputs.
5.3.2.5. In order to calculate these adjustments, the difference in traffic flows (in PCU) between the DM scenario, and both DS scenarios was first calculated. These differences are set out in Table 45.

Table 45: SRTM flows: difference between DM scenario and DS1 / DS2
DS1

5.3.2.6. As can be seen, the differences between DS1/DS2 and the DM scenario are broadly aligned. As such, an average of these has been take forward for use in the calculation of the alternative DS scenario. The average DS flow difference when compared to the DM is set out in Table 46.

Table 46: Average difference between DM and DS scenarios

|  | AM Peak (08:00-09:00) |  |  | PM Peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From / To | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |  |
| A | Hulbert Road <br> (east) | 0 | 0 | 32 | 11 | 0 | 0 | -11 | 70 |
| B | A3 (M) (south) <br> off-slip | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 |
| C | B2150 Hulbert <br> Road (west) | -3 | -40 | 0 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -176 |
| D | A3 (M) (north) <br> off-slip | 7 | 0 | -28 | 0 | 8 | 0 | -23 | 0 |

5.3.2.7. In order to calculate the alternative DS scenario, the average difference between DM and DS scenarios has been applied to the alternative DM traffic flows which are set out in Table 44. The resultant traffic flows for the alternative DS scenario are set out in Table 47.

Table 47: Junction 3, A3 (M) alternative DS scenario turning counts

|  |  | AM Peak (08:00-09:00) |  |  |  | PM Peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | / To | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |
| A | Hulbert Road (east) | 0 | 15 | 491 | 205 | 0 | 45 | 476 | 233 |
| B | A3 (M) (south) off-slip | 40 | 3 | 1011 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 1272 | 0 |
| C | B2150 <br> Hulbert Road (west) | 322 | 1370 | 6 | 416 | 296 | 736 | 26 | 87 |
| D | A3 (M) (north) off-slip | 219 | 3 | 280 | 0 | 333 | 0 | 501 | 0 |

5.3.2.8. The traffic flows for the alternative DM and DS scenarios have been used in additional assessments undertaken in this section.
5.3.3.

LANE SIMULATION RESULTS
5.3.3.1. The results of the lane simulation tests undertaken for Junction 3, A3 (M) in the alternative DM and DS scenarios detailed above are set out in Table 48 and Table 49 respectively.

Table 48: Junction 3, A3 (M) Alternative DM results - Lane simulation

| Arm | Lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM peak } \\ (08: 30-08: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak } \\ (17: 30-17: 30) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay (s) |
| Hulbert Road (east) | 1 (left / ahead) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 1 | 7 | 2 | 8 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 1 | 7 | 2 | 8 |
| B2150 Hulbert Road (west) | 1 (left) | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 41 | 84 | 2 | 6 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left /ahead) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 7 | 2 | 10 |

5.3.3.4. The results set out for the alternative DM scenario demonstrate that all arms in the PM peak are able to operate with minimal queueing and delay. In the AM peak, all approaches other than the offside lane of the B2150 Hulbert Road (west) arm have minimal queueing and delay. The offside lane of the B2150 Hulbert Road (west) approach is forecast to have a queue of 41 PCU (246m) in the AM peak, this is not anticipated to block back to the junction of B2150 Hulbert Road / Frendstaple Road / Tempest Avenue.

Table 49: Junction 3, A3 (M) Alternative DS results - Lane simulation

| Arm | Lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM peak } \\ (08: 30-08: 45) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM peak } \\ (17: 30-17: 30) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) |
| Hulbert Road (east) | 1 (left / ahead) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 2 (ahead / right / U-turn) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| A3 (M) (south) | 1 (left) | 1 | 7 | 3 | 10 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 2 | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| B2150 Hulbert Road (west) | 1 (left) | 2 | 6 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 2 (left / ahead / right / U-turn) | 33 | 69 | 2 | 6 |
| A3 (M) (north) | 1 (left /ahead) | 1 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
|  | 2 (right / U-turn) | 1 | 7 | 2 | 9 |

5.3.3.7. The results set out for the alternative DS scenario broadly align with the alternative DM. No one approach sees an increase of more than 1 PCU ( 6 m ).
5.3.4. SIGNALISED JUNCTION MODEL RESULTS
5.3.4.1. This section sets out the junction modelling results for the alternative DM and DS scenarios when modelled using linsig. As is discussed in Section 1, these models have been run for two different lane alignments of the A3 (M) south approach, one with prohibits use of the offside lane for left turners, and one which prohibits this movement.

## A3 (M) south approach: left turn prohibited from offside lane

5.3.4.2. The results for the alternative DM and DS scenarios when modelled in linsig with use of the off side lane for left turners being prohibited are set out in Table 50 and Table 51 respectively.

Table 50: Junction 3, A3(M) - Alternative DM scenario (left turn prohibited)

|  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> $(\mathrm{pcu})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ | D.o.S <br> $(\%)$ | MMQ <br> $(\mathrm{pcu})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{pcu})$ |
| Hulbert Road (East) | 58.0 | 1 | 8 | 63.9 | 1 | 9 |
| A3 (M) South off-slip | 65.6 | 10 | 9 | 101.6 | 43 | 83 |
| B2150 Hulbert Road (West) | 150.0 | 307 | 654 | 78.3 | 2 | 9 |
| A3 (M) North off-slip | 54.8 | 1 | 7 | 80.0 | 2 | 14 |
| Circulatory (south) | 141.1 | 86 | 594 | 100.9 | 24 | 104 |

Cycle Time: 60 s
PRC: $-56.8 \%$

Cycle Time: 60s PRC: -12.8\%
5.3.4.3. The results set out for the alternative DM scenario forecast the junction to be operating over its theoretical capacity in both the AM and PM peak. In the AM peak, the most extensive queueing is predicted for the B2150 Hulbert Road (west) approach, for which a queue of $307 \mathrm{PCU}(1.9 \mathrm{~km})$ is forecast, this will block back through the next junction. Queueing on both slip roads in the AM peak is minimal.
5.3.4.4. In the PM peak, the longest anticipated queue is for the $A 3(M)$ south off-slip, for which a queue of 43 PCU $(258 \mathrm{~m})$ is forecast. This queue is not forecast to block back onto the northbound mainline of the $A 3(M)$.
Table 51: Junction 3, A3(M) - Alternative DS scenario (left turn prohibited)

5.3.4.5. The results for the alternative DS scenario demonstrate a slight decrease in queueing on the B2150 Hulbert Road (west) when compared to the DM scenario. In the PM peak, queueing on the $A 3(M)$ south off slip is forecast to increase by 40 PCU (240m) to a total of 83 PCU (498m). This queue is forecast to block back on to the northbound mainline of the $A 3(M)$.

## A3 (M) south approach: left turn permitted from offside lane

5.3.4.6. The results for the alternative DM and DS scenarios when modelled in linsig with use of the off side lane for left turners being permitted are set out in Table 52and Table 53 respectively.

Table 52: Junction 3, A3(M) - Alternative DM scenario (left turn permitted)

5.3.4.7. The results for the alternative DM scenario demonstrate minimal levels of queueing and delay on all approaches in the PM peak. In the AM peak, queueing is minimal on all approaches with the exception of B2150 Hulbert Road (west), which sees queueing of $317 \mathrm{PCU}(1.9 \mathrm{~km})$ in the alternative DM . This level of queueing is anticipated to block back to the next junction.

Table 53: Junction 3, A3(M) - Alternative DS scenario (left turn permitted)

|  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { D.o.S } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | MMQ (pcu) | Delay (s/pcu) | $\begin{gathered} \text { D.o.S } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | MMQ <br> (pcu) | Delay (s/pcu) |
| Hulbert Road (East) | 64.1 | 1 | 9 | 63.7 | 1 | 9 |
| A3 (M) South off-slip | 98.1 | 19 | 83 | 70.3 | 11 | 20 |
| B2150 Hulbert Road (West) | 149.2 | 296 | 648 | 80.3 | 2 | 10 |
| A3 (M) North off-slip | 49.0 | 1 | 7 | 76.7 | 2 | 12 |
| Circulatory (south) | 39.5 | 5 | 9 | 71.3 | 10 | 22 |
|  | Cycle Time: 60s PRC: -9.0\% |  |  | Cycle Time: 60s PRC: 26.3\% |  |  |

5.3.4.8. In the alternative DS scenario, as with the alternative DM scenario for this lane allocation, the junction is able to operate within its theoretical capacity in the PM peak. In the AM peak, the extensive queueing which is forecast for the B2150 Hulbert Road (west) approach decreases by 21 PCU (126m). As with the DM scenario, both of the slip roads of the $A 3(M)$ experience minimal queueing that can be accommodated without blocking back on to the mainline of the $A 3(M)$.

### 5.3.5.

## SUMMARY

5.3.5.1. The results set out for Junction 3, A3 (M) demonstrate that the junction is able to operate relatively well when modelled in both the alternative DM and the alternative DS scenarios using the existing layout. When modelled with the proposed signalisation scheme, considerable queueing is forecast on the $A 3(M)$ south slip road in the PM peak in both the alternative DM and alternative DS scenarios. However, when modelled permitting the use of both lanes of this approach to turn left on to B2150 Hulbert Road (west), as the junction currently operates, queueing on this arm decreases to minimal levels and can easily be accommodated on the off-slip without blocking back onto the mainline in both the alternative DM and alternative DS scenarios.

## 6. <br> CONSTRUCTION METHODOLOGY

### 6.1. INTRODUCTION

6.1.1.1. This Section addresses Items 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 of HE03, all of which relate to matters pertaining to the construction methodology and movement of construction traffic.

### 6.2. ITEM 3

6.2.1.1. Item 3 of HE 03 is as follows:
"For both access and egress at the Farlington playing fields with regard to oversized vehicles, traffic management should be used"
6.2.1.2. As is stated in paragraph 2.8.7.3. of the Framework Construction Traffic Management Plan (FCTMP) (REP1-070), management of Abnormal Loads will be the responsibility of the contractor appointed to undertake the works and they will be required to comply with the statutory regulations in terms of consulting with the highway authority, police and other stakeholders. In addition, Table 6 of the FCTMP notes that at the A2030 Eastern Road access to Farlington playing fields right turns out of the car park to Eastern Road should be prohibited and that construction traffic marshalling will be required. These measures are secured via Requirement 17 as set out within the draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) (REP1-021).

### 6.3. ITEM 4

6.3.1.1. Item 4 of HE 03 is as follows:
"Access by a $20 t$ tipper/11.7m rigid vehicle at the Farlington playing fields should also take place under traffic management control"
6.3.1.2. As with Item 3, the Applicant has addressed this issue in the FCTMP (REP1-070) and therefore it is secured by the dDCO.
6.4. ITEM 5
6.4.1.1. Item 5 of HE03 is as follows:
"Proposed restrictions on the movement of HGV's during peak periods will still need to be more robust and should be formalised as protective provisions in the DCO"
6.4.1.2. Proposed restrictions on the movements of HGV's are set out in Section 3.3.2. of the FCTMP (REP1-070). The FCTMP is secured via Requirement 17 of the dDCO.
6.5. ITEM 6
6.5.1.1. Item 6 of HEO3 is as follows:
"The promoter of the Aquind Interconnector should work collaboratively with Highways England to co-ordinate matters such as temporary traffic signage in the event that the construction phases of the M27 J4 - J11 Smart Motorway Project and Aquind Interconnector scheme overlap."
6.5.1.2. Permitted construction traffic routes are set out in Section 3.4 and Section 3.5 of the FCTMP (REP1-070). All of the FCTMP restrictions are secured via Requirement 17 of the dDCO .

### 6.6. ITEM 7

6.6.1.1. Item 7 of HE 03 is as follows:
"Once a construction contractor is appointed, the exact details of the construction phasing and duration of works should be provided"
6.6.1.2. Highways England are included as an identified stakeholder within the Onshore Cable Route Construction Impacts on Access to Properties and Car Parking and Communication Strategy, included in Appendix 1 of the Framework Traffic Management Strategy (REP1-068). This means that Highways England will be kept informed of the programme throughout the construction phase of the development.

### 6.7. SUMMARY

6.7.1.1. This Section has addressed Items 4, 5, 6 and 7 of HE03 pertaining to construction methodology, and noted where each of HE's concerns are addressed within the FCTMP (REP1-070) and / or dDCO (REP1-021).

## 7. OTHER MATTERS - ITEM 9

7.1.1.1. Item 9 of HE 03 is as follows:
"With regard to A3(M) Junction 2, the AM peak ARCADY analysis for this junction should be provided"
7.1.1.2. The Applicant provided revised ARCADY analysis of Junction 2, A3 (M) in both the AM and PM peak in 2.2 of this Technical Note.

## REFERENCES

There are no sources in the current document.

## AQUIND $\cong$

## Appendix 1 - A3 (M) Junction 2 Traffic Flow Diagrams with Construction Worker Traffic



| Ref | Arm |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1001 | A3(M) Northbound On-slii | 1002 A3(M) Southbound Off.-sip 1003 Dell Piece E 1004 A3(M) Southbound On-slip | 1005 | A3(M) Northbound Off-slip |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1006 | Dell Piece W |

$\qquad$

## Appendix 2 - 2019 Traffic Surveys



## IV|) Matrices 8-9

CLASSIFIED TURNING COUNT


08:00 Average? N

Arm order?
09:00

## Class: Units:

| Jct Node Number |  | Arm name | A | B | C | $\begin{gathered} \text { TO ARN } \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | E | F | G | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | A3 (M) North |  | 159 | 1 | 112 |  |  |  | 272 |
|  | B | Dell Piece East | 317 |  | 414 | 189 |  |  |  | 920 |
|  | C | A3 (M) South | 1 | 163 | 2 | 242 |  |  |  | 408 |
|  | D | Dell Piece West | 228 | 306 | 561 | 2 |  |  |  | 1097 |
|  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 546 | 628 | 978 | 545 |  |  |  | 2697 |

## | (|) Matrices 17-18

CLASSIFIED TURNING COUNT

Units:

| Jct Node Number |  | Arm name | A | B | C | TO ARM D | E | F | G | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | A3 (M) North |  | 355 | 3 | 231 |  |  |  | 589 |
|  | B | Dell Piece East | 205 |  | 317 | 311 |  |  |  | 833 |
|  | C | A3 (M) South |  | 372 |  | 523 |  |  |  | 895 |
|  | D | Dell Piece West | 166 | 234 | 377 | 5 |  |  |  | 782 |
|  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 371 | 961 | 697 | 1070 |  |  |  | 3099 |

Class: Units:Cells which require no user inputCells which require user input

| Project Reference |  | Site ID | Site 2 | Survey Date | 18/07/201¢ | $\nabla$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Client |  | Site Location | Junction 3, A3 (M) - A3 (M)/Hulbert Road | Survey Day | Thursday |  |
| Survey Company Name | A-T-R | Easting | Latitude | Survey Times | 0700-1000, 1200-1400, 1600-1900 |  |
| Prepared by | GB | Northing | Longitude | Weather conditions | Sunny, Dry |  |
| Checked by | NT | Link to location on Google Maps | Click for location | Incidents | None |  |
| Comments |  |  |  | Units |  |  |
|  |  | N |  |  |  |  |


| Arm name |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | A3 (M) North |
| B | Hulbert Road East |
| C | A3 (M) South |
| D | Hulbert Road West |
| E |  |
| F |  |
| G |  |

## IV|) Matrices 8-9

CLASSIFIED TURNING COUNT


Class: Units:


Class: Units:

| Jct Node Number |  | TO ARM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Arm name | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | Total |
|  | A | A3 (M) North |  | 312 |  | 502 |  |  |  | 814 |
|  | B | Hulbert Road East | 156 |  | 43 | 467 |  |  |  | 666 |
| $\underset{\sim}{8}$ | C | A3 (M) South |  | 17 |  | 1134 |  |  |  | 1151 |
| $\sum$ | D | Hulbert Road West | 252 | 279 | 705 | 25 |  |  |  | 1261 |
| - | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 408 | 608 | 748 | 2128 |  |  |  | 3892 |

## AQUIND $\cong$

# Appendix 3 - Adjusted Traffic Flows for A3 (M) Junction 3 

Note:
All data is ACcual Flow in PCUS, data presented is SRTM outputs with additional flows added where discussed within the Technical Note


## AQUINDミ

# Appendix 4 ARCADY Outputs for Lane Simulation Assessments 

## Junctions 9

## ARCADY 9 -Roundabout Module

Version: 9.5.1.7462
© Copyright TRL Limited, 2019
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL
+44 (0)1344379777 software@trl.co.uk www.trlsoftware.co.uk
The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: J2.j9
Path: \luk.wspgroup.comicentral data\Projects\62100xxxi62100616 - Aquind VO No.3VA DCO\POST SUBMISSIONID. EIA POST SUBMISSIONITransport\WIP\Reports\Highways England Responsel20-08-21 HE Note TN03\HE Review 3011201App 4 -
Lane Sim
Report generation date: 01/12/2020 11:38:08

```
#ELM - DM, AM
nELM - DM, PM
#EMM - DS1, AM
#EMM - DS1, PM
#EML - DS2, AM
#EML - DS2, PM
```

Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |
|  | [Lane Simulation] - ELM - DM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | D3 | 25.5 | 84.26 |  | F | D4 | 5.9 | 25.30 |  | D |
| Arm 2 |  | 2.1 | 8.10 |  | A |  | 4.4 | 11.77 |  | B |
| Arm 3 |  | 3.9 | 9.38 |  | A |  | 44.3 | 83.09 |  | F |
| Arm 4 |  | 7.8 | 26.69 |  | D |  | 1.1 | 7.32 |  | A |
|  | [Lane Simulation] - EMM - DS1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | D5 | 15.6 | 53.37 |  | F | D6 | 5.6 | 24.09 |  | C |
| Arm 2 |  | 2.3 | 8.11 |  | A |  | 5.6 | 13.27 |  | B |
| Arm 3 |  | 3.7 | 9.13 |  | A |  | 42.1 | 74.02 |  | F |
| Arm 4 |  | 8.4 | 29.52 |  | D |  | 1.0 | 6.94 |  | A |
|  | [Lane Simulation] - EML - DS2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | D7 | 16.6 | 58.38 |  | F | D8 | 4.6 | 22.00 |  | C |
| Arm 2 |  | 2.3 | 8.00 |  | A |  | 5.3 | 13.43 |  | B |
| Arm 3 |  | 4.0 | 8.89 |  | A |  | 48.2 | 87.29 |  | F |
| Arm 4 |  | 8.9 | 29.68 |  | D |  | 1.2 | 6.82 |  | A |

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.
Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Arm and junction delays are averages for all movements, including movements with zero delay.

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Junction 2, A3(M) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location |  |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $26 / 09 / 2019$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 62100616 |
| Enumerator | CORP\UKA.JTO09 |
| Description |  |

## Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | PCU | PCU | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |

Arm 4


Arm 2

[^5]The junction diagram reflects the last run of Junctions.

THE FUTURE

## Analysis Options

| Vehicle length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Calculate Queue <br> Percentiles | Calculate detailed queueing <br> delay | Calculate residual <br> capacity | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay <br> threshold (s) | Queue threshold <br> (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 |  |

## Lane Simulation options

| Criteria type | Stop criteria (\%) | Stop criteria time (5) | Stop criteria number of trials | Random seed | Results refresh speed (s) | Individual vehicle animation number of trials | Average animation capture interval (s) | Use quick response | Do flow sampling | Suppress automatic lane creation | Last run random seed | Last run number of trials | Last run time taken (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delay | 1.00 | 100000 | 100000 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 60 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 188931048 | 183 | 37.27 |

Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | ELM - DM | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D4 | ELM - DM | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |
| D5 | EMM - DS1 | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| D6 | EMM - DS1 | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |
| D7 | EML - DS2 | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |  |
| D8 | EML - DS2 | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Use Lane Simulation | Include in report | Network flow scaling factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## ELM - DM, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 30.09 | $D$ |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Dell Piece East |  |
| 2 | A $3(\mathrm{M})$ south |  |
| 3 | B2149 Dell Piece West |  |
| 4 | A3(M) north |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E - Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{r}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R}$ - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.50 | 7.60 | 23.4 | 45.0 | 125.0 | 7.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 6.00 | 6.20 | 0.1 | 999.0 | 125.0 | 5.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.50 | 8.50 | 26.4 | 50.0 | 125.0 | 10.0 |  |
| 4 | 6.00 | 6.50 | 22.0 | 999.0 | 125.0 | 5.0 |  |

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1093 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1048 | 165.00 |
| 3 | 233 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 839 | 150.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.891 | 2871 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.914 | 2342 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.100 | 3017 |
| 4 | 0.994 | 2574 |

[^6]Lane Simulation: Arm options

| Arm | Lane capacity source | Traffic considering secondary lanes (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |

## Lanes

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Destination } \\ & \text { arms } \end{aligned}$ arms | Has limited storage | Storage (PCU) | Has bottleneck | Minimum capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum capacity (PCU/hr) | Signalised |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | $\checkmark$ | 5.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | $\checkmark$ | 5.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | $\checkmark$ | 8.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | $\checkmark$ | 8.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |

Entry Lane slope and intercept

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.445 | 1335 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.445 | 1335 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.457 | 1171 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.457 | 1171 |
| $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{3}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.550 | 1509 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.550 | 1509 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.497 | 1287 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.497 | 1287 |

Summary of Entry Lane allowed movements

| Arm | Lane Level | Lane | Destination arm |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | ELM - DM | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 903 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 852 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1302 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 950 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 880 | 21 | 2 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 9}$ | 0 | 393 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 145 | 560 | 0 | 597 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 162 | 0 | 788 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 84.28 | 25.5 | F | 828 | 1243 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 8.10 | 2.1 | A | 785 | 1177 |
| 3 | 9.38 | 3.9 | A | 1192 | 1788 |
| 4 | 28.69 | 7.8 | D | 885 | 1298 |

Main Results for each time segment

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 686 | 171 | 1009 | 685 | 672 | 574 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 12.441 | B |
| 2 | 644 | 161 | 608 | 645 | 643 | 1086 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 5.988 | A |
| 3 | 973 | 243 | 348 | 971 | 975 | 905 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 4.997 | A |
| 4 | 704 | 176 | 876 | 707 | 704 | 443 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 9.176 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 803 | 201 | 1213 | 800 | 798 | 692 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 19.885 | C |
| 2 | 770 | 193 | 730 | 766 | 758 | 1283 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 6.577 | A |
| 3 | 1167 | 292 | 420 | 1166 | 1161 | 1077 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 6.070 | A |
| 4 | 848 | 212 | 1052 | 854 | 848 | 534 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 12.691 | B |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1000 | 250 | 1485 | 956 | 938 | 848 | 4.8 | 19.0 | 52.014 | F |
| 2 | 941 | 235 | 901 | 947 | 933 | 1541 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 8.102 | A |
| 3 | 1425 | 356 | 510 | 1425 | 1417 | 1338 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 8.423 | A |
| 4 | 1047 | 262 | 1283 | 1050 | 1030 | 652 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 24.598 | C |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1004 | 251 | 1467 | 977 | 976 | 849 | 19.0 | 25.5 | 84.259 | F |
| 2 | 941 | 235 | 878 | 949 | 937 | 1585 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 7.955 | A |
| 3 | 1434 | 358 | 516 | 1441 | 1432 | 1311 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 9.379 | A |
| 4 | 1033 | 258 | 1289 | 1028 | 1040 | 688 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 26.695 | D |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 807 | 202 | 1225 | 845 | 883 | 683 | 25.5 | 7.5 | 54.901 | F |
| 2 | 765 | 191 | 735 | 764 | 762 | 1335 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 6.739 | A |
| 3 | 1179 | 295 | 407 | 1176 | 1177 | 1092 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 6.351 | A |
| 4 | 849 | 212 | 1048 | 880 | 874 | 535 | 7.5 | 2.8 | 15.181 | C |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 671 | 168 | 997 | 673 | 700 | 582 | 7.5 | 2.2 | 16.337 | C |
| 2 | 647 | 162 | 594 | 643 | 637 | 1076 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 5.947 | A |
| 3 | 974 | 244 | 349 | 976 | 981 | 889 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 5.048 | A |
| 4 | 711 | 178 | 874 | 705 | 715 | 451 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 9.430 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 685 | 1000 | 0.685 | 683 | 670 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 10.246 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 1 | 1000 | 0.001 | 1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.909 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 686 |  |  | 688 | 679 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.188 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 574 |  |  | 574 | 575 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 298 | 1000 | 0.298 | 298 | 296 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.593 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 345 | 1000 | 0.345 | 347 | 347 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 6.327 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1086 |  |  | 1088 | 1075 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 553 | 1317 | 0.420 | 552 | 555 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.315 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 420 | 1317 | 0.319 | 420 | 420 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.461 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 973 |  |  | 973 | 981 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.050 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 905 |  |  | 905 | 896 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 118 | 1000 | 0.118 | 117 | 120 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.431 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 587 | 1000 | 0.587 | 590 | 585 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 10.145 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 443 |  |  | 443 | 448 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 794 | 1000 | 0.794 | 799 | 797 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 12.514 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 1 | 1000 | 0.001 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.404 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 803 |  |  | 796 | 801 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 7.342 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 692 |  |  | 692 | 685 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 350 | 1000 | 0.350 | 348 | 347 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 6.288 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 420 | 1000 | 0.420 | 419 | 412 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 6.820 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1283 |  |  | 1283 | 1275 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 684 | 1278 | 0.519 | 662 | 683 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 6.584 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 504 | 1278 | 0.394 | 504 | 499 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.148 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1167 |  |  | 1167 | 1163 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.103 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1077 |  |  | 1077 | 1070 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 145 | 1000 | 0.145 | 145 | 145 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.756 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 703 | 1000 | 0.703 | 709 | 703 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 14.316 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 534 |  |  | 534 | 538 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 959 | 1000 | 0.959 | 954 | 935 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 16.108 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.889 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1000 |  |  | 960 | 946 | 2.2 | 14.3 | 35.887 | E |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 848 |  |  | 848 | 831 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 436 | 1000 | 0.436 | 439 | 431 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 7.383 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 505 | 1000 | 0.505 | 508 | 502 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 8.737 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1541 |  |  | 1541 | 1522 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 818 | 1228 | 0.886 | 816 | 807 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 8.739 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 609 | 1228 | 0.496 | 609 | 609 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 6.449 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1425 |  |  | 1427 | 1422 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.686 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1338 |  |  | 1338 | 1309 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 174 | 1000 | 0.174 | 174 | 173 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.677 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 873 | 1000 | 0.873 | 876 | 856 | 2.9 | 7.6 | 28.570 | D |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 652 |  |  | 652 | 654 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 975 | 1000 | 0.975 | 975 | 973 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 16.952 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.257 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1004 |  |  | 977 | 975 | 14.3 | 20.9 | 67.235 | F |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 849 |  |  | 849 | 844 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 432 | 1000 | 0.432 | 435 | 430 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 7.251 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 509 | 1000 | 0.509 | 514 | 507 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 8.555 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1565 |  |  | 1585 | 1586 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 822 | 1225 | 0.671 | 825 | 817 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 9.528 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 619 | 1225 | 0.505 | 615 | 615 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 6.797 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1434 |  |  | 1440 | 1431 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.024 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1311 |  |  | 1311 | 1316 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 176 | 1000 | 0.176 | 176 | 176 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.794 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 858 | 1000 | 0.858 | 851 | 884 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 31.140 | D |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 688 |  |  | 688 | 658 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 839 | 1000 | 0.839 | 843 | 881 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 14.804 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.361 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 807 |  |  | 841 | 877 | 20.9 | 4.3 | 40.446 | E |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 683 |  |  | 683 | 688 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 360 | 1000 | 0.380 | 359 | 355 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 6.373 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 406 | 1000 | 0.406 | 405 | 407 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 7.058 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1335 |  |  | 1335 | 1370 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 686 | 1285 | 0.518 | 684 | 667 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 6.847 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2,3 | 512 | 1285 | 0.399 | 512 | 509 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 5.404 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1179 |  |  | 1178 | 1172 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.136 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1092 |  |  | 1092 | 1102 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 147 | 1000 | 0.147 | 147 | 148 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.514 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 702 | 1000 | 0.702 | 713 | 728 | 7.3 | 2.6 | 17.396 | C |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 535 |  |  | 535 | 538 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 673 | 1000 | 0.672 | 671 | 699 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 10.904 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.833 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 671 |  |  | 674 | 695 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 5.599 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 582 |  |  | 582 | 578 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 299 | 1000 | 0.299 | 297 | 296 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 5.603 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 348 | 1000 | 0.348 | 347 | 341 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 6.245 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1076 |  |  | 1076 | 1103 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 559 | 1317 | 0.425 | 560 | 561 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 5.303 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 416 | 1317 | 0.316 | 417 | 420 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 4.560 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 974 |  |  | 975 | 978 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.083 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 889 |  |  | 889 | 902 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 126 | 1000 | 0.126 | 125 | 124 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.596 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 585 | 1000 | 0.585 | 580 | 591 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 10.454 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 451 |  |  | 451 | 451 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## ELM - DM, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 41,96 | E |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1093 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1048 | 165.00 |
| 3 | 233 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 839 | 150.00 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
[same as above]
Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D4 | ELM - DM | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 763 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1099 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1545 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 506 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 740 | 23 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 631 | 0 | 468 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 121 | 1019 | 0 | 405 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 72 | 0 | 434 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 25.30 | 5.9 | D | 696 | 1044 |
| 2 | 11.77 | 4.4 | B | 1016 | 1523 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 83.09 | 44.3 | F | 1419 | 2128 |
| 4 | 7.32 | 1.1 | A | 464 | 697 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 576 | 144 | 1084 | 576 | 570 | 624 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 9.603 | A |
| 2 | 835 | 209 | 338 | 832 | 824 | 1322 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 6.884 | A |
| 3 | 1158 | 290 | 480 | 1161 | 1155 | 691 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 7.552 | A |
| 4 | 374 | 93 | 1334 | 375 | 383 | 307 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.834 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 674 | 169 | 1305 | 673 | 677 | 742 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 12.407 | B |
| 2 | 989 | 247 | 412 | 991 | 986 | 1565 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 8.388 | A |
| 3 | 1391 | 348 | 569 | 1387 | 1370 | 834 | 2.4 | 5.4 | 12.157 | B |
| 4 | 457 | 114 | 1591 | 456 | 457 | 386 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 6.375 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 840 | 210 | 1555 | 848 | 823 | 907 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 22.986 | C |
| 2 | 1217 | 304 | 508 | 1214 | 1210 | 1894 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 11.656 | B |
| 3 | 1696 | 424 | 699 | 1620 | 1805 | 1023 | 5.4 | 29.2 | 42.779 | E |
| 4 | 564 | 141 | 1898 | 564 | 556 | 421 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 7.148 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 842 | 210 | 1570 | 838 | 839 | 906 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 25.304 | D |
| 2 | 1221 | 305 | 501 | 1226 | 1220 | 1907 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 11.771 | B |
| 3 | 1703 | 426 | 699 | 1647 | 1643 | 1028 | 29.2 | 44.3 | 83.088 | F |
| 4 | 554 | 138 | 1920 | 555 | 554 | 428 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 7.315 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm |  | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 677 | 169 | 1370 | 676 | 698 | 747 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 14.521 | B |
| 2 | 1000 | 250 | 419 | 998 | 1001 | 1627 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 8.575 | A |
| 3 | 1398 | 349 | 567 | 1468 | 1533 | 851 | 44.3 | 9.6 | 50.090 | F |
| 4 | 462 | 115 | 1656 | 461 | 458 | 379 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 6.357 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 567 | 142 | 1088 | 564 | 572 | 623 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 9.906 | A |
| 2 | 831 | 208 | 340 | 833 | 830 | 1312 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 6.853 | A |
| 3 | 1166 | 292 | 480 | 1158 | 1190 | 693 | 9.6 | 3.0 | 9.636 | A |
| 4 | 377 | 94 | 1336 | 375 | 381 | 302 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 5.682 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 576 | 1000 | 0.576 | 576 | 570 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 8.627 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 576 |  |  | 576 | 575 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.968 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 624 |  |  | 624 | 618 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 353 | 1022 | 0.345 | 353 | 351 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.936 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 482 | 1022 | 0.472 | 480 | 472 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 7.589 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1322 |  |  | 1322 | 1315 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 398 | 1245 | 0.319 | 397 | 392 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.757 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 762 | 1245 | 0.612 | 764 | 763 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 8.245 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1158 |  |  | 1160 | 1164 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.488 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 691 |  |  | 691 | 697 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 55 | 1000 | 0.055 | 55 | 55 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.247 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 319 | 1000 | 0.319 | 320 | 328 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 6.098 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 307 |  |  | 307 | 301 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 673 | 1000 | 0.673 | 673 | 677 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 10.163 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 674 |  |  | 673 | 679 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 2.230 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 742 |  |  | 742 | 739 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 422 | 1006 | 0.419 | 422 | 420 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 6.800 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 567 | 1006 | 0.564 | 569 | 568 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 9.565 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1585 |  |  | 1585 | 1557 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 474 | 1196 | 0.397 | 474 | 470 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.785 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 915 | 1196 | 0.765 | 912 | 900 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 11.767 | B |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1391 |  |  | 1389 | 1378 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 2.405 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 834 |  |  | 834 | 832 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 65 | 1000 | 0.065 | 64 | 65 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.216 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 392 | 1000 | 0.392 | 392 | 392 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 6.732 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 386 |  |  | 386 | 362 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 845 | 1000 | 0.845 | 848 | 823 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 13.224 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 840 |  |  | 845 | 828 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 9.692 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 907 |  |  | 907 | 900 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 514 | 1000 | 0.514 | 515 | 515 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 8.474 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 703 | 1000 | 0.702 | 699 | 694 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 13.993 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1894 |  |  | 1894 | 1858 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 548 | 1124 | 0.487 | 547 | 545 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 7.530 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1075 | 1124 | 0.957 | 1073 | 1080 | 3.4 | 6.6 | 19.781 | C |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1696 |  |  | 1623 | 1620 | 1.2 | 21.3 | 26.988 | D |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1023 |  |  | 1023 | 1016 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 82 | 1000 | 0.082 | 82 | 81 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.144 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 483 | 1000 | 0.483 | 482 | 475 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 7.658 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 421 |  |  | 421 | 420 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms |  | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 841 | 1000 | 0.841 | 838 | 839 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 13.585 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 842 |  |  | 841 | 840 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 11.725 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 906 |  |  | 906 | 907 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 523 | 1001 | 0.522 | 527 | 517 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 8.220 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 699 | 1001 | 0.698 | 699 | 703 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 14.388 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1907 |  |  | 1907 | 1901 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 554 | 1125 | 0.493 | 554 | 556 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 8.307 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1092 | 1125 | 0.971 | 1094 | 1087 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 22.411 | C |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1703 |  |  | 1647 | 1644 | 21.3 | 36.1 | 65.397 | F |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1028 |  |  | 1028 | 1018 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 79 | 1000 | 0.079 | 79 | 78 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.459 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 475 | 1000 | 0.475 | 476 | 475 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 7.783 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 428 |  |  | 426 | 430 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 677 | 1000 | 0.677 | 676 | 698 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 10.750 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 677 |  |  | 677 | 693 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 3.881 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 747 |  |  | 747 | 759 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 433 | 1006 | 0.430 | 431 | 425 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 7.226 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 568 | 1006 | 0.563 | 567 | 576 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 9.580 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1627 |  |  | 1627 | 1692 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 494 | 1197 | 0.413 | 495 | 517 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 7.000 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 968 | 1197 | 0.809 | 973 | 1016 | 6.8 | 3.8 | 17.646 | C |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1398 |  |  | 1463 | 1519 | 36.1 | 5.0 | 38.531 | E |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 851 |  |  | 851 | 841 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 65 | 1000 | 0.065 | 65 | 63 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.299 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 397 | 1000 | 0.397 | 397 | 395 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 6.687 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 379 |  |  | 379 | 397 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 566 | 1000 | 0.566 | 564 | 572 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 8.758 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 567 |  |  | 566 | 570 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.181 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 623 |  |  | 623 | 621 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 354 | 1023 | 0.346 | 353 | 353 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 6.057 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 478 | 1023 | 0.487 | 480 | 477 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 7.442 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1312 |  |  | 1312 | 1346 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1,4 | 395 | 1245 | 0.317 | 394 | 399 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 4.873 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 769 | 1245 | 0.618 | 765 | 791 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 9.294 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1186 |  |  | 1164 | 1182 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1.991 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 693 |  |  | 693 | 698 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 53 | 1000 | 0.053 | 52 | 53 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.344 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 324 | 1000 | 0.324 | 323 | 328 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 5.898 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 302 |  |  | 302 | 307 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## EMM - DS1, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 23.35 | C |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1093 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1048 | 165.00 |
| 3 | 233 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 839 | 150.00 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
[same as above]
Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]
Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D5 | EMM - DS1 | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |  |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 885 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 876 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1307 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 942 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 847 | 16 | 2 |
|  | $\mathbf{y}$ | 458 | 0 | 418 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 151 | 605 | 0 | 551 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 146 | 0 | 796 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 53.37 | 15.6 | F | 793 | 1190 |
| 2 | 8.11 | 2.3 | A | 801 | 1202 |
| 3 | 9.13 | 3.7 | A | 1193 | 1789 |
| 4 | 29.52 | 8.4 | D | 885 | 1298 |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 649 | 162 | 1052 | 652 | 645 | 550 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 11.131 | B |
| 2 | 646 | 162 | 611 | 649 | 655 | 1093 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.910 | A |
| 3 | 984 | 246 | 336 | 982 | 979 | 924 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 4.883 | A |
| 4 | 713 | 178 | 897 | 704 | 705 | 420 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 9.601 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 784 | 191 | 1261 | 766 | 770 | 675 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 17.035 | C |
| 2 | 791 | 198 | 730 | 791 | 783 | 1297 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 6.687 | A |
| 3 | 1173 | 293 | 416 | 1174 | 1177 | 1104 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 6.011 | A |
| 4 | 838 | 209 | 1092 | 844 | 839 | 498 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 12.877 | B |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 962 | 240 | 1535 | 932 | 921 | 833 | 3.7 | 12.6 | 35.924 | E |
| 2 | 978 | 245 | 894 | 977 | 960 | 1572 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 7.610 | A |
| 3 | 1429 | 357 | 508 | 1427 | 1433 | 1383 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 8.429 | A |
| 4 | 1044 | 261 | 1333 | 1035 | 1019 | 603 | 2.8 | 8.2 | 23.496 | C |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 980 | 240 | 1545 | 946 | 947 | 849 | 12.6 | 15.6 | 53.373 | F |
| 2 | 977 | 244 | 905 | 977 | 967 | 1586 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 8.113 | A |
| 3 | 1423 | 356 | 521 | 1424 | 1442 | 1362 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 9.134 | A |
| 4 | 1039 | 280 | 1351 | 1044 | 1028 | 594 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 29.517 | D |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 769 | 192 | 1262 | 788 | 814 | 676 | 15.6 | 4.8 | 32.721 | D |
| 2 | 773 | 193 | 727 | 777 | 785 | 1322 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 6.834 | A |
| 3 | 1181 | 295 | 409 | 1186 | 1186 | 1095 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 6.281 | A |
| 4 | 845 | 211 | 1093 | 845 | 873 | 502 | 8.4 | 3.4 | 15.630 | c |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 655 | 164 | 1054 | 656 | 669 | 551 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 13.414 | B |
| 2 | 644 | 161 | 613 | 642 | 652 | 1096 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 6.129 | A |
| 3 | 986 | 242 | 330 | 988 | 982 | 925 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 4.931 | A |
| 4 | 713 | 178 | 894 | 711 | 720 | 404 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 9.578 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 647 | 1000 | 0.646 | 650 | 643 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 9.512 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.853 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 649 |  |  | 649 | 651 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.624 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 550 |  |  | 550 | 557 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 312 | 1000 | 0.312 | 315 | 317 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 5.919 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 334 | 1000 | 0.334 | 334 | 338 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 5.902 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1093 |  |  | 1093 | 1084 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 529 | 1324 | 0.400 | 528 | 527 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 5.006 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 454 | 1324 | 0.343 | 454 | 452 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.706 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 984 |  |  | 984 | 985 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.016 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 924 |  |  | 924 | 927 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 107 | 1000 | 0.107 | 107 | 106 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.423 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 606 | 1000 | 0.606 | 598 | 599 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 10.509 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 420 |  |  | 420 | 416 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 788 | 1000 | 0.768 | 765 | 769 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 11.796 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0.96 | 1000 | 0.001 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.051 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 764 |  |  | 769 | 775 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 5.228 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 675 |  |  | 675 | 670 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 375 | 1000 | 0.375 | 376 | 375 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 6.357 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 416 | 1000 | 0.416 | 415 | 408 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 6.950 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1297 |  |  | 1297 | 1302 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1. 4 | 629 | 1280 | 0.492 | 629 | 629 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 6.064 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 545 | 1280 | 0.426 | 547 | 547 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 5.639 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1173 |  |  | 1175 | 1179 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.144 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1104 |  |  | 1104 | 1099 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 128 | 1000 | 0.128 | 129 | 130 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 4.690 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 710 | 1000 | 0.710 | 716 | 710 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 14.358 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 498 |  |  | 498 | 498 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 932 | 1000 | 0.932 | 930 | 919 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 14.669 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.197 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 962 |  |  | 934 | 928 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 21.238 | C |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 833 |  |  | 833 | 831 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 472 | 1000 | 0.472 | 471 | 458 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 7.222 | A |
|  | y |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 507 | 1000 | 0.507 | 506 | 502 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 7.983 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1572 |  |  | 1572 | 1563 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 769 | 1229 | 0.626 | 785 | 770 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 8.489 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 657 | 1229 | 0.534 | 662 | 663 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 7.136 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1429 |  |  | 1426 | 1437 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.557 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1383 |  |  | 1363 | 1332 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 161 | 1000 | 0.161 | 162 | 163 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.856 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 883 | 1000 | 0.883 | 872 | 856 | 2.6 | 8.0 | 26.968 | D |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 603 |  |  | 603 | 607 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 946 | 1000 | 0.946 | 945 | 945 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 15.954 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 1 | 1000 | 0.001 | 1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.632 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 960 |  |  | 947 | 947 | 8.4 | 11.2 | 37.347 | E |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 849 |  |  | 849 | 837 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 454 | 1000 | 0.454 | 458 | 458 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 7.702 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 523 | 1000 | 0.523 | 520 | 509 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 8.480 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1588 |  |  | 1586 | 1597 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 765 | 1222 | 0.626 | 764 | 772 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 8.781 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 682 | 1222 | 0.542 | 680 | 689 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 7.341 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1423 |  |  | 1427 | 1443 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.027 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1362 |  |  | 1362 | 1345 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 160 | 1000 | 0.160 | 159 | 158 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.592 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 879 | 1000 | 0.879 | 885 | 889 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 34.092 | D |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 594 |  |  | 594 | 605 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms |  | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 783 | 1000 | 0.783 | 788 | 812 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 13.768 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.530 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 769 |  |  | 785 | 807 | 11.2 | 2.2 | 19.219 | C |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 676 |  |  | 676 | 683 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 386 | 1000 | 0.386 | 389 | 373 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 6.513 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 407 | 1000 | 0.407 | 407 | 413 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 7.127 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1322 |  |  | 1322 | 1348 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 630 | 1284 | 0.491 | 636 | 635 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 6.530 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 552 | 1284 | 0.430 | 550 | 551 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 5.678 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1181 |  |  | 1182 | 1180 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.154 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1095 |  |  | 1095 | 1128 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 133 | 1000 | 0.133 | 133 | 132 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.587 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 712 | 1000 | 0.712 | 712 | 741 | 8.1 | 3.2 | 17.632 | C |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 502 |  |  | 502 | 499 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 654 | 1000 | 0.654 | 654 | 667 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 10.452 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.141 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 655 |  |  | 655 | 686 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 3.049 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 551 |  |  | 551 | 564 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 312 | 1000 | 0.312 | 313 | 313 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.965 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 332 | 1000 | 0.332 | 328 | 338 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 6.281 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1096 |  |  | 1096 | 1108 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 514 | 1327 | 0.387 | 516 | 530 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 5.208 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 453 | 1327 | 0.341 | 453 | 452 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 4.537 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 966 |  |  | 967 | 979 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.032 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 925 |  |  | 925 | 933 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 109 | 1000 | 0.109 | 110 | 111 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.426 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 604 | 1000 | 0.604 | 601 | 609 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 10.520 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 404 |  |  | 404 | 417 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## EMM - DS1, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1-[Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 38.37 | E |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Norma/Uunknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1093 | 0.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1048 | 165.00 |
| 3 | 233 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 839 | 150.00 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
[same as above]
Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]
Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D6 | EMM - DS1 | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 745 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1257 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1616 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 478 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 739 | 6 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 600 | 0 | 657 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 127 | 1044 | 0 | 445 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 80 | 0 | 398 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 24.09 | 5.6 | C | 688 | 1033 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 13.27 | 5.6 | B | 1158 | 1737 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 74.02 | 42.1 | F | 1482 | 2224 |
| 4 | 6.94 | 1.0 | A | 443 | 685 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 578 | 145 | 1087 | 578 | 556 | 613 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 9.456 | A |
| 2 | 980 | 245 | 300 | 977 | 943 | 1363 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 7.343 | A |
| 3 | 1225 | 306 | 459 | 1226 | 1218 | 818 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 7.337 | A |
| 4 | 358 | 89 | 1341 | 360 | 357 | 345 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 5.557 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 674 | 168 | 1301 | 676 | 684 | 730 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 12.370 | B |
| 2 | 1129 | 282 | 366 | 1131 | 1121 | 1611 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 8.959 | A |
| 3 | 1443 | 361 | 543 | 1456 | 1438 | 954 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 12.855 | B |
| 4 | 434 | 109 | 1598 | 433 | 431 | 400 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 6.008 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 835 | 209 | 1536 | 827 | 805 | 882 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 19.989 | C |
| 2 | 1391 | 348 | 442 | 1381 | 1366 | 1922 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 12.441 | B |
| 3 | 1765 | 441 | 655 | 1708 | 1686 | 1168 | 5.0 | 26.6 | 38.285 | E |
| 4 | 524 | 131 | 1890 | 528 | 528 | 473 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 6.910 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 828 | 207 | 1562 | 825 | 827 | 872 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 24.087 | C |
| 2 | 1384 | 341 | 459 | 1359 | 1385 | 1928 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 13.271 | B |
| 3 | 1779 | 445 | 643 | 1724 | 1715 | 1175 | 26.6 | 42.1 | 74.015 | F |
| 4 | 542 | 136 | 1894 | 540 | 527 | 474 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 6.936 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand $(\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 655 | 164 | 1347 | 661 | 680 | 732 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 14.922 | B |
| 2 | 1143 | 288 | 370 | 1137 | 1149 | 1638 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 9.699 | A |
| 3 | 1469 | 367 | 538 | 1519 | 1586 | 969 | 42.1 | 8.8 | 44.848 | E |
| 4 | 438 | 109 | 1645 | 434 | 432 | 412 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 6.119 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 561 | 140 | 1087 | 558 | 569 | 600 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 9.451 | A |
| 2 | 942 | 236 | 311 | 943 | 943 | 1335 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 7.229 | A |
| 3 | 1214 | 304 | 447 | 1213 | 1243 | 807 | 8.8 | 2.8 | 9.085 | A |
| 4 | 386 | 91 | 1324 | 364 | 380 | 336 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.444 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 579 | 1001 | 0.579 | 578 | 556 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 8.471 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1001 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 578 |  |  | 579 | 561 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.978 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 613 |  |  | 613 | 601 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 522 | 1036 | 0.504 | 517 | 498 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 7.548 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 458 | 1036 | 0.442 | 459 | 446 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 7.114 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1363 |  |  | 1363 | 1343 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 438 | 1256 | 0.349 | 436 | 427 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.827 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 787 | 1256 | 0.626 | 790 | 791 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 8.133 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1225 |  |  | 1225 | 1228 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.358 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 818 |  |  | 818 | 797 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 63 | 1000 | 0.063 | 63 | 62 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.343 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 295 | 1000 | 0.295 | 296 | 295 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 5.812 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 345 |  |  | 345 | 333 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 673 | 1000 | 0.673 | 676 | 664 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 10.020 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 674 |  |  | 673 | 686 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2.339 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 730 |  |  | 730 | 719 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 587 | 1015 | 0.578 | 588 | 585 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 9.272 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 542 | 1015 | 0.534 | 543 | 536 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 8.618 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1611 |  |  | 1611 | 1591 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 514 | 1210 | 0.425 | 514 | 505 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 5.862 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 938 | 1210 | 0.775 | 942 | 933 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 12.147 | B |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1443 |  |  | 1452 | 1444 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 2.891 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 954 |  |  | 954 | 949 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 73 | 1000 | 0.073 | 74 | 73 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.380 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 361 | 1000 | 0.361 | 359 | 358 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 6.336 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 400 |  |  | 400 | 394 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 828 | 1000 | 0.826 | 827 | 805 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 12.585 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 835 |  |  | 828 | 810 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 7.319 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 882 |  |  | 882 | 871 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 726 | 1004 | 0.723 | 728 | 717 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 13.490 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 685 | 1004 | 0.662 | 655 | 648 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 11.284 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1922 |  |  | 1922 | 1886 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 604 | 1149 | 0.525 | 607 | 600 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 8.242 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1105 | 1149 | 0.962 | 1101 | 1088 | 3.2 | 6.6 | 19.003 | C |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1785 |  |  | 1708 | 1702 | 0.8 | 18.5 | 22.937 | c |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1168 |  |  | 1168 | 1161 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 91 | 1000 | 0.091 | 92 | 90 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.431 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 432 | 1000 | 0.432 | 435 | 439 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 7.410 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 473 |  |  | 473 | 467 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 829 | 1000 | 0.829 | 825 | 827 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 13.284 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 828 |  |  | 829 | 829 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 10.833 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 872 |  |  | 872 | 882 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 716 | 1003 | 0.714 | 716 | 725 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 14.389 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 648 | 1003 | 0.646 | 643 | 680 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 12.042 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1928 |  |  | 1928 | 1926 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 611 | 1155 | 0.529 | 614 | 610 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 8.935 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1114 | 1155 | 0.964 | 1110 | 1105 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 21.300 | C |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1779 |  |  | 1724 | 1716 | 18.5 | 33.8 | 57.094 | F |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1175 |  |  | 1175 | 1172 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 87 | 1000 | 0.087 | 88 | 87 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.371 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 455 | 1000 | 0.455 | 452 | 440 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 7.451 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 474 |  |  | 474 | 475 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:45-18:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 659 | 1000 | 0.659 | 661 | 680 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 11.014 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 655 |  |  | 659 | 675 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 3.994 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 732 |  |  | 732 | 744 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 602 | 1013 | 0.594 | 598 | 598 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 10.078 | B |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 541 | 1013 | 0.534 | 538 | 551 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 9.287 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1638 |  |  | 1638 | 1704 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 536 | 1212 | 0.442 | 538 | 557 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 6.995 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 980 | 1212 | 0.808 | 981 | 1029 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 17.129 | C |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1469 |  |  | 1516 | 1572 | 33.8 | 3.9 | 31.693 | D |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 969 |  |  | 969 | 967 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 69 | 1000 | 0.069 | 68 | 68 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.313 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 387 | 1000 | 0.387 | 387 | 364 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 6.453 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 412 |  |  | 412 | 431 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 562 | 1000 | 0.562 | 558 | 569 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 8.446 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 561 |  |  | 562 | 567 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.027 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 600 |  |  | 600 | 610 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 498 | 1032 | 0.483 | 496 | 494 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 7.550 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 444 | 1032 | 0.430 | 447 | 450 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 6.878 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1335 |  |  | 1335 | 1387 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1. 4 | 431 | 1263 | 0.341 | 431 | 440 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 5.059 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 783 | 1283 | 0.620 | 782 | 803 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 9.228 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1214 |  |  | 1213 | 1233 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 1.463 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 807 |  |  | 807 | 797 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 59 | 1000 | 0.059 | 59 | 61 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.117 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 307 | 1000 | 0.307 | 305 | 298 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.716 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 338 |  |  | 336 | 341 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## EML - DS2, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 24.40 | C |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1093 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1048 | 165.00 |
| 3 | 233 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 839 | 150.00 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
[same as above]
Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]
Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D7 | EML - DS2 | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |  |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 884 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 874 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1307 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 941 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 846 | 16 | 2 |
|  | $\mathbf{y}$ | 457 | 0 | 417 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 152 | 606 | 0 | 549 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 145 | 0 | 796 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 58.38 | 16.6 | F | 799 | 1199 |
| 2 | 8.00 | 2.3 | A | 799 | 1199 |
| 3 | 8.89 | 4.0 | A | 1198 | 1797 |
| 4 | 29.68 | 8.9 | D | 863 | 1295 |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 656 | 164 | 1085 | 655 | 645 | 560 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 11.647 | B |
| 2 | 659 | 165 | 620 | 661 | 656 | 1100 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.965 | A |
| 3 | 981 | 245 | 348 | 980 | 981 | 934 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 4.976 | A |
| 4 | 713 | 178 | 911 | 714 | 708 | 417 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 9.031 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 787 | 197 | 1284 | 783 | 768 | 684 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 17.011 | C |
| 2 | 775 | 194 | 737 | 773 | 783 | 1310 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 6.682 | A |
| 3 | 1167 | 292 | 403 | 1164 | 1169 | 1107 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 5.901 | A |
| 4 | 849 | 212 | 1081 | 847 | 839 | 488 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 12.609 | B |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 960 | 240 | 1538 | 928 | 910 | 830 | 4.1 | 13.3 | 38.684 | E |
| 2 | 971 | 243 | 879 | 970 | 964 | 1587 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 7.997 | A |
| 3 | 1453 | 363 | 507 | 1445 | 1428 | 1342 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.099 | A |
| 4 | 1037 | 259 | 1350 | 1019 | 1015 | 602 | 3.1 | 8.9 | 25.157 | D |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 955 | 239 | 1547 | 949 | 941 | 832 | 13.3 | 16.6 | 58.375 | F |
| 2 | 954 | 238 | 895 | 956 | 957 | 1600 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 7.953 | A |
| 3 | 1447 | 362 | 505 | 1450 | 1440 | 1346 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 8.888 | A |
| 4 | 1027 | 257 | 1345 | 1034 | 1031 | 610 | 8.9 | 8.3 | 29.683 | D |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Junction <br> Arrivals <br> (PCU) | Circulating <br> flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput <br> (PCU/hr) | Average <br> throughput <br> (PCU/hr) | Throughput <br> (exit side) <br> (PCU/hr) | Start queue <br> (PCU) | End queue <br> (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised <br> (level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 782 | 196 | 1256 | 797 | 827 | 678 | 16.6 | 5.1 | $\mathbf{3 5 . 1 6 0}$ | E |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 789 | 197 | 734 | 787 | 786 | 1319 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 6.677 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1165 | 291 | 418 | 1165 | 1180 | 1102 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 6.141 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 843 | 211 | 1090 | 845 | 872 | 493 | 8.3 | 3.0 | 16.395 | C |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 655 | 164 | 1052 | 653 | 665 | 555 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 13.190 | B |
| 2 | 650 | 163 | 614 | 649 | 656 | 1090 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 5.998 | A |
| 3 | 976 | 244 | 338 | 976 | 978 | 925 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 5.002 | A |
| 4 | 712 | 178 | 899 | 708 | 713 | 416 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 9.842 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 654 | 1000 | 0.654 | 654 | 644 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 9.692 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.572 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 656 |  |  | 656 | 653 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.940 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 560 |  |  | 560 | 563 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 315 | 1000 | 0.315 | 315 | 315 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 5.789 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 344 | 1000 | 0.344 | 346 | 341 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 6.127 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1100 |  |  | 1100 | 1087 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 521 | 1317 | 0.395 | 520 | 528 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 5.101 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 461 | 1317 | 0.350 | 480 | 456 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.776 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 981 |  |  | 981 | 987 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.026 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 934 |  |  | 934 | 925 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 109 | 1000 | 0.109 | 109 | 110 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.461 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 604 | 1000 | 0.604 | 605 | 598 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 9.889 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 417 |  |  | 417 | 415 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 782 | 1000 | 0.782 | 781 | 786 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 11.701 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.147 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 787 |  |  | 783 | 770 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 5.313 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 664 |  |  | 664 | 674 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 374 | 1000 | 0.374 | 372 | 371 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 6.585 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 401 | 1000 | 0.401 | 401 | 412 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 6.787 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1310 |  |  | 1310 | 1297 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 622 | 1287 | 0.483 | 620 | 624 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 6.048 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 546 | 1287 | 0.424 | 544 | 545 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 5.493 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1167 |  |  | 1188 | 1171 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.112 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1107 |  |  | 1107 | 1097 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 126 | 1000 | 0.126 | 127 | 127 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.531 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 722 | 1000 | 0.722 | 720 | 712 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 14.046 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 488 |  |  | 488 | 490 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 930 | 1000 | 0.930 | 928 | 908 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 15.225 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.493 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 960 |  |  | 932 | 917 | 1.5 | 9.0 | 23.344 | C |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 830 |  |  | 830 | 827 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 465 | 1000 | 0.485 | 465 | 463 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 7.757 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 506 | 1000 | 0.506 | 505 | 501 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 8.217 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1587 |  |  | 1587 | 1555 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 769 | 1230 | 0.625 | 788 | 765 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 8.017 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 681 | 1230 | 0.554 | 677 | 663 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 7.013 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1453 |  |  | 1450 | 1434 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.538 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1342 |  |  | 1342 | 1335 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 158 | 1000 | 0.158 | 158 | 159 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 4.842 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 879 | 1000 | 0.879 | 881 | 856 | 3.0 | 8.7 | 28.846 | D |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 602 |  |  | 602 | 601 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start (PCU) (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 945 | 1000 | 0.945 | 947 | 939 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 16.173 | c |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.540 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 955 |  |  | 947 | 941 | 9.0 | 12.3 | 42.217 | E |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 832 |  |  | 832 | 833 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 453 | 1000 | 0.453 | 453 | 454 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 7.469 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 500 | 1000 | 0.500 | 503 | 503 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 8.391 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1600 |  |  | 1600 | 1584 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 776 | 1231 | 0.631 | 778 | 776 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 8.785 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 671 | 1231 | 0.545 | 672 | 684 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 7.253 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1447 |  |  | 1447 | 1439 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.809 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1346 |  |  | 1346 | 1344 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 159 | 1000 | 0.159 | 159 | 160 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.762 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 888 | 1000 | 0.868 | 875 | 872 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 34.265 | D |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 610 |  |  | 610 | 608 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 793 | 1000 | 0.793 | 794 | 825 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 13.622 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.512 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 782 |  |  | 795 | 822 | 12.3 | 2.3 | 21.800 | C |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 678 |  |  | 678 | 679 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 373 | 1000 | 0.373 | 371 | 374 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 6.442 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 416 | 1000 | 0.416 | 416 | 413 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 6.891 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1319 |  |  | 1319 | 1355 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 627 | 1279 | 0.490 | 625 | 635 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 6.386 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 538 | 1279 | 0.420 | 540 | 545 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 5.868 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1165 |  |  | 1165 | 1176 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.099 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1102 |  |  | 1102 | 1131 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 129 | 1000 | 0.129 | 128 | 130 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.551 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 714 | 1000 | 0.714 | 717 | 742 | 8.1 | 2.8 | 18.522 | C |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 493 |  |  | 493 | 500 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 654 | 1000 | 0.654 | 651 | 664 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 10.234 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 2 | 1000 | 0.002 | 2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.897 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 655 |  |  | 655 | 661 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 3.079 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 555 |  |  | 555 | 565 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 312 | 1000 | 0.312 | 313 | 313 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 5.783 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 338 | 1000 | 0.338 | 337 | 343 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 6.194 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1090 |  |  | 1090 | 1106 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 526 | 1323 | 0.397 | 525 | 524 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 5.204 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 451 | 1323 | 0.341 | 451 | 454 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 4.705 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 976 |  |  | 977 | 976 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.030 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 925 |  |  | 925 | 928 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 108 | 1000 | 0.108 | 108 | 109 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.558 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 605 | 1000 | 0.605 | 601 | 604 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 10.802 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 416 |  |  | 416 | 413 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## EML - DS2, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 43.38 | E |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1093 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1048 | 165.00 |
| 3 | 233 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 839 | 150.00 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
[same as above]
Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D8 | EML - DS2 | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 744 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1254 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1616 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 480 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 738 | 6 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 601 | 0 | 653 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 128 | 1044 | 0 | 446 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 80 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 400 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 22.00 | 4.6 | C | 681 | 1021 |
| 2 | 13.43 | 5.3 | B | 1146 | 1719 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 87.29 | 48.2 | F | 1477 | 2216 |
| 4 | 6.82 | 1.2 | A | 439 | 658 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 557 | 139 | 1084 | 556 | 556 | 600 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 9.286 | A |
| 2 | 928 | 232 | 314 | 931 | 923 | 1327 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 7.380 | A |
| 3 | 1215 | 304 | 444 | 1221 | 1212 | 801 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 7.405 | A |
| 4 | 367 | 92 | 1321 | 364 | 363 | 345 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.541 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 688 | 167 | 1300 | 671 | 683 | 708 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 11.903 | B |
| 2 | 1113 | 278 | 358 | 1113 | 1120 | 1613 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 8.941 | A |
| 3 | 1456 | 364 | 524 | 1466 | 1446 | 946 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 12.799 | B |
| 4 | 423 | 106 | 1586 | 422 | 425 | 405 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 6.078 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 818 | 204 | 1516 | 820 | 816 | 883 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 20.336 | C |
| 2 | 1386 | 347 | 449 | 1391 | 1380 | 1887 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 13.426 | B |
| 3 | 1781 | 445 | 661 | 1670 | 1680 | 1179 | 5.5 | 31.8 | 42.522 | E |
| 4 | 537 | 134 | 1885 | 535 | 525 | 486 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 6.581 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 810 | 203 | 1530 | 821 | 819 | 880 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 22.004 | C |
| 2 | 1376 | 344 | 439 | 1381 | 1380 | 1912 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 12.927 | B |
| 3 | 1777 | 444 | 680 | 1707 | 1717 | 1159 | 31.8 | 48.2 | 87.286 | F |
| 4 | 521 | 130 | 1888 | 521 | 530 | 479 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 6.816 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 689 | 167 | 1347 | 689 | 676 | 728 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 13.275 | B |
| 2 | 1123 | 281 | 363 | 1123 | 1141 | 1654 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 9.369 | A |
| 3 | 1432 | 358 | 542 | 1534 | 1599 | 944 | 48.2 | 8.9 | 50.318 | F |
| 4 | 427 | 107 | 1648 | 427 | 428 | 428 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 5.991 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 563 | 141 | 1086 | 561 | 565 | 608 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 9.452 | A |
| 2 | 951 | 238 | 306 | 949 | 943 | 1321 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 7.220 | A |
| 3 | 1202 | 301 | 456 | 1199 | 1235 | 798 | 8.9 | 2.7 | 8.877 | A |
| 4 | 357 | 89 | 1317 | 358 | 380 | 338 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 5.411 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 558 | 1000 | 0.558 | 556 | 556 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 8.435 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1,4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 557 |  |  | 558 | 563 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.845 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 600 |  |  | 600 | 597 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 488 | 1031 | 0.471 | 487 | 481 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 7.858 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 442 | 1031 | 0.429 | 444 | 442 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 6.857 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1327 |  |  | 1327 | 1331 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1,4 | 443 | 1285 | 0.350 | 445 | 433 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.758 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 775 | 1285 | 0.613 | 777 | 780 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 8.127 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1215 |  |  | 1218 | 1221 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.469 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 801 |  |  | 801 | 791 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 56 | 1000 | 0.056 | 57 | 58 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.341 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 311 | 1000 | 0.311 | 308 | 304 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.788 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 345 |  |  | 345 | 336 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 689 | 1000 | 0.689 | 671 | 683 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 9.878 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 688 |  |  | 689 | 685 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 2.008 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 708 |  |  | 708 | 719 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 587 | 1016 | 0.577 | 589 | 584 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 9.388 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 526 | 1016 | 0.518 | 524 | 538 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 8.477 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1613 |  |  | 1613 | 1585 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 517 | 1220 | 0.424 | 518 | 518 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 5.930 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 943 | 1220 | 0.772 | 949 | 928 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 12.047 | B |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1456 |  |  | 1460 | 1454 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 2.917 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 946 |  |  | 946 | 945 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 71 | 1000 | 0.071 | 71 | 70 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.170 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 351 | 1000 | 0.351 | 351 | 356 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 6.454 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 405 |  |  | 405 | 406 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 819 | 1000 | 0.819 | 820 | 816 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 12.634 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 818 |  |  | 819 | 819 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 7.684 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 883 |  |  | 883 | 883 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 728 | 1003 | 0.723 | 730 | 718 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 14.908 | B |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 680 | 1003 | 0.658 | 661 | 662 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 11.818 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1887 |  |  | 1887 | 1871 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 595 | 1145 | 0.519 | 596 | 598 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 8.020 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1079 | 1145 | 0.942 | 1074 | 1082 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 19.486 | C |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1781 |  |  | 1674 | 1675 | 1.2 | 23.7 | 28.984 | D |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1179 |  |  | 1179 | 1160 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 93 | 1000 | 0.093 | 93 | 89 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.165 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 444 | 1000 | 0.444 | 442 | 435 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 7.076 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 468 |  |  | 486 | 486 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 819 | 1000 | 0.819 | 821 | 819 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 13.012 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 810 |  |  | 819 | 819 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 9.012 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 880 |  |  | 880 | 879 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 719 | 1005 | 0.715 | 721 | 724 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 13.823 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 657 | 1005 | 0.654 | 660 | 656 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 11.941 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1912 |  |  | 1912 | 1920 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 613 | 1146 | 0.535 | 609 | 610 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 8.650 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1096 | 1146 | 0.957 | 1098 | 1107 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 22.083 | C |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1777 |  |  | 1709 | 1719 | 23.7 | 39.5 | 69.911 | F |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1159 |  |  | 1159 | 1170 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 89 | 1000 | 0.089 | 89 | 91 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.428 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 433 | 1000 | 0.433 | 432 | 440 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 7.315 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 479 |  |  | 479 | 477 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 688 | 1000 | 0.688 | 689 | 676 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 10.488 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 689 |  |  | 688 | 672 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 2.827 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 728 |  |  | 728 | 744 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 581 | 1016 | 0.572 | 582 | 591 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 9.885 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 542 | 1016 | 0.533 | 542 | 550 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 8.815 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1654 |  |  | 1654 | 1703 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 540 | 1211 | 0.446 | 543 | 567 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 7.651 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 978 | 1211 | 0.807 | 991 | 1033 | 6.8 | 3.7 | 17.049 | C |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1432 |  |  | 1517 | 1583 | 39.5 | 4.3 | 37.089 | E |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 944 |  |  | 944 | 952 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 71 | 1000 | 0.071 | 71 | 72 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.236 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 357 | 1000 | 0.357 | 356 | 356 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 6.348 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 428 |  |  | 428 | 445 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 561 | 1000 | 0.561 | 561 | 585 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 8.598 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 0 | 1000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 563 |  |  | 561 | 563 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.890 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 608 |  |  | 608 | 609 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 492 | 1034 | 0.475 | 492 | 490 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 7.564 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 460 | 1034 | 0.445 | 456 | 452 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 6.848 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1321 |  |  | 1321 | 1355 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 434 | 1258 | 0.345 | 434 | 440 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 4.987 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 768 | 1258 | 0.611 | 765 | 795 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 8.681 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1202 |  |  | 1203 | 1227 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 1.671 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 798 |  |  | 798 | 797 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 56 | 1000 | 0.056 | 56 | 58 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.168 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 301 | 1000 | 0.301 | 301 | 302 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 5.850 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 338 |  |  | 338 | 341 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## Junctions 9

## ARCADY 9 -Roundabout Module

Version: 9.5.0.6896
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```
„ELM - DM, AM
#ELM - DM, PM
#EMM - DS1, AM
#EMM - DS1, PM
#EML - DS2, AM
„EML - DS2, PM
```

Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | RFC | LOS | Queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | RFC | LOS |
|  | [Lane Simulation] - ELM - DM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 1.4 | 6.65 |  | A | 1.2 | 5.06 |  | A |
| Arm 2 | 2.4 | 7.04 |  | A | 3.2 | 7.45 |  | A |
| Arm 3 | 95.9 | 158.61 |  | F | 3.2 | 6.01 |  | A |
| Arm 4 | 5.8 | 17.61 |  | C | 178.3 | 490.08 |  | F |
|  | [Lane Simulation] - EMM - DS1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 1.6 | 6.42 |  | A | 1.3 | 5.50 |  | A |
| Arm 2 | 3.1 | 7.99 |  | A | 3.2 | 8.38 |  | A |
| Arm 3 | 117.5 | 206.25 |  | F | 2.8 | 5.40 |  | A |
| Arm 4 | 5.9 | 18.88 |  | C | 172.3 | 484.37 |  | F |
|  | [Lane Simulation] - EML - DS2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 1.2 | 6.51 |  | A | 1.3 | 5.60 |  | A |
| Arm 2 | 3.3 | 8.02 |  | A | 3.2 | 8.60 |  | A |
| Arm 3 | 119.2 | 212.86 |  | F | 2.5 | 5.49 |  | A |
| Arm 4 | 5.9 | 17.94 |  | C | 183.5 | 513.92 |  | F |

[^7]Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per amiving vehicle. Anm and junction delays are averages for all movements, including movements with zero delay.

THE FUTURE

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Junction 3, A3(M) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location |  |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $26 / 09 / 2019$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 62100616 |
| Enumerator | CORP (UKA.JT009 |
| Description |  |

Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | PCU | PCU | perHour | $\mathbf{s}$ | -Min | perMin |

## Analysis Options

| Vehicle length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Calculate Queue <br> Percentiles | Calculate detailed queueing <br> delay | Calculate residual <br> capacity | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay <br> threshold (s) | Queue threshold <br> (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  |  | 0.85 | 38.00 | 20.00 |

Lane Simulation options

| Criteria type | Stop criteria (\%) | Stop criteria time (s) | Stop criteria number of trials | Random seed | Results refresh speed (5) | Individual vehicle animation number of trials | Average animation capture interval (s) | Use quick response | Do flow sampling | Suppress automatic lane creation | Last run random seed | Last run number of trials | Last run time taken (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delay | 1.00 | 100000 | 100000 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 60 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 1928773701 | 118 | 23.26 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | ELM - DM | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |  |
| D4 | ELM - DM | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |
| D5 | EMM - DS1 | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| D6 | EMM - DS1 | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |
| D7 | EML - DS2 | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |  |
| D8 | EML - DS2 | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |

Analysis Set Details

| ID | Use Lane Simulation | Include in report | Network flow scaling factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## ELM - DM, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 69.82 | F |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Hulbert Road east |  |
| 2 | A3(M) south |  |
| 3 | Hulbert Road west |  |
| 4 | A3 $(M)$ north |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E - Entry width <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | r - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.10 | 7.50 | 24.9 | 40.0 | 145.0 | 9.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 6.00 | 6.90 | 5.7 | 50.0 | 145.0 | 5.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 7.60 | 7.60 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 145.0 | 4.0 |  |
| 4 | 6.50 | 6.50 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 145.0 | 28.0 |  |

Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.762 | 2597 |
| 2 | 0.951 | 2551 |
| 3 | 1.208 | 3386 |
| 4 | 0.716 | 2207 |

[^8]Lane Simulation: Arm options

| Arm | Lane capacity source | Traffic considering secondary lanes (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| 2 | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| 3 | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| 4 | Evenly split | 10.00 |

## Lanes

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Has limited storage | Storage (PCU) | Has bottleneck | Minimum capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | Maximum capacity (PCU/hr) | Signalised |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | $\checkmark$ | 4.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | $\checkmark$ | 4.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |

Entry Lane slope and intercept

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.381 | 1298 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.381 | 1298 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.476 | 1276 |
|  |  |  | 0.476 | 1276 |  |
| $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{3}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.604 | 1693 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.604 | 1693 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.358 | 1104 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.358 | 1104 |

Summary of Entry Lane allowed movements

| Arm | Lane Level | Lane | Destination arm |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  |  | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | ELM - DM | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 676 | 100.000 |
| 2 |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1105 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1826 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 985 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 15 | 257 | 404 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 42 | 0 | 1063 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 853 | 399 | 0 | 574 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 733 | 0 | 252 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 3 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 6.65 | 1.4 | A | 619 | 928 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 7.04 | 2.4 | A | 1016 | 1524 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 158.61 | 95.9 | F | 1690 | 2534 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 17.61 | 5.8 | C | 903 | 1355 |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 509 | 127 | 496 | 508 | 508 | 1253 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 4.772 | A |
| 2 | 836 | 209 | 692 | 834 | 833 | 312 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 5.131 | A |
| 3 | 1401 | 350 | 328 | 1405 | 1377 | 1197 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 9.162 | A |
| 4 | 752 | 188 | 997 | 751 | 736 | 736 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 7.925 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 603 | 151 | 588 | 605 | 613 | 1447 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 5.226 | A |
| 2 | 991 | 248 | 821 | 993 | 984 | 371 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 5.712 | A |
| 3 | 1641 | 410 | 395 | 1636 | 1621 | 1419 | 3.4 | 8.2 | 15.850 | C |
| 4 | 877 | 219 | 1153 | 882 | 877 | 878 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 10.760 | B |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 748 | 187 | 733 | 755 | 746 | 1671 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 6.649 | A |
| 2 | 1230 | 307 | 1018 | 1228 | 1224 | 470 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 7.039 | A |
| 3 | 2027 | 507 | 497 | 1846 | 1819 | 1748 | 8.2 | 56.1 | 68.237 | F |
| 4 | 1079 | 270 | 1337 | 1067 | 1086 | 1007 | 2.6 | 5.8 | 15.244 | C |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 737 | 184 | 709 | 742 | 743 | 1699 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 6.415 | A |
| 2 | 1206 | 302 | 1003 | 1205 | 1209 | 449 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 6.903 | A |
| 3 | 2006 | 501 | 490 | 1825 | 1835 | 1718 | 56.1 | 95.9 | 153.653 | F |
| 4 | 1084 | 271 | 1318 | 1089 | 1084 | 996 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 17.615 | C |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 609 | 152 | 594 | 607 | 606 | 1543 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 5.387 | A |
| 2 | 992 | 248 | 821 | 991 | 997 | 381 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 5.969 | A |
| 3 | 1659 | 415 | 397 | 1804 | 1780 | 1415 | 95.9 | 61.6 | 158.607 | F |
| 4 | 878 | 219 | 1284 | 874 | 891 | 938 | 4.9 | 3.0 | 11.501 | B |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 507 | 127 | 502 | 506 | 509 | 1295 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 4.801 | A |
| 2 | 841 | 210 | 689 | 838 | 835 | 320 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 5.127 | A |
| 3 | 1404 | 351 | 333 | 1507 | 1592 | 1193 | 61.6 | 8.7 | 57.398 | F |
| 4 | 750 | 188 | 1052 | 745 | 744 | 788 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 8.321 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 135 | 1110 | 0.122 | 135 | 130 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.824 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 373 | 1110 | 0.336 | 374 | 378 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.979 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 509 |  |  | 508 | 511 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.086 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1253 |  |  | 1253 | 1225 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 409 | 1002 | 0.408 | 407 | 410 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.086 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 427 | 1002 | 0.426 | 426 | 423 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.174 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 312 |  |  | 312 | 309 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1098 | 1495 | 0.735 | 1102 | 1078 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 10.712 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 303 | 1495 | 0.203 | 302 | 298 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.530 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1197 |  |  | 1197 | 1184 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 559 | 1000 | 0.559 | 557 | 548 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 8.909 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 193 | 1000 | 0.193 | 194 | 188 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 5.038 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 736 |  |  | 736 | 735 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 162 | 1074 | 0.151 | 162 | 161 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.081 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 441 | 1074 | 0.410 | 442 | 452 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 5.386 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 603 |  |  | 602 | 613 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.197 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1447 |  |  | 1447 | 1437 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 485 | 1000 | 0.485 | 487 | 480 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.726 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 505 | 1000 | 0.505 | 506 | 504 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.697 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 371 |  |  | 371 | 372 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1283 | 1454 | 0.882 | 1278 | 1262 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 19.279 | C |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 357 | 1454 | 0.246 | 358 | 359 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.611 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1419 |  |  | 1419 | 1408 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 649 | 1000 | 0.649 | 652 | 651 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 12.782 | B |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 228 | 1000 | 0.228 | 230 | 227 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.984 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 878 |  |  | 878 | 877 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 210 | 1028 | 0.204 | 209 | 208 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.411 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 542 | 1028 | 0.527 | 545 | 539 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 6.549 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 748 |  |  | 752 | 748 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.691 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1671 |  |  | 1671 | 1657 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 605 | 1000 | 0.605 | 606 | 606 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 6.979 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 625 | 1000 | 0.625 | 622 | 617 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 7.097 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 470 |  |  | 470 | 459 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1576 | 1392 | 1.132 | 1395 | 1377 | 7.8 | 55.6 | 88.276 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 451 | 1392 | 0.324 | 451 | 442 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.169 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1748 |  |  | 1748 | 1740 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 798 | 1000 | 0.798 | 788 | 788 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 18.617 | C |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 281 | 1000 | 0.281 | 281 | 277 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 5.577 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1007 |  |  | 1007 | 1000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 207 | 1035 | 0.200 | 209 | 207 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.485 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 531 | 1035 | 0.513 | 534 | 535 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 6.506 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 737 |  |  | 738 | 742 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.477 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1699 |  |  | 1699 | 1692 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 595 | 1000 | 0.595 | 596 | 597 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 6.883 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 611 | 1000 | 0.611 | 609 | 612 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 6.943 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 449 |  |  | 449 | 452 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1570 | 1397 | 1.124 | 1391 | 1398 | 55.6 | 95.2 | 195.230 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 438 | 1397 | 0.312 | 433 | 438 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 4.293 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1718 |  |  | 1718 | 1723 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 809 | 1000 | 0.809 | 814 | 810 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 21.727 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 275 | 1000 | 0.275 | 276 | 275 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 5.488 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 996 |  |  | 996 | 1004 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 160 | 1072 | 0.150 | 162 | 160 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.101 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 448 | 1072 | 0.418 | 446 | 446 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 5.570 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 609 |  |  | 609 | 606 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.205 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1543 |  |  | 1543 | 1552 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 492 | 1001 | 0.492 | 492 | 491 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 5.881 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 500 | 1001 | 0.500 | 499 | 506 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 6.055 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 381 |  |  | 381 | 374 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1289 | 1453 | 0.888 | 1435 | 1420 | 95.2 | 61.2 | 201.767 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 389 | 1453 | 0.254 | 389 | 381 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 3.734 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1415 |  |  | 1415 | 1418 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 653 | 1000 | 0.653 | 649 | 682 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 13.717 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 225 | 1000 | 0.225 | 226 | 228 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 5.148 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 938 |  |  | 938 | 931 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 132 | 1107 | 0.119 | 131 | 132 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.727 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 375 | 1107 | 0.339 | 375 | 377 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 5.054 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 507 |  |  | 507 | 508 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.095 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1295 |  |  | 1295 | 1357 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 409 | 1002 | 0.408 | 408 | 410 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 5.044 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 432 | 1002 | 0.431 | 430 | 424 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 5.207 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 320 |  |  | 320 | 313 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1095 | 1491 | 0.734 | 1197 | 1289 | 61.2 | 8.5 | 72.869 | F |
|  | try |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 309 | 1491 | 0.207 | 310 | 303 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 3.412 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1193 |  |  | 1193 | 1188 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 559 | 1000 | 0.559 | 553 | 554 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 9.520 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 192 | 1000 | 0.192 | 192 | 189 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.872 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 788 |  |  | 788 | 822 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## ELM - DM, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |
| Last <br> Run | Lane Simulation | Arm 4-Lane <br> Simulation | Arm 4: Queue at end of modelled period is greater than 10 PCU. Delay is likely to have been underestimated. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 151.84 | F |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

[same as above]

Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D4 | ELM - DM | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 653 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1160 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1573 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1464 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 48 | 464 | 141 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 19 | 0 | 1141 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 52 | 703 | 0 | 818 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1150 | 0 | 314 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 5.06 | 1.2 | A | 600 | 901 |
| 2 | 7.45 | 3.2 | A | 1070 | 1605 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 6.01 | 3.2 | A | 1444 | 2165 |
| 4 | 490.08 | 178.3 | F | 1340 | 2010 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 504 | 128 | 777 | 502 | 503 | 892 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 4.488 | A |
| 2 | 895 | 224 | 708 | 896 | 888 | 571 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 5.262 | A |
| 3 | 1204 | 301 | 118 | 1206 | 1181 | 1488 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 4.056 | A |
| 4 | 1082 | 270 | 586 | 1083 | 1089 | 740 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 20.902 | C |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 585 | 146 | 901 | 588 | 575 | 1021 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 4.685 | A |
| 2 | 1084 | 286 | 824 | 1070 | 1048 | 684 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 5.984 | A |
| 3 | 1374 | 344 | 151 | 1379 | 1408 | 1743 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 4.767 | A |
| 4 | 1295 | 324 | 688 | 1236 | 1233 | 844 | 7.2 | 27.7 | 55.963 | F |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 709 | 177 | 1118 | 708 | 708 | 1062 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 5.006 | A |
| 2 | 1283 | 321 | 1005 | 1288 | 1277 | 822 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 7.291 | A |
| 3 | 1718 | 430 | 171 | 1725 | 1733 | 2121 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 6.010 | A |
| 4 | 1639 | 410 | 851 | 1329 | 1347 | 1044 | 27.7 | 98.6 | 179.858 | F |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 718 | 180 | 1143 | 720 | 727 | 1083 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 5.056 | A |
| 2 | 1282 | 320 | 1019 | 1278 | 1286 | 844 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 7.453 | A |
| 3 | 1747 | 437 | 176 | 1745 | 1745 | 2121 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 5.959 | A |
| 4 | 1590 | 398 | 874 | 1352 | 1338 | 1046 | 98.6 | 184.7 | 380.413 | F |

17:45-18:00

| Arm |  | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 588 | 147 | 917 | 584 | 584 | 1076 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 4.776 | A |
| 2 | 1009 | 252 | 826 | 1012 | 1032 | 676 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 6.006 | A |
| 3 | 1420 | 355 | 141 | 1420 | 1411 | 1697 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 4.609 | A |
| 4 | 1337 | 334 | 706 | 1288 | 1282 | 856 | 184.7 | 178.3 | 490.084 | F |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 497 | 124 | 773 | 496 | 499 | 1037 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 4.558 | A |
| 2 | 887 | 222 | 692 | 888 | 888 | 577 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 5.059 | A |
| 3 | 1198 | 299 | 123 | 1199 | 1196 | 1457 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 3.990 | A |
| 4 | 1095 | 274 | 598 | 1212 | 1221 | 724 | 178.3 | 148.5 | 367.555 | F |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 223 | 1016 | 0.220 | 222 | 225 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.248 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 281 | 1016 | 0.277 | 280 | 277 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.672 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 504 |  |  | 504 | 506 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.007 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 892 |  |  | 892 | 880 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 443 | 1003 | 0.442 | 444 | 438 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 5.232 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 452 | 1003 | 0.451 | 452 | 449 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.290 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 571 |  |  | 571 | 565 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 688 | 1621 | 0.412 | 670 | 653 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.234 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 536 | 1621 | 0.331 | 537 | 529 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.836 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1486 |  |  | 1486 | 1470 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 842 | 1000 | 0.842 | 844 | 830 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 25.308 | D |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 240 | 1000 | 0.240 | 240 | 239 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 5.222 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 740 |  |  | 740 | 724 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 265 | 1003 | 0.265 | 286 | 258 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.435 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 320 | 1003 | 0.319 | 322 | 316 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.847 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 585 |  |  | 585 | 574 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.024 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1021 |  |  | 1021 | 1017 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 521 | 1000 | 0.521 | 524 | 517 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 5.937 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 543 | 1000 | 0.543 | 546 | 530 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 5.991 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 684 |  |  | 684 | 667 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 757 | 1602 | 0.472 | 758 | 780 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 5.165 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 617 | 1602 | 0.385 | 622 | 628 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 4.270 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1743 |  |  | 1743 | 1722 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1017 | 1000 | 1.017 | 956 | 949 | 7.0 | 27.2 | 69.862 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 278 | 1000 | 0.278 | 279 | 284 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 5.484 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 844 |  |  | 844 | 859 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 327 | 1000 | 0.327 | 328 | 322 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.672 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 382 | 1000 | 0.382 | 381 | 387 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 5.201 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 709 |  |  | 709 | 710 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.043 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1082 |  |  | 1062 | 1088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 640 | 1000 | 0.640 | 641 | 639 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 7.256 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 643 | 1000 | 0.643 | 647 | 638 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 7.326 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 822 |  |  | 822 | 828 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 952 | 1590 | 0.599 | 953 | 961 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 6.685 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 768 | 1590 | 0.482 | 772 | 772 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.168 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2121 |  |  | 2121 | 2097 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1291 | 1000 | 1.291 | 983 | 1004 | 27.2 | 97.9 | 228.078 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 349 | 1000 | 0.349 | 347 | 343 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 6.156 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1044 |  |  | 1044 | 1058 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 326 | 1000 | 0.326 | 326 | 330 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.824 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 392 | 1000 | 0.392 | 394 | 396 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 5.136 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 718 |  |  | 718 | 725 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.064 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1083 |  |  | 1083 | 1074 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 637 | 1000 | 0.637 | 634 | 644 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 7.352 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 645 | 1000 | 0.645 | 644 | 643 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 7.554 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 844 |  |  | 844 | 830 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 955 | 1587 | 0.602 | 954 | 971 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 6.685 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 792 | 1587 | 0.499 | 790 | 774 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 5.072 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2121 |  |  | 2121 | 2124 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1236 | 1000 | 1.236 | 999 | 995 | 97.9 | 163.9 | 483.055 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 354 | 1000 | 0.354 | 354 | 343 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 6.239 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1046 |  |  | 1046 | 1067 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

$17: 45-18: 00$

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 269 | 1002 | 0.268 | 286 | 285 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.577 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 319 | 1002 | 0.319 | 318 | 319 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 4.884 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 588 |  |  | 588 | 585 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.041 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1076 |  |  | 1076 | 1059 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 508 | 1000 | 0.508 | 508 | 514 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 5.938 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 501 | 1000 | 0.501 | 503 | 517 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 6.074 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 676 |  |  | 676 | 676 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 785 | 1608 | 0.488 | 788 | 777 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 4.883 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 635 | 1608 | 0.395 | 634 | 634 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 4.296 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1697 |  |  | 1697 | 1712 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1054 | 1000 | 1.054 | 1005 | 996 | 183.9 | 177.8 | 619.987 | F |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 284 | 1000 | 0.284 | 283 | 288 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 5.550 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 856 |  |  | 856 | 880 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End (PCU) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 218 | 1016 | 0.215 | 219 | 222 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.414 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 280 | 1016 | 0.275 | 277 | 277 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 4.614 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 497 |  |  | 497 | 499 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.033 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1037 |  |  | 1037 | 1039 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 445 | 1004 | 0.444 | 445 | 434 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 4.935 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 442 | 1004 | 0.440 | 443 | 435 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 5.181 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 577 |  |  | 577 | 571 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 659 | 1619 | 0.407 | 657 | 659 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 4.208 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 538 | 1619 | 0.332 | 542 | 537 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 3.723 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1457 |  |  | 1457 | 1446 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 883 | 1000 | 0.863 | 981 | 988 | 177.8 | 148.0 | 557.884 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 232 | 1000 | 0.232 | 231 | 235 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 5.225 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 724 |  |  | 724 | 729 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## EMM - DS1, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |
| Last <br> Run | Lane Simulation | Arm 3-Lane <br> Simulation | Arm 3: Queue at end of modelled period is greater than 10 PCU. Delay is likely to have been underestimated. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 86.59 | F |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

[same as above]

## Lane Simulation: Arm options

[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run automatically |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D5 | EMM - DS1 | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 720 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1202 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1812 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 964 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 15 | 290 | 415 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 42 | 0 | 1160 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 851 | 358 | 0 | 603 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 741 | 0 | 223 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (5) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 6.42 | 1.6 | A | 662 | 993 |
| 2 | 7.99 | 3.1 | A | 1104 | 1656 |
| 3 | 206.25 | 117.5 | F | 1667 | 2501 |
| 4 | 18.86 | 5.9 | C | 881 | 1321 |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 548 | 137 | 431 | 547 | 545 | 1232 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 4.579 | A |
| 2 | 904 | 228 | 698 | 905 | 905 | 280 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 5.330 | A |
| 3 | 1359 | 340 | 351 | 1388 | 1352 | 1252 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 9.157 | A |
| 4 | 714 | 179 | 945 | 719 | 718 | 773 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 8.013 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 643 | 161 | 528 | 645 | 644 | 1460 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 5.372 | A |
| 2 | 1076 | 289 | 830 | 1074 | 1082 | 343 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 6.187 | A |
| 3 | 1642 | 411 | 404 | 1636 | 1606 | 1499 | 3.4 | 10.7 | 20.046 | C |
| 4 | 862 | 215 | 1123 | 885 | 856 | 918 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 10.429 | B |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 796 | 199 | 635 | 795 | 791 | 1866 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 6.361 | A |
| 2 | 1330 | 332 | 1018 | 1332 | 1320 | 412 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 7.884 | A |
| 3 | 2007 | 502 | 503 | 1779 | 1776 | 1847 | 10.7 | 64.5 | 78.603 | F |
| 4 | 1051 | 263 | 1252 | 1048 | 1044 | 1030 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 16.927 | C |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 796 | 199 | 637 | 801 | 792 | 1686 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 6.424 | A |
| 2 | 1320 | 330 | 1025 | 1322 | 1320 | 413 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 7.994 | A |
| 3 | 2007 | 502 | 507 | 1786 | 1780 | 1841 | 64.5 | 117.5 | 189.817 | F |
| 4 | 1054 | 263 | 1251 | 1053 | 1054 | 1042 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 18.883 | C |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 644 | 161 | 526 | 643 | 650 | 1551 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 5.430 | A |
| 2 | 1083 | 271 | 830 | 1081 | 1086 | 340 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 6.176 | A |
| 3 | 1632 | 408 | 406 | 1785 | 1752 | 1505 | 117.5 | 85.0 | 206.255 | F |
| 4 | 889 | 217 | 1211 | 887 | 879 | 960 | 5.9 | 3.2 | 12.423 | B |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 545 | 138 | 442 | 545 | 543 | 1330 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 4.753 | A |
| 2 | 911 | 228 | 707 | 913 | 903 | 279 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 5.383 | A |
| 3 | 1355 | 339 | 354 | 1527 | 1619 | 1287 | 85.0 | 20.4 | 93.178 | F |
| 4 | 735 | 184 | 1038 | 736 | 734 | 844 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 8.316 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 148 | 1134 | 0.131 | 149 | 151 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 3.731 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 400 | 1134 | 0.353 | 398 | 394 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.775 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 548 |  |  | 548 | 548 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.090 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1232 |  |  | 1232 | 1221 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 443 | 1002 | 0.442 | 443 | 442 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.332 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 461 | 1002 | 0.461 | 461 | 463 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.327 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 280 |  |  | 280 | 278 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1092 | 1481 | 0.737 | 1100 | 1086 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 10.579 | B |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 267 | 1481 | 0.180 | 288 | 286 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.305 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1252 |  |  | 1252 | 1282 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 550 | 1000 | 0.550 | 556 | 552 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 8.943 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 164 | 1000 | 0.164 | 164 | 166 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.900 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 773 |  |  | 773 | 760 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 177 | 1097 | 0.162 | 178 | 178 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3.981 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 486 | 1097 | 0.424 | 467 | 466 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.536 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 643 |  |  | 643 | 644 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.286 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1460 |  |  | 1460 | 1444 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 534 | 1000 | 0.534 | 532 | 532 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 6.154 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 543 | 1000 | 0.543 | 542 | 549 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 6.219 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 343 |  |  | 343 | 338 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1314 | 1449 | 0.907 | 1308 | 1283 | 3.2 | 10.4 | 24.126 | C |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 328 | 1449 | 0.227 | 328 | 323 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.507 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1499 |  |  | 1499 | 1502 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 662 | 1000 | 0.682 | 685 | 659 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 12.059 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 200 | 1000 | 0.200 | 199 | 197 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.927 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 918 |  |  | 918 | 904 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 232 | 1057 | 0.220 | 233 | 230 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.325 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 564 | 1057 | 0.533 | 562 | 560 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 6.354 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 796 |  |  | 796 | 793 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.594 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1686 |  |  | 1686 | 1654 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 658 | 1000 | 0.658 | 659 | 652 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 7.827 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 671 | 1000 | 0.671 | 673 | 688 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 7.901 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 412 |  |  | 412 | 411 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1611 | 1389 | 1.160 | 1385 | 1381 | 10.4 | 64.0 | 97.039 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 396 | 1389 | 0.285 | 394 | 395 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 4.051 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1847 |  |  | 1847 | 1837 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 809 | 1000 | 0.809 | 807 | 801 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 20.431 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 242 | 1000 | 0.242 | 241 | 243 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.246 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1030 |  |  | 1030 | 1028 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 232 | 1056 | 0.220 | 233 | 230 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.434 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 565 | 1056 | 0.534 | 568 | 562 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 6.515 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 796 |  |  | 797 | 791 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.517 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1686 |  |  | 1686 | 1688 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 659 | 1000 | 0.659 | 661 | 655 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 7.886 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 681 | 1000 | 0.661 | 682 | 684 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 8.121 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 413 |  |  | 413 | 411 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1612 | 1387 | 1.162 | 1390 | 1385 | 64.0 | 117.0 | 235.610 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 395 | 1387 | 0.285 | 396 | 395 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.067 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1841 |  |  | 1841 | 1835 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 813 | 1000 | 0.813 | 811 | 811 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 22.918 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 240 | 1000 | 0.240 | 242 | 242 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 5.321 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1042 |  |  | 1042 | 1032 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 181 | 1098 | 0.164 | 181 | 182 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.962 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 464 | 1098 | 0.423 | 463 | 468 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 5.596 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 644 |  |  | 645 | 649 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.294 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1551 |  |  | 1551 | 1552 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 540 | 1000 | 0.539 | 538 | 537 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 6.080 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 544 | 1000 | 0.544 | 543 | 549 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 6.270 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 340 |  |  | 340 | 340 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1306 | 1448 | 0.902 | 1438 | 1425 | 117.0 | 84.7 | 256.968 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 326 | 1448 | 0.225 | 327 | 327 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 3.616 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1505 |  |  | 1505 | 1513 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 688 | 1000 | 0.688 | 687 | 680 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 14.657 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 201 | 1000 | 0.201 | 199 | 199 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.919 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 960 |  |  | 960 | 962 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 143 | 1130 | 0.126 | 142 | 144 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.734 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 402 | 1130 | 0.356 | 402 | 398 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 4.977 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 545 |  |  | 545 | 541 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.107 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1330 |  |  | 1330 | 1381 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 445 | 1003 | 0.443 | 445 | 442 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 5.345 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 486 | 1003 | 0.485 | 468 | 461 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 5.419 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 279 |  |  | 279 | 278 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1087 | 1479 | 0.735 | 1259 | 1352 | 84.7 | 20.1 | 115.934 | F |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 268 | 1479 | 0.181 | 268 | 267 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.273 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1267 |  |  | 1287 | 1254 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 563 | 1000 | 0.563 | 562 | 563 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 9.425 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 172 | 1000 | 0.172 | 173 | 170 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.675 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 844 |  |  | 844 | 884 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## EMM - DS1, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |
| Last <br> Run | Lane Simulation | Arm 4-Lane <br> Simulation | Arm 4: Queve at end of modelled period is greater than 10 PCU. Delay is likely to have been underestimated. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 150.42 | F |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

[same as above]

Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D6 | EMM - DS1 | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 716 | 100.000 |
| 2 |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1245 | 100.000 |
| 3 |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1400 | 100.000 |
| 4 |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1447 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 48 | 457 | 211 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 19 | 0 | 1226 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 56 | 703 | 0 | 641 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1155 | 0 | 292 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 5.50 | 1.3 | A | 649 | 973 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 8.38 | 3.2 | A | 1143 | 1715 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.40 | 2.8 | A | 1288 | 1932 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 484.37 | 172.3 | F | 1327 | 1991 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 530 | 132 | 735 | 529 | 539 | 921 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 4.600 | A |
| 2 | 947 | 237 | 711 | 941 | 940 | 552 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 5.394 | A |
| 3 | 1052 | 263 | 165 | 1055 | 1050 | 1487 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 3.811 | A |
| 4 | 1073 | 288 | 575 | 1081 | 1062 | 645 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 21.266 | C |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 623 | 156 | 917 | 624 | 636 | 1046 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 5.082 | A |
| 2 | 1142 | 288 | 847 | 1145 | 1125 | 695 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 6.340 | A |
| 3 | 1269 | 317 | 203 | 1289 | 1259 | 1789 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 4.296 | A |
| 4 | 1286 | 322 | 722 | 1240 | 1222 | 750 | 7.2 | 28.9 | 58.528 | F |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 791 | 198 | 1095 | 796 | 788 | 1088 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 5.498 | A |
| 2 | 1367 | 342 | 1062 | 1372 | 1371 | 829 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 8.378 | A |
| 3 | 1523 | 381 | 258 | 1527 | 1541 | 2177 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 5.396 | A |
| 4 | 1608 | 402 | 852 | 1312 | 1325 | 932 | 26.9 | 95.1 | 176.544 | F |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 782 | 196 | 1109 | 782 | 778 | 1081 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 5.486 | A |
| 2 | 1359 | 340 | 1086 | 1362 | 1363 | 825 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 8.127 | A |
| 3 | 1566 | 391 | 245 | 1556 | 1558 | 2184 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 5.402 | A |
| 4 | 1588 | 396 | 852 | 1339 | 1324 | 950 | 95.1 | 162.9 | 375.288 | F |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 627 | 157 | 896 | 624 | 633 | 1089 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 4.981 | A |
| 2 | 1120 | 280 | 849 | 1124 | 1126 | 670 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 6.291 | A |
| 3 | 1255 | 314 | 192 | 1254 | 1262 | 1782 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 4.376 | A |
| 4 | 1291 | 323 | 698 | 1286 | 1286 | 747 | 162.9 | 172.3 | 484.371 | F |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 539 | 135 | 755 | 540 | 542 | 1082 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 4.691 | A |
| 2 | 924 | 231 | 726 | 932 | 930 | 569 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 5.514 | A |
| 3 | 1063 | 286 | 176 | 1062 | 1057 | 1481 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 3.750 | A |
| 4 | 1117 | 279 | 591 | 1246 | 1239 | 648 | 172.3 | 137.0 | 351.245 | F |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 223 | 1028 | 0.217 | 222 | 228 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.373 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 306 | 1028 | 0.298 | 306 | 313 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.677 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 530 |  |  | 529 | 542 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.050 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 921 |  |  | 921 | 896 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 467 | 1002 | 0.486 | 465 | 468 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 5.473 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 480 | 1002 | 0.479 | 477 | 472 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 5.316 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 552 |  |  | 552 | 564 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 534 | 1593 | 0.335 | 536 | 523 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.807 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 518 | 1593 | 0.325 | 519 | 526 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.815 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1487 |  |  | 1487 | 1492 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 856 | 1000 | 0.856 | 886 | 843 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 25.337 | D |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 217 | 1000 | 0.217 | 216 | 220 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.179 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 645 |  |  | 645 | 639 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 255 | 1004 | 0.254 | 256 | 267 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.630 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 388 | 1004 | 0.367 | 388 | 388 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5.299 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 623 |  |  | 623 | 636 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.063 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1046 |  |  | 1046 | 1023 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 562 | 1000 | 0.562 | 563 | 560 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 6.285 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 581 | 1000 | 0.581 | 582 | 565 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 6.396 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 695 |  |  | 695 | 679 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 611 | 1570 | 0.389 | 612 | 622 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 4.221 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 658 | 1570 | 0.419 | 658 | 637 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 4.369 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1789 |  |  | 1789 | 1774 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1024 | 1000 | 1.024 | 981 | 957 | 6.8 | 26.3 | 72.120 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 262 | 1000 | 0.262 | 259 | 264 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5.457 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 750 |  |  | 750 | 766 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 341 | 1000 | 0.341 | 343 | 339 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 4.737 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 450 | 1000 | 0.450 | 453 | 449 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 5.791 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 791 |  |  | 792 | 789 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.161 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1088 |  |  | 1088 | 1078 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 674 | 1000 | 0.674 | 678 | 682 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 8.385 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 693 | 1000 | 0.693 | 695 | 688 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 8.372 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 829 |  |  | 829 | 830 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 750 | 1537 | 0.488 | 752 | 764 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 5.419 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 773 | 1537 | 0.503 | 775 | 776 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 5.373 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2177 |  |  | 2177 | 2178 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1288 | 1000 | 1.288 | 991 | 999 | 26.3 | 94.5 | 220.211 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 320 | 1000 | 0.320 | 321 | 326 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 6.074 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 932 |  |  | 932 | 938 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 334 | 1000 | 0.334 | 333 | 330 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.992 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 450 | 1000 | 0.450 | 449 | 448 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 5.650 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 782 |  |  | 784 | 779 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.116 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1081 |  |  | 1081 | 1078 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 683 | 1000 | 0.683 | 685 | 688 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 7.919 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 676 | 1000 | 0.676 | 677 | 675 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 8.339 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 825 |  |  | 825 | 834 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 790 | 1545 | 0.511 | 781 | 776 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 5.609 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2,3 | 776 | 1545 | 0.502 | 775 | 782 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 5.196 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2184 |  |  | 2184 | 2161 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1254 | 1000 | 1.254 | 1005 | 999 | 94.5 | 162.4 | 470.115 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 332 | 1000 | 0.332 | 334 | 326 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.728 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 950 |  |  | 950 | 950 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 287 | 1003 | 0.286 | 285 | 288 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.636 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 360 | 1003 | 0.359 | 358 | 386 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 5.178 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 627 |  |  | 627 | 632 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.030 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1089 |  |  | 1089 | 1075 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 556 | 1000 | 0.556 | 561 | 559 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 6.305 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 564 | 1000 | 0.564 | 564 | 568 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 6.277 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 670 |  |  | 670 | 688 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 628 | 1577 | 0.397 | 624 | 634 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 4.357 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 630 | 1577 | 0.399 | 629 | 628 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 4.396 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1782 |  |  | 1782 | 1779 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1023 | 1000 | 1.023 | 1000 | 1005 | 162.4 | 171.9 | 603.934 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 288 | 1000 | 0.288 | 267 | 261 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 5.474 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 747 |  |  | 747 | 785 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 225 | 1022 | 0.220 | 224 | 228 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 4.370 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 315 | 1022 | 0.308 | 316 | 314 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.859 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 539 |  |  | 540 | 541 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.039 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1082 |  |  | 1082 | 1081 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 451 | 1001 | 0.451 | 456 | 462 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 5.492 | A |
|  | try |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 473 | 1001 | 0.473 | 476 | 469 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 5.535 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 569 |  |  | 569 | 560 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 535 | 1586 | 0.337 | 532 | 534 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 3.728 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 528 | 1588 | 0.333 | 530 | 523 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 3.773 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1481 |  |  | 1481 | 1481 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 888 | 1000 | 0.888 | 1022 | 1021 | 171.9 | 136.5 | 496.256 | F |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 228 | 1000 | 0.228 | 226 | 218 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 5.385 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 648 |  |  | 648 | 647 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## EML - DS2, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |
| Last <br> Run | Lane Simulation | Arm 3 - Lane <br> Simulation | Arm 3: Queue at end of modelled period is greater than 10 PCU. Delay is likely to have been underestimated. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 89.31 | F |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

[same as above]

Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run automatically |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D7 | EML - DS2 | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 720 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1196 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1813 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 984 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 15 | 289 | 416 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 42 | 0 | 1154 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 849 | 380 | 0 | 604 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 740 | 0 | 224 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 6.51 | 1.2 | A | 656 | 984 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 8.02 | 3.3 | A | 1095 | 1643 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 212.86 | 119.2 | F | 1669 | 2503 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 17.94 | 5.9 | C | 880 | 1320 |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 540 | 135 | 439 | 539 | 542 | 1227 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.646 | A |
| 2 | 912 | 228 | 696 | 911 | 903 | 281 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 5.450 | A |
| 3 | 1388 | 342 | 344 | 1389 | 1358 | 1284 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 9.083 | A |
| 4 | 719 | 180 | 950 | 716 | 719 | 762 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 7.985 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 642 | 161 | 537 | 638 | 649 | 1472 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 5.328 | A |
| 2 | 1062 | 286 | 829 | 1085 | 1087 | 346 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 5.958 | A |
| 3 | 1642 | 411 | 404 | 1684 | 1620 | 1491 | 3.3 | 9.7 | 19.620 | C |
| 4 | 854 | 214 | 1147 | 862 | 850 | 922 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 11.215 | B |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 795 | 199 | 636 | 797 | 795 | 1674 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 6.506 | A |
| 2 | 1323 | 331 | 1026 | 1323 | 1322 | 407 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 8.023 | A |
| 3 | 1998 | 499 | 506 | 1774 | 1771 | 1843 | 9.7 | 65.7 | 80.460 | F |
| 4 | 1058 | 265 | 1249 | 1081 | 1054 | 1030 | 2.7 | 5.9 | 17.936 | C |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 785 | 196 | 645 | 789 | 793 | 1690 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 6.358 | A |
| 2 | 1306 | 328 | 1017 | 1299 | 1310 | 418 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 7.737 | A |
| 3 | 2014 | 503 | 503 | 1796 | 1795 | 1813 | 65.7 | 119.2 | 193.057 | F |
| 4 | 1062 | 268 | 1269 | 1086 | 1060 | 1030 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 16.659 | C |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 643 | 161 | 531 | 644 | 648 | 1525 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 5.423 | A |
| 2 | 1071 | 268 | 834 | 1086 | 1088 | 341 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 6.205 | A |
| 3 | 1636 | 409 | 405 | 1766 | 1753 | 1494 | 119.2 | 87.5 | 212.858 | F |
| 4 | 856 | 214 | 1202 | 855 | 873 | 970 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 10.528 | B |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 531 | 133 | 437 | 530 | 542 | 1347 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 4.724 | A |
| 2 | 898 | 225 | 687 | 900 | 903 | 279 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 5.290 | A |
| 3 | 1356 | 339 | 348 | 1568 | 1629 | 1241 | 87.5 | 20.6 | 98.007 | F |
| 4 | 731 | 183 | 1058 | 725 | 734 | 857 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 8.112 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 145 | 1131 | 0.128 | 145 | 146 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.675 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 395 | 1131 | 0.349 | 394 | 396 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.855 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 540 |  |  | 540 | 545 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.107 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1227 |  |  | 1227 | 1221 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 452 | 1002 | 0.451 | 449 | 445 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 5.372 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 480 | 1002 | 0.459 | 462 | 457 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.526 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 281 |  |  | 281 | 280 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1096 | 1485 | 0.738 | 1097 | 1089 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 10.499 | B |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 271 | 1485 | 0.183 | 271 | 270 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.384 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1284 |  |  | 1284 | 1257 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 550 | 1000 | 0.550 | 549 | 551 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 8.992 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 169 | 1000 | 0.169 | 168 | 168 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.681 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 762 |  |  | 762 | 764 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 177 | 1094 | 0.162 | 176 | 182 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.979 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 463 | 1094 | 0.423 | 462 | 467 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.460 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 642 |  |  | 640 | 650 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.271 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1472 |  |  | 1472 | 1447 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 526 | 1000 | 0.526 | 528 | 527 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 5.914 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 538 | 1000 | 0.536 | 537 | 540 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 6.001 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 346 |  |  | 346 | 338 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1313 | 1449 | 0.906 | 1332 | 1296 | 3.1 | 9.4 | 23.588 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 329 | 1449 | 0.227 | 332 | 324 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.545 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1491 |  |  | 1491 | 1491 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 652 | 1000 | 0.652 | 658 | 650 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 13.037 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 203 | 1000 | 0.203 | 205 | 200 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 5.285 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 922 |  |  | 922 | 911 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 234 | 1058 | 0.221 | 234 | 231 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.347 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 561 | 1058 | 0.530 | 563 | 564 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 6.526 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 795 |  |  | 795 | 796 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.623 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1674 |  |  | 1674 | 1666 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 680 | 1000 | 0.660 | 662 | 656 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 7.921 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 683 | 1000 | 0.663 | 661 | 686 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 8.124 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 407 |  |  | 407 | 409 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1605 | 1387 | 1.157 | 1382 | 1378 | 9.4 | 65.3 | 99.197 | F |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 393 | 1387 | 0.283 | 392 | 393 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.196 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1843 |  |  | 1843 | 1844 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 817 | 1000 | 0.817 | 818 | 807 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 21.688 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 241 | 1000 | 0.241 | 244 | 247 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 5.497 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1030 |  |  | 1030 | 1025 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 230 | 1054 | 0.218 | 232 | 228 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.396 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 556 | 1054 | 0.528 | 557 | 564 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 6.341 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 785 |  |  | 786 | 793 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.573 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1690 |  |  | 1690 | 1682 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 645 | 1000 | 0.645 | 642 | 650 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 7.623 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 661 | 1000 | 0.661 | 657 | 660 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 7.848 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 418 |  |  | 418 | 414 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1615 | 1389 | 1.162 | 1396 | 1398 | 65.3 | 118.7 | 239.495 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 399 | 1389 | 0.288 | 400 | 397 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.098 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1813 |  |  | 1813 | 1822 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 818 | 1000 | 0.818 | 821 | 816 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 20.086 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 244 | 1000 | 0.244 | 245 | 245 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 5.340 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1030 |  |  | 1030 | 1041 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 179 | 1096 | 0.164 | 181 | 182 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.119 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 464 | 1096 | 0.423 | 463 | 468 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 5.633 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 643 |  |  | 643 | 647 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.220 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1525 |  |  | 1525 | 1538 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 525 | 1000 | 0.525 | 524 | 532 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 6.188 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 547 | 1000 | 0.547 | 543 | 556 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 6.221 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 341 |  |  | 341 | 338 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1307 | 1448 | 0.902 | 1437 | 1427 | 118.7 | 87.1 | 285.157 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 329 | 1448 | 0.227 | 328 | 325 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 3.588 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1494 |  |  | 1494 | 1515 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 652 | 1000 | 0.652 | 652 | 672 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 12.201 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 204 | 1000 | 0.204 | 202 | 201 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.084 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 970 |  |  | 970 | 971 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 140 | 1132 | 0.123 | 140 | 145 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.748 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 391 | 1132 | 0.345 | 390 | 397 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 4.946 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 531 |  |  | 531 | 541 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.100 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1347 |  |  | 1347 | 1389 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 436 | 1002 | 0.435 | 438 | 445 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 5.174 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 462 | 1002 | 0.461 | 463 | 458 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 5.402 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 279 |  |  | 279 | 283 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1090 | 1483 | 0.735 | 1300 | 1358 | 87.1 | 20.4 | 122.456 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 287 | 1483 | 0.180 | 268 | 271 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.358 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1241 |  |  | 1241 | 1258 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 563 | 1000 | 0.563 | 557 | 560 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 9.121 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 188 | 1000 | 0.168 | 169 | 174 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.898 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 857 |  |  | 857 | 878 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## EML - DS2, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |
| Last <br> Run | Lane Simulation | Arm 4-Lane <br> Simulation | Arm 4: Queue at end of modelled period is greater than 10 PCU. Delay is likely to have been underestimated. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 159.28 | F |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

[same as above]

## Lane Simulation: Arm options

[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D8 | EML - DS2 | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 709 | 100.000 |
| 2 |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1252 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1404 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1449 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 48 | 450 | 211 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 19 | 0 | 1233 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 58 | 703 | 0 | 643 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1159 | 0 | 290 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (5) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 5.60 | 1.3 | A | 651 | 977 |
| 2 | 8.60 | 3.2 | A | 1151 | 1726 |
| 3 | 5.49 | 2.5 | A | 1281 | 1922 |
| 4 | 513.92 | 183.5 | F | 1327 | 1990 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 547 | 137 | 741 | 542 | 538 | 938 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 4.725 | A |
| 2 | 950 | 237 | 725 | 947 | 939 | 558 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 5.463 | A |
| 3 | 1042 | 261 | 169 | 1043 | 1055 | 1502 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 3.857 | A |
| 4 | 1109 | 277 | 582 | 1096 | 1084 | 631 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 21.341 | C |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 646 | 161 | 888 | 644 | 644 | 1036 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 5.054 | A |
| 2 | 1141 | 285 | 855 | 1145 | 1133 | 678 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 6.540 | A |
| 3 | 1255 | 314 | 211 | 1255 | 1257 | 1789 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 4.330 | A |
| 4 | 1293 | 323 | 698 | 1226 | 1218 | 767 | 8.1 | 28.6 | 59.110 | F |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 791 | 198 | 1072 | 792 | 778 | 1087 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 5.597 | A |
| 2 | 1380 | 345 | 1050 | 1386 | 1373 | 815 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 8.460 | A |
| 3 | 1526 | 381 | 280 | 1528 | 1532 | 2175 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 5.175 | A |
| 4 | 1595 | 399 | 846 | 1313 | 1310 | 942 | 28.6 | 98.5 | 186.315 | F |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 767 | 192 | 1082 | 772 | 788 | 1074 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 5.516 | A |
| 2 | 1387 | 347 | 1027 | 1392 | 1388 | 828 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 8.601 | A |
| 3 | 1551 | 388 | 250 | 1549 | 1555 | 2188 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 5.493 | A |
| 4 | 1577 | 394 | 854 | 1302 | 1312 | 945 | 98.5 | 169.2 | 393.847 | F |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 612 | 153 | 900 | 615 | 634 | 1085 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 4.992 | A |
| 2 | 1124 | 281 | 834 | 1123 | 1116 | 682 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 6.281 | A |
| 3 | 1287 | 317 | 206 | 1286 | 1270 | 1752 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 4.426 | A |
| 4 | 1305 | 326 | 708 | 1258 | 1247 | 764 | 189.2 | 183.5 | 513.917 | F |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 546 | 138 | 729 | 543 | 541 | 1063 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.577 | A |
| 2 | 923 | 231 | 718 | 925 | 940 | 554 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 5.548 | A |
| 3 | 1047 | 262 | 177 | 1048 | 1053 | 1466 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 3.858 | A |
| 4 | 1082 | 270 | 576 | 1216 | 1224 | 650 | 183.5 | 148.9 | 376.356 | F |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 232 | 1027 | 0.226 | 230 | 223 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.474 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 315 | 1027 | 0.307 | 312 | 313 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.870 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 547 |  |  | 547 | 540 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.020 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 938 |  |  | 938 | 903 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 474 | 1002 | 0.474 | 472 | 468 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 5.414 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 475 | 1002 | 0.474 | 475 | 471 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.512 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 558 |  |  | 558 | 567 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 516 | 1591 | 0.325 | 518 | 522 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.830 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 526 | 1591 | 0.331 | 525 | 532 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.884 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1502 |  |  | 1502 | 1488 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 892 | 1000 | 0.892 | 881 | 846 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 25.411 | D |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 217 | 1000 | 0.217 | 216 | 218 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.084 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 631 |  |  | 631 | 635 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 271 | 1004 | 0.270 | 271 | 272 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.591 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 374 | 1004 | 0.372 | 373 | 372 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.232 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 646 |  |  | 646 | 644 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.090 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1036 |  |  | 1036 | 1027 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 569 | 1000 | 0.569 | 571 | 565 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 6.512 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 573 | 1000 | 0.573 | 574 | 568 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 6.569 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 678 |  |  | 678 | 674 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 620 | 1586 | 0.396 | 622 | 627 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 4.357 | A |
|  | try |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 635 | 1566 | 0.406 | 633 | 630 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 4.304 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1789 |  |  | 1789 | 1784 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1037 | 1000 | 1.037 | 970 | 958 | 7.7 | 28.2 | 72.472 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 256 | 1000 | 0.256 | 256 | 280 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.481 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 767 |  |  | 767 | 766 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 335 | 1000 | 0.335 | 338 | 334 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.944 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 455 | 1000 | 0.455 | 456 | 443 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 5.771 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 791 |  |  | 791 | 779 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.184 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1087 |  |  | 1087 | 1080 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 687 | 1000 | 0.687 | 690 | 681 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 8.437 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 693 | 1000 | 0.693 | 696 | 692 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 8.482 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 815 |  |  | 815 | 824 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 764 | 1536 | 0.498 | 767 | 761 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 5.142 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 761 | 1536 | 0.496 | 761 | 770 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 5.207 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2175 |  |  | 2175 | 2162 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1282 | 1000 | 1.282 | 1002 | 995 | 28.2 | 97.9 | 231.149 | F |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 313 | 1000 | 0.313 | 311 | 315 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5.651 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 942 |  |  | 942 | 926 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2,3 | 328 | 1000 | 0.328 | 330 | 337 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 4.926 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 439 | 1000 | 0.439 | 442 | 448 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.712 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 767 |  |  | 788 | 785 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.140 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1074 |  |  | 1074 | 1079 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 690 | 1000 | 0.690 | 692 | 693 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 8.566 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | $1,2,3,4$ | 697 | 1000 | 0.697 | 699 | 695 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 8.636 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 828 |  |  | 828 | 830 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 780 | 1542 | 0.506 | 779 | 780 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 5.532 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 771 | 1542 | 0.500 | 770 | 775 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 5.453 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2168 |  |  | 2168 | 2185 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1285 | 1000 | 1.285 | 991 | 994 | 97.9 | 168.7 | 490.135 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 311 | 1000 | 0.311 | 312 | 318 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 6.050 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 945 |  |  | 945 | 946 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 256 | 1003 | 0.256 | 258 | 263 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.631 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 356 | 1003 | 0.355 | 358 | 372 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 5.141 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 612 |  |  | 612 | 634 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.063 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1085 |  |  | 1085 | 1059 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 555 | 1000 | 0.555 | 556 | 552 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 6.285 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 569 | 1000 | 0.569 | 568 | 563 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 6.276 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 682 |  |  | 682 | 679 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 627 | 1569 | 0.400 | 628 | 634 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 4.503 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 639 | 1569 | 0.408 | 639 | 637 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 4.349 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1752 |  |  | 1752 | 1757 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1043 | 1000 | 1.043 | 996 | 989 | 188.7 | 183.0 | 638.500 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 262 | 1000 | 0.262 | 261 | 257 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 5.319 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 764 |  |  | 764 | 772 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 225 | 1028 | 0.218 | 225 | 223 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.288 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 321 | 1028 | 0.312 | 319 | 318 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 4.757 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 546 |  |  | 546 | 541 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.013 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1063 |  |  | 1063 | 1088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 459 | 1001 | 0.458 | 459 | 467 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 5.550 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 464 | 1001 | 0.464 | 465 | 473 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 5.546 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 554 |  |  | 554 | 557 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 530 | 1588 | 0.334 | 531 | 530 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 3.933 | A |
|  | try |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 517 | 1586 | 0.326 | 518 | 522 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 3.783 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1486 |  |  | 1466 | 1482 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 872 | 1000 | 0.872 | 1005 | 1009 | 183.0 | 148.7 | 547.744 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 210 | 1000 | 0.210 | 211 | 214 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 5.235 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 650 |  |  | 650 | 650 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## AQUIND $\cong$

# Appendix 5 Committed Junction Improvement Schemes 




## AQUINDミ

# Appendix 6 - <br> ARCADY Outputs for Assessments 

## Excluding Committed

 Development Flows
## Junctions 9

## ARCADY 9 -Roundabout Module

Version: 9.5.0.6896

(9) Copyright TRL Limited, 2018

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL
+44 (0)1344 379777 software@trl.co.uk www.trlsoftware.co.uk
The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Junction 3_A3(M).j9
Path: \luk.wspgroup.comlcentral datalProjects\62100xxxi62100616 - Aquind VO No.3VA DCO\POST SUBMISSIONID. EIA POST SUBMISSIONITransport\WIP\Reports\Highways England Responsel20-08-21 HE Note TN03\HE Review 3011201App 6 -
ARCADY
Report generation date: 02/12/2020 15:37:22

```
#ELM - DM, AM
#ELM - DM, PM
#EMM - DS1, AM
#EMM - DS1, PM
#EML - DS2, AM
#EML - DS2, PM
```

Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | RFC | LOS | Queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | RFC | LOS |
|  | [Lane Simulation] - ELM - DM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 1.5 | 6.45 |  | A | 1.3 | 5.16 |  | A |
| Arm 2 | 2.2 | 6.62 |  | A | 2.7 | 7.25 |  | A |
| Arm 3 | 84.6 | 139.07 |  | F | 2.7 | 5.50 |  | A |
| Arm 4 | 5.7 | 18.23 |  | C | 173.3 | 483.50 |  | F |
|  | [Lane Simulation] - EMM - DS1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 1.5 | 6.27 |  | A | 1.2 | 5.64 |  | A |
| Arm 2 | 2.7 | 7.28 |  | A | 3.0 | 7.70 |  | A |
| Arm 3 | 106.8 | 193.72 |  | F | 2.6 | 5.10 |  | A |
| Arm 4 | 5.8 | 19.29 |  | C | 172.7 | 491.11 |  | F |
|  | [Lane Simulation] - EML - DS2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 1.5 | 6.29 |  | A | 1.2 | 5.45 |  | A |
| Arm 2 | 2.7 | 7.15 |  | A | 3.1 | 8.08 |  | A |
| Arm 3 | 104.9 | 181.64 |  | F | 2.3 | 4.98 |  | A |
| Arm 4 | 6.0 | 19.40 |  | c | 173.6 | 489.59 |  | F |

[^9]Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per amiving vehicle. Anm and junction delays are averages for all movements, including movements with zero delay.

THE FUTURE

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Junction 3, A3(M) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location |  |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $26 / 09 / 2019$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 62100616 |
| Enumerator | CORP (UKA.JT009 |
| Description |  |

Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | PCU | PCU | perHour | $\mathbf{s}$ | -Min | perMin |

## Analysis Options

| Vehicle length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Calculate Queue <br> Percentiles | Calculate detailed queueing <br> delay | Calculate residual <br> capacity | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay <br> threshold (s) | Queue threshold <br> (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  |  | 0.85 | 38.00 | 20.00 |

Lane Simulation options

| Criteria type | Stop criteria (\%) | Stop criteria time (s) | Stop criteria number of trials | Random seed | Results refresh speed (5) | Individual vehicle animation number of trials | Average animation capture interval (s) | Use quick response | Do flow sampling | Suppress automatic lane creation | Last run random seed | Last run number of trials | Last run time taken (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delay | 1.00 | 100000 | 100000 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 60 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 1014955682 | 311 | 58.99 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | ELM - DM | AM | ONE HOUR | 07:45 | 09:15 | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D4 | ELM - DM | PM | ONE HOUR | 16:45 | 18:15 | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D5 | EMM - DS1 | AM | ONE HOUR | 07:45 | 09:15 | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D6 | EMM - DS1 | PM | ONE HOUR | 16:45 | 18:15 | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D7 | EML - DS2 | AM | ONE HOUR | 07:45 | 09:15 | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D8 | EML - DS2 | PM | ONE HOUR | 16:45 | 18:15 | 15 | $\checkmark$ |

Analysis Set Details

| ID | Use Lane Simulation | Include in report | Network flow scaling factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## ELM - DM, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 61.84 | F |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Hulbert Road east |  |
| 2 | A3(M) south |  |
| 3 | Hulbert Road west |  |
| 4 | A3(M) north |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E - Entry width <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | r - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.10 | 7.50 | 24.9 | 40.0 | 145.0 | 9.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 6.00 | 6.90 | 5.7 | 50.0 | 145.0 | 5.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 7.60 | 7.60 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 145.0 | 4.0 |  |
| 4 | 6.50 | 6.50 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 145.0 | 28.0 |  |

Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.762 | 2597 |
| 2 | 0.951 | 2551 |
| 3 | 1.208 | 3386 |
| 4 | 0.716 | 2207 |

[^10]Lane Simulation: Arm options

| Arm | Lane capacity source | Traffic considering secondary lanes (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |

## Lanes

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Has limited storage | Storage (PCU) | Has bottleneck | Minimum capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum capacity (PCU/hr) | Signalised |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | $\checkmark$ | 4.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | $\checkmark$ | 4.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |

Entry Lane slope and intercept

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.381 | 1298 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.381 | 1298 |
| 2 | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.476 | 1276 |
|  |  |  | 0.476 | 1276 |  |
| $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{3}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.604 | 1693 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.604 | 1693 |
| $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{4}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.358 | 1104 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.358 | 1104 |

Summary of Entry Lane allowed movements

| Arm | Lane Level | Lane | Destination arm |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | ELM - DM | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 680 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1030 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1754 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 959 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 15 | 241 | 404 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 0 | 988 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 842 | 353 | 0 | 559 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 733 | 0 | 226 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 3 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 6.45 | 1.5 | A | 609 | 913 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 6.62 | 2.2 | A | 946 | 1419 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 139.07 | 84.6 | F | 1609 | 2413 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 18.23 | 5.7 | C | 878 | 1317 |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 506 | 126 | 433 | 507 | 498 | 1225 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.614 | A |
| 2 | 772 | 193 | 666 | 772 | 775 | 274 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 4.902 | A |
| 3 | 1315 | 329 | 343 | 1317 | 1306 | 1095 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 8.219 | A |
| 4 | 730 | 182 | 932 | 726 | 724 | 729 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 8.399 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 596 | 149 | 520 | 597 | 591 | 1458 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 5.176 | A |
| 2 | 930 | 233 | 783 | 930 | 930 | 334 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 5.439 | A |
| 3 | 1584 | 396 | 403 | 1573 | 1555 | 1310 | 2.9 | 7.8 | 15.152 | C |
| 4 | 880 | 215 | 1111 | 887 | 858 | 885 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 10.706 | B |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 729 | 182 | 642 | 728 | 724 | 1891 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 6.293 | A |
| 2 | 1136 | 284 | 959 | 1134 | 1129 | 411 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 6.412 | A |
| 3 | 1927 | 482 | 492 | 1787 | 1773 | 1801 | 7.8 | 49.3 | 63.700 | F |
| 4 | 1046 | 262 | 1288 | 1044 | 1039 | 991 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 16.504 | C |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 733 | 183 | 644 | 730 | 724 | 1685 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 6.450 | A |
| 2 | 1142 | 285 | 960 | 1141 | 1135 | 414 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 6.624 | A |
| 3 | 1934 | 483 | 491 | 1794 | 1787 | 1610 | 49.3 | 84.6 | 139.070 | F |
| 4 | 1048 | 262 | 1280 | 1049 | 1056 | 1005 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 18.228 | c |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 591 | 148 | 515 | 589 | 598 | 1538 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 5.223 | A |
| 2 | 928 | 232 | 773 | 927 | 928 | 331 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 5.502 | A |
| 3 | 1574 | 394 | 398 | 1718 | 1732 | 1302 | 84.6 | 45.2 | 131.052 | F |
| 4 | 858 | 215 | 1198 | 855 | 873 | 918 | 5.4 | 2.9 | 11.854 | B |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 496 | 124 | 439 | 495 | 500 | 1256 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 4.772 | A |
| 2 | 769 | 192 | 656 | 771 | 779 | 278 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 4.981 | A |
| 3 | 1320 | 330 | 335 | 1375 | 1478 | 1093 | 45.2 | 6.3 | 40.027 | E |
| 4 | 725 | 181 | 972 | 723 | 725 | 738 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 8.331 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 128 | 1133 | 0.113 | 128 | 124 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.698 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 378 | 1133 | 0.334 | 379 | 374 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.791 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 506 |  |  | 506 | 500 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.095 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1225 |  |  | 1225 | 1216 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 377 | 1005 | 0.375 | 376 | 377 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.887 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 395 | 1005 | 0.393 | 396 | 398 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.916 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 274 |  |  | 274 | 273 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1053 | 1486 | 0.709 | 1055 | 1045 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 9.455 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 262 | 1488 | 0.176 | 282 | 281 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.281 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1095 |  |  | 1095 | 1094 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 558 | 1000 | 0.558 | 555 | 554 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 9.471 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 172 | 1000 | 0.172 | 171 | 169 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.876 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 729 |  |  | 729 | 720 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 154 | 1100 | 0.140 | 154 | 153 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.936 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 443 | 1100 | 0.402 | 442 | 437 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 5.379 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 596 |  |  | 596 | 592 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.171 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1458 |  |  | 1458 | 1436 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 456 | 1001 | 0.456 | 457 | 457 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 5.375 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 474 | 1001 | 0.473 | 473 | 473 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 5.501 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 334 |  |  | 334 | 334 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1285 | 1449 | 0.873 | 1254 | 1235 | 2.6 | 7.5 | 18.102 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 319 | 1449 | 0.220 | 319 | 320 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.553 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1310 |  |  | 1310 | 1310 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 680 | 1000 | 0.680 | 686 | 656 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 12.496 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 201 | 1000 | 0.201 | 201 | 202 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.878 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 885 |  |  | 885 | 853 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 197 | 1055 | 0.187 | 197 | 196 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.242 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 532 | 1055 | 0.504 | 531 | 529 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 6.358 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 729 |  |  | 728 | 728 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.505 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1691 |  |  | 1691 | 1670 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 560 | 1000 | 0.560 | 558 | 556 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 6.344 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 576 | 1000 | 0.576 | 576 | 573 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 6.478 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 411 |  |  | 411 | 409 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1533 | 1396 | 1.099 | 1394 | 1381 | 7.5 | 48.9 | 78.850 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 393 | 1396 | 0.282 | 393 | 392 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 3.948 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1601 |  |  | 1601 | 1597 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 799 | 1000 | 0.799 | 796 | 791 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 19.932 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 248 | 1000 | 0.248 | 248 | 248 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.438 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 991 |  |  | 991 | 989 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 198 | 1054 | 0.188 | 198 | 195 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.302 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 535 | 1054 | 0.507 | 532 | 529 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 6.453 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 733 |  |  | 733 | 724 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.577 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1685 |  |  | 1685 | 1693 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 563 | 1000 | 0.563 | 562 | 560 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 6.563 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 579 | 1000 | 0.579 | 579 | 576 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 6.684 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 414 |  |  | 414 | 407 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1537 | 1396 | 1.101 | 1397 | 1397 | 48.9 | 84.2 | 173.256 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 397 | 1396 | 0.284 | 397 | 390 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.941 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1610 |  |  | 1610 | 1602 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 801 | 1000 | 0.801 | 802 | 808 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 22.225 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 247 | 1000 | 0.247 | 247 | 248 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.304 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1005 |  |  | 1005 | 999 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 152 | 1102 | 0.138 | 152 | 154 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.990 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 439 | 1102 | 0.398 | 438 | 444 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 5.386 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 591 |  |  | 591 | 596 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.197 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1538 |  |  | 1538 | 1550 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 453 | 1000 | 0.453 | 452 | 455 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 5.442 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 475 | 1000 | 0.475 | 475 | 474 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 5.560 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 331 |  |  | 331 | 332 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1256 | 1453 | 0.865 | 1400 | 1414 | 84.2 | 44.9 | 163.189 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 318 | 1453 | 0.219 | 318 | 318 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 3.656 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1302 |  |  | 1302 | 1313 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 681 | 1000 | 0.681 | 658 | 688 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 13.963 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 197 | 1000 | 0.197 | 197 | 205 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.076 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 918 |  |  | 918 | 936 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 122 | 1131 | 0.108 | 122 | 125 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.788 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 374 | 1131 | 0.330 | 373 | 374 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 4.981 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 496 |  |  | 496 | 499 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.096 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1256 |  |  | 1256 | 1313 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 375 | 1005 | 0.373 | 377 | 381 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 4.910 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 394 | 1005 | 0.392 | 395 | 398 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 5.048 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 278 |  |  | 278 | 280 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1053 | 1491 | 0.707 | 1110 | 1210 | 44.9 | 6.0 | 49.494 | E |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 268 | 1491 | 0.179 | 265 | 288 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.231 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1093 |  |  | 1093 | 1102 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 551 | 1000 | 0.551 | 550 | 554 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 9.433 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 174 | 1000 | 0.174 | 173 | 171 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.790 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 738 |  |  | 738 | 787 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## ELM - DM, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |
| Last <br> Run | Lane Simulation | Arm 4-Lane <br> Simulation | Arm 4: Queue at end of modelled period is greater than 10 PCU. Delay is likely to have been underestimated. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 154.46 | F |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

[same as above]

Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D4 | ELM - DM | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 644 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1124 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1474 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1455 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 48 | 455 | 141 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 19 | 0 | 1105 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 37 | 640 | 0 | 797 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1150 | 0 | 305 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 5.16 | 1.3 | A | 588 | 881 |
| 2 | 7.25 | 2.7 | A | 1031 | 1546 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.50 | 2.7 | A | 1355 | 2032 |
| 4 | 483.50 | 173.3 | F | 1341 | 2011 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 477 | 119 | 722 | 478 | 485 | 895 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.398 | A |
| 2 | 843 | 211 | 678 | 843 | 838 | 522 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 4.992 | A |
| 3 | 1112 | 278 | 117 | 1110 | 1098 | 1405 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 3.809 | A |
| 4 | 1087 | 272 | 526 | 1091 | 1086 | 700 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 19.191 | C |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 577 | 144 | 844 | 579 | 581 | 1038 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 4.761 | A |
| 2 | 1009 | 252 | 808 | 1004 | 1005 | 616 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 5.712 | A |
| 3 | 1320 | 330 | 137 | 1322 | 1328 | 1674 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 4.424 | A |
| 4 | 1314 | 328 | 621 | 1281 | 1232 | 838 | 6.5 | 27.5 | 57.580 | F |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 716 | 179 | 1051 | 716 | 705 | 1055 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 5.157 | A |
| 2 | 1234 | 308 | 1013 | 1232 | 1235 | 754 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 7.172 | A |
| 3 | 1623 | 406 | 177 | 1623 | 1623 | 2067 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 5.505 | A |
| 4 | 1608 | 402 | 759 | 1347 | 1323 | 1041 | 27.5 | 96.0 | 180.736 | F |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 711 | 178 | 1041 | 709 | 723 | 1059 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 5.121 | A |
| 2 | 1243 | 311 | 996 | 1241 | 1238 | 754 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 7.250 | A |
| 3 | 1607 | 402 | 179 | 1609 | 1611 | 2058 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 5.426 | A |
| 4 | 1621 | 405 | 761 | 1340 | 1332 | 1027 | 96.0 | 184.7 | 374.375 | F |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 571 | 143 | 859 | 576 | 579 | 1052 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 4.758 | A |
| 2 | 1016 | 254 | 810 | 1016 | 1018 | 625 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 5.755 | A |
| 3 | 1345 | 338 | 143 | 1344 | 1334 | 1683 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 4.433 | A |
| 4 | 1320 | 330 | 633 | 1278 | 1279 | 854 | 184.7 | 173.3 | 483.497 | F |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 472 | 118 | 728 | 473 | 474 | 1053 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 4.421 | A |
| 2 | 838 | 210 | 675 | 837 | 840 | 526 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 5.069 | A |
| 3 | 1119 | 280 | 116 | 1122 | 1116 | 1397 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 3.831 | A |
| 4 | 1096 | 274 | 528 | 1254 | 1239 | 710 | 173.3 | 140.1 | 352.072 | F |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 220 | 1031 | 0.213 | 219 | 220 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.228 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 258 | 1031 | 0.250 | 259 | 286 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.520 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 477 |  |  | 477 | 488 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.009 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 895 |  |  | 895 | 876 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 416 | 1003 | 0.415 | 415 | 418 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.906 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 428 | 1003 | 0.426 | 428 | 421 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.079 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 522 |  |  | 522 | 512 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 629 | 1623 | 0.387 | 627 | 628 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 4.032 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 484 | 1623 | 0.298 | 483 | 473 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.513 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1405 |  |  | 1405 | 1397 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 849 | 1000 | 0.849 | 852 | 834 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 22.967 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 238 | 1000 | 0.238 | 238 | 232 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 5.190 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 700 |  |  | 700 | 704 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 267 | 1008 | 0.285 | 267 | 286 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.482 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 310 | 1008 | 0.308 | 312 | 315 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.958 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 577 |  |  | 577 | 582 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.022 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1038 |  |  | 1038 | 1013 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 497 | 1000 | 0.497 | 494 | 495 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 5.758 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 512 | 1000 | 0.512 | 510 | 511 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 5.667 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 616 |  |  | 616 | 618 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 750 | 1610 | 0.468 | 752 | 753 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 4.841 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 569 | 1610 | 0.354 | 570 | 575 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 3.876 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1674 |  |  | 1674 | 1689 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1041 | 1000 | 1.041 | 988 | 962 | 6.3 | 27.1 | 71.122 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 273 | 1000 | 0.273 | 273 | 270 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.381 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 838 |  |  | 838 | 846 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 327 | 1000 | 0.327 | 327 | 319 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.817 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 389 | 1000 | 0.389 | 388 | 388 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 5.323 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 716 |  |  | 716 | 707 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.064 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1055 |  |  | 1055 | 1052 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 616 | 1000 | 0.616 | 614 | 615 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 7.093 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 618 | 1000 | 0.618 | 618 | 620 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 7.250 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 754 |  |  | 754 | 754 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 924 | 1586 | 0.583 | 922 | 923 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 6.212 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 699 | 1586 | 0.441 | 701 | 700 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 4.575 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2067 |  |  | 2067 | 2046 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1280 | 1000 | 1.260 | 997 | 989 | 27.1 | 95.4 | 227.110 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 348 | 1000 | 0.348 | 350 | 334 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.852 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1041 |  |  | 1041 | 1035 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 323 | 1000 | 0.323 | 322 | 325 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.789 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 388 | 1000 | 0.388 | 388 | 398 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.270 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 711 |  |  | 711 | 723 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.068 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1059 |  |  | 1059 | 1058 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 621 | 1000 | 0.621 | 621 | 618 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 7.178 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 622 | 1000 | 0.622 | 620 | 620 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 7.323 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 754 |  |  | 754 | 747 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 907 | 1585 | 0.572 | 907 | 916 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 6.036 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 700 | 1585 | 0.442 | 703 | 695 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.621 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2058 |  |  | 2058 | 2081 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1285 | 1000 | 1.285 | 1002 | 999 | 95.4 | 184.2 | 471.307 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 336 | 1000 | 0.336 | 339 | 333 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 6.017 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1027 |  |  | 1027 | 1037 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 258 | 1005 | 0.256 | 280 | 262 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 4.543 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 313 | 1005 | 0.311 | 315 | 318 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 4.870 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 571 |  |  | 571 | 577 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.036 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1052 |  |  | 1052 | 1052 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 499 | 1000 | 0.499 | 498 | 505 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 5.696 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 518 | 1000 | 0.518 | 518 | 513 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 5.813 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 625 |  |  | 625 | 629 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 763 | 1607 | 0.475 | 763 | 749 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 4.711 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 582 | 1607 | 0.363 | 581 | 585 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 4.076 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1683 |  |  | 1683 | 1688 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1041 | 1000 | 1.041 | 999 | 1002 | 184.2 | 172.8 | 611.519 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 279 | 1000 | 0.279 | 279 | 277 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 5.570 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 854 |  |  | 854 | 843 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 209 | 1028 | 0.203 | 209 | 209 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.352 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 263 | 1028 | 0.256 | 264 | 268 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.468 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 472 |  |  | 472 | 474 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.004 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1053 |  |  | 1053 | 1042 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 415 | 1004 | 0.413 | 413 | 417 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 5.046 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 423 | 1004 | 0.421 | 424 | 423 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 5.091 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 526 |  |  | 526 | 521 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 631 | 1623 | 0.389 | 634 | 630 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 4.052 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 489 | 1623 | 0.301 | 488 | 485 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 3.543 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1397 |  |  | 1397 | 1398 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 855 | 1000 | 0.855 | 1014 | 1001 | 172.8 | 139.8 | 517.461 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 241 | 1000 | 0.241 | 240 | 238 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 5.409 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 710 |  |  | 710 | 708 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## EMM - DS1, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |
| Last <br> Run | Lane Simulation | Arm 3-Lane <br> Simulation | Arm 3: Queue at end of modelled period is greater than 10 PCU. Delay is likely to have been underestimated. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 81.37 | F |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

[same as above]

## Lane Simulation: Arm options

[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run automatically |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D5 | EMM - DS1 | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 704 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1127 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1740 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 938 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 15 | 274 | 415 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 42 | 0 | 1085 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 840 | 312 | 0 | 588 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 741 | 0 | 197 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (5) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 6.27 | 1.5 | A | 644 | 967 |
| 2 | 7.28 | 2.7 | A | 1037 | 1556 |
| 3 | 193.72 | 106.8 | F | 1590 | 2385 |
| 4 | 19.29 | 5.8 | C | 880 | 1290 |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 526 | 132 | 378 | 526 | 525 | 1233 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.718 | A |
| 2 | 856 | 214 | 659 | 858 | 836 | 245 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.032 | A |
| 3 | 1316 | 329 | 343 | 1325 | 1305 | 1173 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 9.006 | A |
| 4 | 696 | 174 | 912 | 700 | 703 | 756 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 8.243 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 631 | 158 | 480 | 630 | 629 | 1445 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 4.930 | A |
| 2 | 1018 | 254 | 795 | 1017 | 1006 | 295 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 5.705 | A |
| 3 | 1543 | 388 | 416 | 1539 | 1527 | 1395 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 17.080 | C |
| 4 | 845 | 211 | 1082 | 844 | 843 | 893 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 10.685 | B |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 769 | 192 | 549 | 769 | 768 | 1880 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 6.102 | A |
| 2 | 1236 | 309 | 967 | 1233 | 1232 | 351 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 7.282 | A |
| 3 | 1903 | 476 | 506 | 1729 | 1716 | 1895 | 8.3 | 57.6 | 75.859 | F |
| 4 | 1029 | 257 | 1205 | 1024 | 1017 | 1030 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 17.551 | C |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 775 | 194 | 565 | 774 | 773 | 1682 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 6.269 | A |
| 2 | 1247 | 312 | 979 | 1242 | 1240 | 360 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 7.182 | A |
| 3 | 1917 | 479 | 508 | 1718 | 1724 | 1712 | 57.6 | 106.8 | 176.694 | F |
| 4 | 1041 | 260 | 1200 | 1047 | 1045 | 1026 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 19.289 | C |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 635 | 159 | 460 | 632 | 639 | 1538 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 5.343 | A |
| 2 | 1011 | 253 | 791 | 1013 | 1012 | 301 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 5.796 | A |
| 3 | 1559 | 390 | 407 | 1712 | 1690 | 1397 | 106.8 | 75.9 | 193.722 | F |
| 4 | 836 | 209 | 1165 | 833 | 851 | 954 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 12.277 | B |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 531 | 133 | 371 | 533 | 529 | 1324 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 4.609 | A |
| 2 | 856 | 214 | 681 | 855 | 853 | 242 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 5.189 | A |
| 3 | 1301 | 325 | 347 | 1459 | 1561 | 1169 | 75.9 | 13.2 | 79.884 | F |
| 4 | 713 | 178 | 978 | 716 | 714 | 828 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 8.073 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 139 | 1154 | 0.120 | 139 | 137 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 3.646 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 387 | 1154 | 0.335 | 386 | 388 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.926 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 526 |  |  | 528 | 528 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.126 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1233 |  |  | 1233 | 1219 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 415 | 1005 | 0.413 | 416 | 409 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.948 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 441 | 1005 | 0.438 | 441 | 428 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.111 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 245 |  |  | 245 | 245 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1083 | 1486 | 0.729 | 1092 | 1072 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 10.291 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 233 | 1486 | 0.157 | 233 | 234 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.096 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1173 |  |  | 1173 | 1152 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 551 | 1000 | 0.551 | 554 | 556 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 9.146 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 146 | 1000 | 0.146 | 146 | 148 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.818 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 756 |  |  | 756 | 753 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 168 | 1123 | 0.148 | 166 | 168 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3.939 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 464 | 1123 | 0.413 | 464 | 461 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.098 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 631 |  |  | 630 | 630 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.141 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1445 |  |  | 1445 | 1438 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 502 | 1000 | 0.502 | 502 | 493 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 5.679 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 516 | 1000 | 0.516 | 514 | 513 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 5.731 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 295 |  |  | 295 | 291 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1,4 | 1280 | 1441 | 0.874 | 1257 | 1248 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 20.093 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 283 | 1441 | 0.196 | 282 | 279 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.343 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1395 |  |  | 1395 | 1390 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 685 | 1000 | 0.685 | 688 | 687 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 12.235 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 179 | 1000 | 0.179 | 178 | 176 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.802 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 893 |  |  | 893 | 888 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 217 | 1089 | 0.199 | 217 | 218 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.259 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 553 | 1089 | 0.508 | 552 | 551 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 6.221 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 769 |  |  | 770 | 771 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.435 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1680 |  |  | 1680 | 1651 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 612 | 1000 | 0.612 | 611 | 613 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 7.153 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 625 | 1000 | 0.625 | 622 | 619 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 7.410 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 351 |  |  | 351 | 356 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1571 | 1387 | 1.132 | 1396 | 1377 | 8.0 | 57.3 | 91.458 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 332 | 1387 | 0.239 | 333 | 339 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.789 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1695 |  |  | 1695 | 1698 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 814 | 1000 | 0.814 | 808 | 801 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 20.905 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 215 | 1000 | 0.215 | 216 | 216 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.926 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1030 |  |  | 1030 | 1028 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 218 | 1083 | 0.202 | 218 | 216 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.349 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 558 | 1083 | 0.515 | 556 | 557 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 6.310 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 775 |  |  | 776 | 772 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.504 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1682 |  |  | 1682 | 1683 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 617 | 1000 | 0.617 | 616 | 613 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 7.120 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 629 | 1000 | 0.629 | 626 | 628 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 7.242 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 360 |  |  | 380 | 358 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1575 | 1386 | 1.137 | 1374 | 1383 | 57.3 | 106.5 | 213.893 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 342 | 1388 | 0.247 | 343 | 341 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.793 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1712 |  |  | 1712 | 1713 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 819 | 1000 | 0.819 | 825 | 822 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 23.115 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 223 | 1000 | 0.223 | 222 | 223 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 5.149 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1028 |  |  | 1026 | 1027 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 176 | 1123 | 0.156 | 174 | 177 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.977 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 459 | 1123 | 0.409 | 458 | 462 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 5.526 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 635 |  |  | 635 | 638 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.251 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1538 |  |  | 1538 | 1543 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 494 | 1001 | 0.494 | 495 | 496 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 5.746 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 516 | 1001 | 0.516 | 518 | 516 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 5.844 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 301 |  |  | 301 | 294 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1274 | 1447 | 0.880 | 1427 | 1410 | 106.5 | 75.6 | 234.905 | F |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 288 | 1447 | 0.197 | 285 | 279 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.421 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1397 |  |  | 1397 | 1401 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 681 | 1000 | 0.681 | 658 | 674 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 14.237 | B |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 175 | 1000 | 0.175 | 175 | 178 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 4.880 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 954 |  |  | 954 | 955 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 137 | 1157 | 0.118 | 137 | 138 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.697 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 395 | 1157 | 0.341 | 396 | 393 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 4.742 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 531 |  |  | 531 | 528 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.135 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1324 |  |  | 1324 | 1374 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 424 | 1006 | 0.421 | 423 | 422 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 5.121 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 433 | 1006 | 0.430 | 432 | 431 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.256 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 242 |  |  | 242 | 247 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1071 | 1483 | 0.722 | 1229 | 1325 | 75.6 | 13.0 | 97.184 | F |
|  | try |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 230 | 1483 | 0.155 | 230 | 236 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.117 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1169 |  |  | 1169 | 1173 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 572 | 1000 | 0.572 | 575 | 567 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 9.025 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 141 | 1000 | 0.141 | 141 | 148 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.490 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 828 |  |  | 828 | 883 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## EMM - DS1, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |
| Last <br> Run | Lane Simulation | Arm 4-Lane <br> Simulation | Arm 4: Queve at end of modelled period is greater than 10 PCU. Delay is likely to have been underestimated. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 155.87 | F |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
[same as above]
Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D6 | EMM - DS1 | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 707 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1209 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1301 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1438 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 48 | 448 | 211 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 19 | 0 | 1190 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 41 | 640 | 0 | 620 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1155 | 0 | 283 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 5.64 | 1.2 | A | 651 | 976 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 7.70 | 3.0 | A | 1106 | 1659 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.10 | 2.6 | A | 1201 | 1802 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 491.11 | 172.7 | F | 1321 | 1981 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 534 | 133 | 683 | 531 | 523 | 909 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 4.610 | A |
| 2 | 911 | 228 | 705 | 913 | 907 | 509 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 5.210 | A |
| 3 | 969 | 242 | 175 | 985 | 988 | 1443 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 3.711 | A |
| 4 | 1063 | 266 | 518 | 1073 | 1057 | 622 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 21.415 | C |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 624 | 156 | 823 | 627 | 630 | 1033 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 4.975 | A |
| 2 | 1058 | 285 | 835 | 1057 | 1081 | 614 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 6.128 | A |
| 3 | 1175 | 294 | 198 | 1174 | 1181 | 1694 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 4.131 | A |
| 4 | 1285 | 321 | 626 | 1230 | 1214 | 745 | 7.1 | 28.4 | 57.644 | F |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 778 | 194 | 1019 | 777 | 780 | 1078 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 5.638 | A |
| 2 | 1349 | 337 | 1039 | 1345 | 1332 | 758 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 7.696 | A |
| 3 | 1435 | 359 | 261 | 1429 | 1425 | 2123 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 4.863 | A |
| 4 | 1597 | 399 | 774 | 1324 | 1305 | 917 | 26.4 | 94.8 | 178.173 | F |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 780 | 195 | 1015 | 783 | 776 | 1056 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 5.505 | A |
| 2 | 1352 | 338 | 1045 | 1353 | 1330 | 753 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 7.677 | A |
| 3 | 1440 | 360 | 256 | 1442 | 1443 | 2142 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 5.102 | A |
| 4 | 1577 | 394 | 767 | 1304 | 1304 | 932 | 94.8 | 163.4 | 377.414 | F |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 651 | 163 | 833 | 651 | 642 | 1071 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 5.121 | A |
| 2 | 1057 | 284 | 857 | 1061 | 1080 | 627 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 6.131 | A |
| 3 | 1177 | 294 | 213 | 1177 | 1173 | 1705 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 4.172 | A |
| 4 | 1305 | 328 | 634 | 1270 | 1258 | 757 | 183.4 | 172.7 | 491.113 | F |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 536 | 134 | 713 | 535 | 533 | 1058 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 4.598 | A |
| 2 | 907 | 227 | 715 | 900 | 915 | 533 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 5.439 | A |
| 3 | 1013 | 253 | 173 | 1015 | 992 | 1442 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 3.780 | A |
| 4 | 1096 | 274 | 545 | 1226 | 1220 | 643 | 172.7 | 138.8 | 358.326 | F |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 223 | 1043 | 0.214 | 222 | 217 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.247 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 311 | 1043 | 0.298 | 309 | 306 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.789 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 534 |  |  | 534 | 526 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.047 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 909 |  |  | 909 | 892 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 449 | 1002 | 0.448 | 450 | 448 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.250 | A |
|  | , |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 462 | 1002 | 0.461 | 463 | 459 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.171 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 509 |  |  | 509 | 505 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 495 | 1587 | 0.312 | 493 | 497 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.818 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 475 | 1587 | 0.299 | 472 | 471 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.599 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1443 |  |  | 1443 | 1436 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 854 | 1000 | 0.854 | 883 | 847 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 25.381 | D |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 210 | 1000 | 0.210 | 211 | 210 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.943 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 622 |  |  | 622 | 622 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms |  | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 268 | 1010 | 0.285 | 269 | 267 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.635 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 357 | 1010 | 0.353 | 359 | 363 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 5.136 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 624 |  |  | 625 | 630 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.052 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1033 |  |  | 1033 | 1017 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 527 | 1000 | 0.526 | 528 | 542 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 6.053 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 532 | 1000 | 0.532 | 529 | 539 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 6.202 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 614 |  |  | 614 | 625 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 602 | 1573 | 0.383 | 601 | 599 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 4.128 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 572 | 1573 | 0.384 | 572 | 582 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 4.134 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1694 |  |  | 1694 | 1720 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1036 | 1000 | 1.036 | 979 | 961 | 6.9 | 26.1 | 70.307 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 249 | 1000 | 0.249 | 251 | 253 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 5.503 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 745 |  |  | 745 | 744 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 338 | 1000 | 0.338 | 337 | 335 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 4.985 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 440 | 1000 | 0.440 | 441 | 445 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 5.884 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 778 |  |  | 778 | 782 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.154 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1078 |  |  | 1078 | 1061 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 670 | 1000 | 0.670 | 687 | 681 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 7.676 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 679 | 1000 | 0.679 | 679 | 671 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 7.716 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 758 |  |  | 758 | 761 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 729 | 1535 | 0.475 | 724 | 716 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 4.917 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 706 | 1535 | 0.460 | 705 | 709 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 4.807 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2123 |  |  | 2123 | 2112 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1285 | 1000 | 1.285 | 1009 | 995 | 28.1 | 94.5 | 220.620 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 312 | 1000 | 0.312 | 315 | 310 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.704 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 917 |  |  | 917 | 908 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 338 | 1001 | 0.338 | 338 | 334 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.881 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 444 | 1001 | 0.444 | 445 | 442 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.684 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 780 |  |  | 782 | 776 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.176 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1056 |  |  | 1056 | 1057 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 688 | 1000 | 0.668 | 689 | 680 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 7.649 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 684 | 1000 | 0.684 | 684 | 670 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 7.704 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 753 |  |  | 753 | 764 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 739 | 1538 | 0.481 | 741 | 732 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 5.107 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 701 | 1538 | 0.456 | 701 | 711 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 5.097 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2142 |  |  | 2142 | 2113 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1284 | 1000 | 1.284 | 991 | 993 | 94.5 | 163.0 | 468.450 | F |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 313 | 1000 | 0.313 | 314 | 311 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 6.083 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 932 |  |  | 932 | 919 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 274 | 1007 | 0.272 | 275 | 269 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.642 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 376 | 1007 | 0.374 | 376 | 373 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 5.335 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 651 |  |  | 650 | 641 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.079 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1071 |  |  | 1071 | 1059 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 520 | 1000 | 0.520 | 522 | 535 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 6.094 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 537 | 1000 | 0.537 | 540 | 545 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 6.168 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 627 |  |  | 627 | 619 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 594 | 1584 | 0.380 | 597 | 595 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 4.133 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 582 | 1564 | 0.372 | 581 | 578 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 4.212 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1705 |  |  | 1705 | 1723 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1053 | 1000 | 1.053 | 1018 | 1004 | 163.0 | 172.3 | 608.270 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 252 | 1000 | 0.252 | 253 | 254 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 5.376 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 757 |  |  | 757 | 751 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 220 | 1032 | 0.213 | 220 | 219 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.256 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 316 | 1032 | 0.306 | 315 | 313 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.792 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 538 |  |  | 538 | 532 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.027 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1058 |  |  | 1058 | 1051 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 446 | 1001 | 0.445 | 443 | 450 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 5.474 | A |
|  | try |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 460 | 1001 | 0.480 | 458 | 465 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 5.405 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 533 |  |  | 533 | 523 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 517 | 1588 | 0.326 | 519 | 506 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 3.884 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 496 | 1588 | 0.312 | 495 | 487 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 3.632 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1442 |  |  | 1442 | 1451 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 878 | 1000 | 0.878 | 1008 | 1005 | 172.3 | 138.4 | 512.672 | F |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 218 | 1000 | 0.218 | 218 | 215 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.900 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 643 |  |  | 643 | 635 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## EML - DS2, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |
| Last <br> Run | Lane Simulation | Arm 3 - Lane <br> Simulation | Arm 3: Queue at end of modelled period is greater than 10 PCU. Delay is likely to have been underestimated. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 77.08 | F |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

[same as above]

Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run automatically |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D7 | EML - DS2 | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 704 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1121 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1741 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 938 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 15 | 273 | 416 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 42 | 0 | 1079 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 838 | 314 | 0 | 589 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 740 | 0 | 198 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 6.29 | 1.5 | A | 647 | 970 |
| 2 | 7.15 | 2.7 | A | 1027 | 1540 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 181.64 | 104.9 | F | 1599 | 2398 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 19.40 | 6.0 | C | 860 | 1290 |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 540 | 135 | 394 | 541 | 534 | 1215 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.649 | A |
| 2 | 849 | 212 | 681 | 852 | 842 | 254 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 5.118 | A |
| 3 | 1335 | 334 | 356 | 1332 | 1299 | 1177 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 8.752 | A |
| 4 | 703 | 176 | 903 | 706 | 704 | 784 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 8.339 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 633 | 158 | 460 | 632 | 628 | 1459 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 5.007 | A |
| 2 | 1002 | 251 | 799 | 1004 | 1004 | 293 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 5.709 | A |
| 3 | 1552 | 388 | 404 | 1585 | 1541 | 1398 | 3.5 | 9.0 | 18.516 | C |
| 4 | 843 | 211 | 1072 | 846 | 842 | 896 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 10.499 | B |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 769 | 192 | 563 | 772 | 766 | 1861 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 5.975 | A |
| 2 | 1234 | 308 | 970 | 1235 | 1225 | 385 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 7.146 | A |
| 3 | 1909 | 477 | 502 | 1736 | 1719 | 1703 | 9.0 | 58.0 | 75.615 | F |
| 4 | 1026 | 256 | 1204 | 1020 | 1014 | 1034 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 16.958 | C |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 774 | 193 | 568 | 772 | 779 | 1688 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 6.287 | A |
| 2 | 1225 | 306 | 972 | 1222 | 1236 | 388 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 7.144 | A |
| 3 | 1922 | 480 | 501 | 1749 | 1730 | 1693 | 58.0 | 104.9 | 175.846 | F |
| 4 | 1045 | 261 | 1216 | 1037 | 1031 | 1034 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 19.400 | C |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 635 | 159 | 457 | 635 | 638 | 1547 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 5.234 | A |
| 2 | 1006 | 251 | 792 | 1006 | 1004 | 300 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 5.657 | A |
| 3 | 1570 | 393 | 407 | 1708 | 1706 | 1391 | 104.9 | 70.1 | 181.638 | F |
| 4 | 843 | 211 | 1159 | 845 | 856 | 955 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 12.333 | B |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 531 | 133 | 371 | 533 | 530 | 1303 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 4.595 | A |
| 2 | 843 | 211 | 686 | 848 | 845 | 237 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 5.137 | A |
| 3 | 1305 | 328 | 348 | 1453 | 1538 | 1166 | 70.1 | 13.3 | 75.706 | F |
| 4 | 698 | 175 | 977 | 696 | 706 | 824 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 8.081 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 137 | 1148 | 0.120 | 137 | 137 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.603 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 403 | 1148 | 0.351 | 404 | 398 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.846 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 540 |  |  | 540 | 537 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.121 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1215 |  |  | 1215 | 1206 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 420 | 1003 | 0.419 | 421 | 415 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.028 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 429 | 1003 | 0.428 | 431 | 426 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.205 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 254 |  |  | 254 | 248 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1. 4 | 1092 | 1478 | 0.739 | 1090 | 1062 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 9.974 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 244 | 1478 | 0.165 | 242 | 237 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.228 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1177 |  |  | 1177 | 1167 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 552 | 1000 | 0.552 | 554 | 553 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 9.304 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 151 | 1000 | 0.151 | 152 | 151 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.771 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 784 |  |  | 784 | 757 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 175 | 1123 | 0.156 | 174 | 170 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.888 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 458 | 1123 | 0.408 | 458 | 458 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 5.147 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 633 |  |  | 633 | 629 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.204 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1459 |  |  | 1459 | 1445 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 492 | 1000 | 0.492 | 493 | 493 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.670 | A |
|  | try |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 510 | 1000 | 0.510 | 510 | 511 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 5.746 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 293 |  |  | 293 | 296 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1272 | 1449 | 0.878 | 1284 | 1258 | 3.2 | 8.7 | 21.834 | C |
|  | try |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 280 | 1449 | 0.193 | 280 | 282 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.486 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1398 |  |  | 1398 | 1390 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 684 | 1000 | 0.684 | 687 | 684 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 11.978 | B |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 179 | 1000 | 0.179 | 179 | 178 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.918 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 896 |  |  | 896 | 885 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue <br> (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 219 | 1084 | 0.202 | 220 | 217 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.115 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 551 | 1084 | 0.508 | 552 | 549 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 6.002 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 769 |  |  | 770 | 767 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.507 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1681 |  |  | 1681 | 1654 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 608 | 1000 | 0.608 | 609 | 602 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 7.134 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 626 | 1000 | 0.626 | 627 | 623 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 7.158 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 365 |  |  | 385 | 380 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1561 | 1390 | 1.124 | 1388 | 1375 | 8.7 | 57.6 | 91.381 | F |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 347 | 1390 | 0.250 | 348 | 344 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 3.845 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1703 |  |  | 1703 | 1689 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 812 | 1000 | 0.812 | 805 | 803 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 20.042 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 214 | 1000 | 0.214 | 215 | 211 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 5.150 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1034 |  |  | 1034 | 1021 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 221 | 1082 | 0.204 | 221 | 220 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.257 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 553 | 1082 | 0.511 | 551 | 559 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 6.308 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 774 |  |  | 773 | 780 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.557 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1686 |  |  | 1686 | 1676 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 606 | 1000 | 0.606 | 604 | 608 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 7.141 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 620 | 1000 | 0.620 | 618 | 629 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 7.147 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 388 |  |  | 388 | 384 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1570 | 1390 | 1.129 | 1398 | 1383 | 57.6 | 104.4 | 213.925 | F |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 352 | 1390 | 0.253 | 350 | 347 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 3.824 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1693 |  |  | 1693 | 1705 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 827 | 1000 | 0.827 | 820 | 816 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 23.192 | C |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 218 | 1000 | 0.218 | 217 | 215 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 5.026 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1034 |  |  | 1034 | 1032 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 174 | 1124 | 0.154 | 173 | 174 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.995 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 481 | 1124 | 0.410 | 481 | 484 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 5.412 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 635 |  |  | 635 | 636 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.215 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1547 |  |  | 1547 | 1553 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 493 | 1000 | 0.493 | 492 | 495 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 5.567 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 513 | 1000 | 0.513 | 514 | 509 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 5.745 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 300 |  |  | 300 | 299 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1283 | 1447 | 0.887 | 1421 | 1420 | 104.4 | 69.8 | 221.272 | F |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 287 | 1447 | 0.198 | 287 | 288 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 3.493 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1391 |  |  | 1391 | 1389 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 673 | 1000 | 0.673 | 674 | 683 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 14.272 | B |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 170 | 1000 | 0.170 | 170 | 173 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.816 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 955 |  |  | 955 | 982 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 134 | 1157 | 0.116 | 134 | 137 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.703 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 396 | 1157 | 0.342 | 398 | 394 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 4.776 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 531 |  |  | 530 | 529 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.097 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1303 |  |  | 1303 | 1355 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 411 | 1005 | 0.409 | 415 | 413 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 5.155 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 432 | 1005 | 0.430 | 433 | 433 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 5.120 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 237 |  |  | 237 | 242 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 1079 | 1483 | 0.728 | 1227 | 1306 | 69.8 | 13.1 | 91.682 | F |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 225 | 1483 | 0.152 | 228 | 231 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.217 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1186 |  |  | 1168 | 1188 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 553 | 1000 | 0.553 | 551 | 557 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 8.995 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 145 | 1000 | 0.145 | 145 | 149 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.601 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 824 |  |  | 824 | 853 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## EML - DS2, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |
| Last <br> Run | Lane Simulation | Arm 4-Lane <br> Simulation | Arm 4: Queue at end of modelled period is greater than 10 PCU. Delay is likely to have been underestimated. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 155.54 | F |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

[same as above]

## Lane Simulation: Arm options

[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D8 | EML - DS2 | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 700 | 100.000 |
| 2 |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1216 | 100.000 |
| 3 |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1305 | 100.000 |
| 4 |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1440 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 48 | 441 | 211 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 19 | 0 | 1197 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 43 | 640 | 0 | 622 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1159 | 0 | 281 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (5) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 5.45 | 1.2 | A | 647 | 970 |
| 2 | 8.08 | 3.1 | A | 1111 | 1006 |
| 3 | 4.98 | 2.3 | A | 1201 | 1801 |
| 4 | 489.59 | 173.6 | F | 1322 | 1983 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 530 | 132 | 711 | 529 | 523 | 909 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.546 | A |
| 2 | 920 | 230 | 707 | 919 | 920 | 533 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 5.418 | A |
| 3 | 995 | 249 | 169 | 993 | 981 | 1457 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 3.728 | A |
| 4 | 1096 | 274 | 538 | 1081 | 1052 | 624 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 21.354 | C |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 627 | 157 | 832 | 627 | 629 | 1030 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 4.903 | A |
| 2 | 1084 | 271 | 838 | 1086 | 1098 | 621 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 6.256 | A |
| 3 | 1183 | 296 | 208 | 1184 | 1177 | 1716 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 4.077 | A |
| 4 | 1279 | 320 | 638 | 1224 | 1217 | 755 | 8.8 | 24.1 | 52.740 | F |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 780 | 195 | 1019 | 779 | 774 | 1072 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 5.417 | A |
| 2 | 1339 | 335 | 1038 | 1334 | 1341 | 761 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 8.083 | A |
| 3 | 1443 | 361 | 256 | 1444 | 1434 | 2116 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 4.973 | A |
| 4 | 1578 | 395 | 779 | 1312 | 1310 | 921 | 24.1 | 95.2 | 174.963 | F |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 778 | 195 | 998 | 778 | 774 | 1081 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 5.448 | A |
| 2 | 1328 | 331 | 1030 | 1330 | 1326 | 746 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 7.721 | A |
| 3 | 1419 | 355 | 257 | 1415 | 1434 | 2102 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 4.978 | A |
| 4 | 1598 | 400 | 759 | 1301 | 1307 | 913 | 95.2 | 165.2 | 377.569 | F |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 632 | 158 | 840 | 630 | 630 | 1085 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 4.915 | A |
| 2 | 1084 | 271 | 845 | 1083 | 1094 | 628 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 6.065 | A |
| 3 | 1181 | 295 | 207 | 1180 | 1182 | 1721 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 4.083 | A |
| 4 | 1307 | 327 | 639 | 1287 | 1261 | 749 | 185.2 | 173.6 | 489.588 | F |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 535 | 134 | 694 | 535 | 537 | 1057 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 4.582 | A |
| 2 | 912 | 228 | 711 | 912 | 919 | 517 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 5.365 | A |
| 3 | 985 | 246 | 175 | 988 | 984 | 1448 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 3.674 | A |
| 4 | 1075 | 289 | 531 | 1220 | 1217 | 630 | 173.6 | 139.2 | 370.137 | F |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 225 | 1034 | 0.218 | 225 | 218 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.182 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 304 | 1034 | 0.294 | 304 | 305 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.751 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 530 |  |  | 530 | 526 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.032 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 909 |  |  | 909 | 881 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 458 | 1001 | 0.457 | 459 | 460 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.369 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 462 | 1001 | 0.461 | 480 | 459 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 5.467 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 533 |  |  | 533 | 516 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 497 | 1590 | 0.313 | 499 | 500 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.797 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 498 | 1590 | 0.313 | 494 | 481 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3.656 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1457 |  |  | 1457 | 1454 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 880 | 1000 | 0.880 | 885 | 838 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 25.348 | D |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 216 | 1000 | 0.216 | 216 | 217 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 5.386 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 624 |  |  | 624 | 624 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 253 | 1008 | 0.251 | 254 | 262 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.595 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 374 | 1008 | 0.371 | 373 | 367 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 5.049 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 627 |  |  | 628 | 630 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.043 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1030 |  |  | 1030 | 1022 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 546 | 1000 | 0.546 | 547 | 546 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 6.249 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 539 | 1000 | 0.539 | 539 | 551 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 6.284 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 621 |  |  | 621 | 619 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1,4 | 603 | 1567 | 0.385 | 604 | 600 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 4.091 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 580 | 1567 | 0.370 | 580 | 578 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 4.061 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1716 |  |  | 1716 | 1731 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1026 | 1000 | 1.026 | 972 | 966 | 8.5 | 23.7 | 64.295 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 252 | 1000 | 0.252 | 253 | 251 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.468 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 755 |  |  | 755 | 749 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 335 | 1000 | 0.335 | 334 | 331 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.900 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 445 | 1000 | 0.445 | 445 | 443 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.622 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 780 |  |  | 780 | 775 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.103 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1072 |  |  | 1072 | 1088 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 672 | 1000 | 0.672 | 670 | 672 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 7.952 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 686 | 1000 | 0.686 | 684 | 689 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 8.216 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 761 |  |  | 761 | 761 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 730 | 1538 | 0.475 | 733 | 725 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 5.075 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 713 | 1538 | 0.464 | 711 | 709 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 4.889 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2116 |  |  | 2116 | 2119 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1288 | 1000 | 1.268 | 1004 | 1002 | 23.7 | 94.6 | 215.508 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 310 | 1000 | 0.310 | 308 | 308 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 6.035 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 921 |  |  | 921 | 911 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 330 | 1000 | 0.330 | 330 | 327 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.973 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 448 | 1000 | 0.448 | 448 | 447 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 5.578 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 778 |  |  | 778 | 774 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.126 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1081 |  |  | 1081 | 1084 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 655 | 1000 | 0.655 | 657 | 657 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 7.773 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 671 | 1000 | 0.671 | 672 | 689 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 7.670 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 746 |  |  | 746 | 763 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 721 | 1537 | 0.469 | 720 | 724 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 5.148 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 697 | 1537 | 0.454 | 695 | 709 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 4.803 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2102 |  |  | 2102 | 2097 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1295 | 1000 | 1.295 | 997 | 999 | 94.6 | 184.8 | 468.720 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 303 | 1000 | 0.303 | 303 | 307 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 5.761 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 913 |  |  | 913 | 916 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 262 | 1009 | 0.259 | 261 | 268 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.509 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 370 | 1009 | 0.387 | 389 | 385 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.167 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 632 |  |  | 632 | 630 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.025 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1085 |  |  | 1085 | 1061 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 536 | 1000 | 0.538 | 535 | 543 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 6.102 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 548 | 1000 | 0.548 | 548 | 551 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 6.029 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 628 |  |  | 626 | 627 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 598 | 1588 | 0.382 | 597 | 599 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 4.056 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 582 | 1568 | 0.371 | 583 | 583 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 4.112 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1721 |  |  | 1721 | 1731 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1048 | 1000 | 1.048 | 1009 | 1006 | 184.8 | 173.0 | 608.443 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 260 | 1000 | 0.260 | 257 | 255 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 5.224 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 749 |  |  | 749 | 748 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 218 | 1038 | 0.210 | 218 | 221 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.319 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 317 | 1038 | 0.306 | 317 | 316 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 4.728 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 535 |  |  | 535 | 536 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.024 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1057 |  |  | 1057 | 1050 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 451 | 1002 | 0.450 | 451 | 454 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 5.377 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 461 | 1002 | 0.460 | 461 | 465 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 5.353 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 517 |  |  | 517 | 521 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 504 | 1587 | 0.317 | 504 | 500 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 3.682 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 481 | 1587 | 0.303 | 482 | 484 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 3.686 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1448 |  |  | 1448 | 1459 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 883 | 1000 | 0.863 | 1009 | 1004 | 173.0 | 138.9 | 535.809 | F |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 213 | 1000 | 0.213 | 211 | 213 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 4.988 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 630 |  |  | 630 | 626 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## AQUINDミ

## Appendix 7 - LINSIG Outputs

Full Input Data And Results
Full Input Data And Results

## User and Project Details

| Project: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title: |  |
| Location: |  |
| Additional detail: |  |
| File name: | A3 (M) Junction 2.lsg3x |
| Author: |  |
| Company: |  |
| Address: |  |

## Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results
Phase Diagram


Phase Input Data

| Phase Name | Phase Type | Stage Stream | Assoc. Phase | Street Min | Cont Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Traffic | 1 |  | 7 | 7 |
| B | Traffic | 1 |  | 7 | 7 |
| C | Traffic | 2 |  | 6 | 2 |
| D | Traffic | 2 |  | 6 | 2 |
| E | Pedestrian | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |
| F | Pedestrian | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |
| G | Traffic | 3 |  | 7 | 7 |
| H | Traffic | 3 |  | 7 | 7 |
| I | Traffic | 4 |  | 6 | 2 |
| J | Traffic | 4 |  | 6 | 2 |
| K | Pedestrian | 4 |  | 6 | 6 |
| L | Pedestrian | 4 |  | 6 | 6 |

Phase Intergreens Matrix


## Phases in Stage

| Stream | Stage No. | Phases in Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | A |
| 1 | 2 | B |
| 2 | 1 | C F |
| 2 | 2 | D E |
| 3 | 1 | G |
| 3 | 2 | H |
| 4 | 1 | I K |
| 4 | 2 | J L |

## Stage Diagram

Stage Stream: 1


Stage Stream: 2


Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Stream: 3



Stage Stream: 4


Phase Delays
Stage Stream: 1

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| There are no Phase Delays defined |  |  |  |  |  |

## Stage Stream: 2

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | C | Losing | 4 | 4 |
| 2 | 1 | D | Losing | 4 | 4 |

## Stage Stream: 3

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| There are no Phase Delays defined |  |  |  |  |  |

## Stage Stream: 4

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | I | Losing | 4 | 4 |
| 2 | 1 | J | Losing | 4 | 4 |

Prohibited Stage Change
Stage Stream: 1

|  | To Stage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From <br> Stage |  | 1 |  |
|  | 1 |  | 6 |
|  | 2 | 6 |  |

## Stage Stream: 2

|  | To Stage |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| From <br> Stage |  | 1 | 2 |
|  | 1 |  | 10 |
|  | 2 | 10 |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Stage Stream: 3


Stage Stream: 4

|  | To Stage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1 | 2 |
|  | 1 |  | 10 |
|  | 2 | 10 |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Give-Way Lane Input Data
Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2
There are no Opposed Lanes in this Junction

Full Input Data And Results
Lane Input Data

| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane <br> Type | Phases | Start Disp. | End Disp. | Physical Length (PCU) | Sat Flow Type | Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr) | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Turns | Turning Radius (m) |
| 1/1 (Dell Piece East) | U | D | 2 | 3 | 8.7 | User | 1900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Dell Piece East) } \end{gathered}$ | U | D | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2/1 <br> (A3 (M) <br> Northbound off slip) | U | H | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $2 / 2$ (A3 (M) Northbound off slip) | U | H | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3/1 <br> (Dell Piece West) | U | J | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3/2 <br> (Dell Piece West) | U | J | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $4 / 1$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $4 / 2$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $5 / 1$ (Circ South) | U | G | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | User | 1900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | U | G | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | User | 1900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/1 (Circ West) | U | I | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ West) } \end{gathered}$ | U | I | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7/1 (Circ North) | U | A | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $7 / 2$ <br> (Circ North) | U | A | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/1 (Circ East) | U | C | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | User | 1900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ East) } \end{gathered}$ | U | C | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | User | 1900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9/1 <br> (A3 (M) <br> Southbound (on-slip)) | U |  | 2 | 3 | $60.0$ | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9/2 <br> (A3 (M) <br> Southbound (on-slip)) | U |  | 2 | 3 | $60.0$ | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Full Input Data And Results

| $10 / 2$ <br> $11 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) <br> northbound <br> on-slip) | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | $\operatorname{Inf}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $11 / 2$ <br> (A3 (M) <br> northbound <br> on-slip) | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | $\operatorname{Inf}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $12 / 1$ | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | $\operatorname{Inf}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $12 / 2$ | U |  | 2 | 3 | 6 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: 'DM AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 2: 'DM PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 3: 'DS1 AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 4: 'DS1 PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 5: 'DS2 AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 6: 'DS2 PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |

Scenario 1: 'DM AM' (FG1: 'DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |
|  | A | 0 | 162 | 0 | 788 | 950 |
|  | B | 2 | 0 | 880 | 21 | 903 |
|  | C | 0 | 459 | 0 | 393 | 852 |
|  | D | 597 | 145 | 560 | 0 | 1302 |
|  | Tot. | 599 | 766 | 1440 | 1202 | 4007 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 1: DM AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |
| $1 / 1$ (short) $1 / 2$ (with short) | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \text { 903(In) } \\ \text { 858(Out) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2/1 | 393 |
| 2/2 | 459 |
| 3/1 | 687 |
| 3/2 | 615 |
| 4/1 | 162 |
| 4/2 | 788 |
| 5/1 | 410 |
| 5/2 | 401 |
| 6/1 | 2 |
| 6/2 | 459 |
| 7/1 | 549 |
| 7/2 | 615 |
| 8/1 | 560 |
| 8/2 | 788 |
| 9/1 | 605 |
| 9/2 | 835 |
| 10/1 | 803 |
| 10/2 | 399 |
| 11/1 | 597 |
| 11/2 | 2 |
| 12/1 | 711 |
| 12/2 | 55 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| 1/1 (Dell Piece East Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $1 / 2$ (Dell Piece East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 2) <br> $3 / 1$ (Dell Piece West Lane 1) <br> 3/2 <br> (Dell Piece West Lane 2) |  | his lane us <br> his lane us <br> his lane us | s a directly <br> s a directly <br> s a directly | entered S <br> entered S <br> entered S | aturation <br> aturation <br> aturation | low low low | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ |
| $4 / 1$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 2) <br> 5/1 <br> (Circ South Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ East Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (Circ East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $9 / 1$ (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 2) } \end{array}$ | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 2) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: 'DM PM' (FG2: 'DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |
|  | A | 0 | 72 | 0 | 434 | 506 |
|  | B | 0 | 0 | 740 | 23 | 763 |
|  | C | 0 | 631 | 0 | 468 | 1099 |
|  | D | 405 | 121 | 1019 | 0 | 1545 |
|  | Tot. | 405 | 824 | 1759 | 925 | 3913 |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 2: DM PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (short) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| $1 / 2$ (with short) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 763(In) } \\ 763 \text { (Out) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2/1 | 468 |
| 2/2 | 631 |
| 3/1 | 526 |
| 3/2 | 1019 |
| 4/1 | 72 |
| 4/2 | 434 |
| 5/1 | 232 |
| 5/2 | 225 |
| 6/1 | 0 |
| 6/2 | 631 |
| 7/1 | 752 |
| 7/2 | 1019 |
| 8/1 | 734 |
| 8/2 | 719 |
| 9/1 | 734 |
| 9/2 | 1025 |
| 10/1 | 700 |
| 10/2 | 225 |
| 11/1 | 405 |
| 11/2 | 0 |
| 12/1 | 824 |
| 12/2 | 0 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| 1/1 (Dell Piece East Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $1 / 2$ (Dell Piece East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 2) <br> $3 / 1$ (Dell Piece West Lane 1) <br> 3/2 <br> (Dell Piece West Lane 2) |  | his lane us <br> his lane us <br> his lane us | s a directly <br> s a directly <br> s a directly | entered S <br> entered S <br> entered S | aturation <br> aturation <br> aturation | low low low | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ |
| $4 / 1$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 2) <br> 5/1 <br> (Circ South Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ East Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (Circ East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $9 / 1$ (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 2) } \end{array}$ | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 2) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: 'DS1 AM' (FG3: 'DS1 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 146 | 0 | 796 | 942 |  |
|  | B | 2 | 0 | 847 | 16 | 865 |  |
|  | C | 0 | 458 | 0 | 418 | 876 |  |
|  | D | 551 | 151 | 605 | 0 | 1307 |  |
|  | Tot. | 553 | 755 | 1452 | 1230 | 3990 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 3: DS1 AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (short) } \end{gathered}$ | 72 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (with short) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 865(In) } \\ 793 \text { (Out) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2/1 | 418 |
| 2/2 | 458 |
| 3/1 | 702 |
| 3/2 | 605 |
| 4/1 | 146 |
| 4/2 | 796 |
| 5/1 | 410 |
| 5/2 | 404 |
| 6/1 | 2 |
| 6/2 | 458 |
| 7/1 | 609 |
| 7/2 | 605 |
| 8/1 | 605 |
| 8/2 | 796 |
| 9/1 | 677 |
| 9/2 | 775 |
| 10/1 | 828 |
| 10/2 | 402 |
| 11/1 | 551 |
| 11/2 | 2 |
| 12/1 | 755 |
| 12/2 | 0 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| 1/1 (Dell Piece East Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $1 / 2$ (Dell Piece East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 2) <br> $3 / 1$ (Dell Piece West Lane 1) <br> 3/2 <br> (Dell Piece West Lane 2) |  | his lane us <br> his lane us <br> his lane us | s a directly <br> s a directly <br> s a directly | entered S <br> entered S <br> entered S | aturation <br> aturation <br> aturation | low low low | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ |
| $4 / 1$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 2) <br> 5/1 <br> (Circ South Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ East Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (Circ East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $9 / 1$ (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 2) } \end{array}$ | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 2) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: 'DS1 PM' (FG4: 'DS1 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |
|  | A | 0 | 80 | 0 | 398 | 478 |
|  | B | 0 | 0 | 739 | 6 | 745 |
|  | C | 0 | 600 | 0 | 657 | 1257 |
|  | D | 445 | 127 | 1044 | 0 | 1616 |
|  | Tot. | 445 | 807 | 1783 | 1061 | 4096 |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 4: DS1 PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (short) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (with short) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 745 \text { (In) } \\ 745 \text { (Out) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2/1 | 657 |
| 2/2 | 600 |
| 3/1 | 572 |
| 3/2 | 1044 |
| 4/1 | 80 |
| 4/2 | 398 |
| 5/1 | 203 |
| 5/2 | 201 |
| 6/1 | 0 |
| 6/2 | 600 |
| 7/1 | 727 |
| 7/2 | 1044 |
| 8/1 | 720 |
| 8/2 | 722 |
| 9/1 | 720 |
| 9/2 | 1063 |
| 10/1 | 860 |
| 10/2 | 201 |
| 11/1 | 445 |
| 11/2 | 0 |
| 12/1 | 807 |
| 12/2 | 0 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| 1/1 (Dell Piece East Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $1 / 2$ (Dell Piece East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 2) <br> $3 / 1$ (Dell Piece West Lane 1) <br> 3/2 <br> (Dell Piece West Lane 2) |  | his lane us <br> his lane us <br> his lane us | s a directly <br> s a directly <br> s a directly | entered S <br> entered S <br> entered S | aturation <br> aturation <br> aturation | low low low | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ |
| $4 / 1$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 2) <br> 5/1 <br> (Circ South Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ East Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (Circ East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $9 / 1$ (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 2) } \end{array}$ | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 2) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 5: 'DS2 AM' (FG5: 'DS2 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 145 | 0 | 796 | 941 |  |
|  | B | 2 | 0 | 846 | 16 | 864 |  |
|  | C | 0 | 457 | 0 | 417 | 874 |  |
|  | D | 549 | 152 | 606 | 0 | 1307 |  |
|  | Tot. | 551 | 754 | 1452 | 1229 | 3986 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 5: DS2 AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (short) } \end{gathered}$ | 72 |
| $1 / 2$ (with short) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 864(In) } \\ 792 \text { (Out) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2/1 | 417 |
| 2/2 | 457 |
| 3/1 | 701 |
| 3/2 | 606 |
| 4/1 | 145 |
| 4/2 | 796 |
| 5/1 | 410 |
| 5/2 | 404 |
| 6/1 | 2 |
| 6/2 | 457 |
| 7/1 | 609 |
| 7/2 | 606 |
| 8/1 | 606 |
| 8/2 | 796 |
| 9/1 | 678 |
| 9/2 | 774 |
| 10/1 | 827 |
| 10/2 | 402 |
| 11/1 | 549 |
| 11/2 | 2 |
| 12/1 | 754 |
| 12/2 | 0 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| 1/1 (Dell Piece East Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $1 / 2$ (Dell Piece East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 2) <br> $3 / 1$ (Dell Piece West Lane 1) <br> 3/2 <br> (Dell Piece West Lane 2) |  | his lane us <br> his lane us <br> his lane us | s a directly <br> s a directly <br> s a directly | entered S <br> entered S <br> entered S | aturation <br> aturation <br> aturation | low low low | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ |
| $4 / 1$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 2) <br> 5/1 <br> (Circ South Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ East Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (Circ East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $9 / 1$ (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 2) } \end{array}$ | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 2) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 6: 'DS2 PM' (FG6: 'DS2 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |
|  | A | 0 | 80 | 0 | 400 | 480 |
|  | B | 0 | 0 | 738 | 6 | 744 |
|  | C | 0 | 601 | 0 | 653 | 1254 |
|  | D | 446 | 126 | 1044 | 0 | 1616 |
|  | Tot. | 446 | 807 | 1782 | 1059 | 4094 |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 6: DS2 PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (short) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| $1 / 2$ (with short) | $\begin{gathered} 744(\text { In }) \\ 744 \text { (Out) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2/1 | 653 |
| 2/2 | 601 |
| 3/1 | 572 |
| 3/2 | 1044 |
| 4/1 | 80 |
| 4/2 | 400 |
| 5/1 | 204 |
| 5/2 | 202 |
| 6/1 | 0 |
| 6/2 | 601 |
| 7/1 | 727 |
| 7/2 | 1044 |
| 8/1 | 722 |
| 8/2 | 722 |
| 9/1 | 722 |
| 9/2 | 1060 |
| 10/1 | 857 |
| 10/2 | 202 |
| 11/1 | 446 |
| 11/2 | 0 |
| 12/1 | 807 |
| 12/2 | 0 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| 1/1 (Dell Piece East Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $1 / 2$ (Dell Piece East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 2) <br> $3 / 1$ (Dell Piece West Lane 1) <br> 3/2 <br> (Dell Piece West Lane 2) |  | his lane us <br> his lane us <br> his lane us | s a directly <br> s a directly <br> s a directly | entered S <br> entered S <br> entered S | aturation <br> aturation <br> aturation | low low low | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ |
| $4 / 1$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 2) <br> 5/1 <br> (Circ South Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ East Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (Circ East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $9 / 1$ (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 2) } \end{array}$ | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 2) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 1: 'DM AM' (FG1: 'DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram
Stage Stream: 1


Stage Stream: 2


Stage Stream: 3


Stage Stream: 4


## Stage Timings

Stage Stream: 1

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 24 | 24 |
| Change Point | 15 | 45 |

Stage Stream: 2

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 18 | 22 |
| Change Point | 51 | 19 |

Stage Stream: 3

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 23 | 25 |
| Change Point | 6 | 35 |

Full Input Data And Results
Stage Stream: 4

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 14 | 26 |
| Change Point | 45 | 9 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 105.1\% |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 105.1\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Dell Piece East Ahead Left | U | 2 | N/A | D |  | 1 | 26 | - | 903 | 1900:1900 | 855+45 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.4: \\ & 100.4 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Left | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 25 | - | 393 | 1800 | 780 | 50.4\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 25 | - | 459 | 1800 | 780 | 58.8\% |
| 3/1 | Dell Piece West Ahead Left | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 30 | - | 687 | 1800 | 930 | 73.9\% |
| 3/2 | Dell Piece West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 30 | - | 615 | 1800 | 930 | 66.1\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 24 | - | 162 | 1800 | 750 | 21.6\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Ahead Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 24 | - | 788 | 1800 | 750 | 105.1\% |
| 5/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 23 | - | 410 | 1900 | 760 | 49.9\% |
| 5/2 | Circ South Right Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 23 | - | 401 | 1900 | 760 | 49.0\% |
| 6/1 | Circ West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 18 | - | 2 | 1800 | 570 | 0.3\% |
| 6/2 | Circ West Right | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 18 | - | 459 | 1800 | 570 | 80.5\% |
| 7/1 | Circ North Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 24 | - | 549 | 1800 | 750 | 73.2\% |
| 7/2 | Circ North Right Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 24 | - | 615 | 1800 | 750 | 82.0\% |
| 8/1 | Circ East Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 22 | - | 560 | 1900 | 728 | 76.9\% |
| 8/2 | Circ East Right Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 22 | - | 788 | 1900 | 728 | 103.0\% |

## Full Input Data And Results

| 9/1 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 605 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/2 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 835 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 803 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 399 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 597 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/2 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 2 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 711 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 55 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | 74.3 | 0.0 | 101.5 | - | - | - | - |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | 74.3 | 0.0 | 101.5 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/2+1/1 | 903 | 900 | - | - | - | 4.1 | 15.8 | - | 20.0 | 79.6 | 14.4 | 15.8 | 30.2 |
| 2/1 | 393 | 393 | - | - | - | 1.3 | 0.5 | - | 1.9 | 17.0 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 5.2 |
| 2/2 | 459 | 459 | - | - | - | 1.6 | 0.7 | - | 2.4 | 18.5 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 6.4 |
| 3/1 | 687 | 687 | - | - | - | 2.2 | 1.4 | - | 3.6 | 18.7 | 8.8 | 1.4 | 10.2 |
| 3/2 | 615 | 615 | - | - | - | 1.8 | 1.0 | - | 2.8 | 16.3 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 8.5 |
| 4/1 | 162 | 162 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | 0.6 | 14.3 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 1.8 |
| 4/2 | 788 | 750 | - | - | - | 5.0 | 26.4 | - | 31.5 | 143.9 | 13.8 | 26.4 | 40.2 |
| 5/1 | 379 | 379 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.5 | - | 0.6 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| 5/2 | 372 | 372 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.5 | - | 0.7 | 7.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.8 |
| 6/1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 35.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6/2 | 459 | 459 | - | - | - | 0.8 | 2.0 | - | 2.8 | 21.7 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 8.8 |
| 7/1 | 549 | 549 | - | - | - | 2.3 | 1.3 | - | 3.6 | 23.8 | 8.6 | 1.3 | 10.0 |
| 7/2 | 615 | 615 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 2.2 | - | 3.6 | 21.2 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 5.0 |
| 8/1 | 560 | 560 | - | - | - | 4.0 | 1.6 | - | 5.6 | 36.2 | 9.3 | 1.6 | 11.0 |
| 8/2 | 750 | 728 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 20.1 | - | 21.8 | 104.7 | 12.9 | 20.1 | 33.0 |
| 9/1 | 605 | 605 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9/2 | 832 | 832 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/1 | 772 | 772 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/2 | 370 | 370 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/1 | 597 | 597 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/1 | 711 | 711 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/2 | 55 | 55 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Full Input Data And Results
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr)
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes ( pcuHr ) Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr):

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: 'DM PM' (FG2: 'DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram
Stage Stream: 1


Stage Stream: 2


Stage Stream: 3


Stage Stream: 4


## Stage Timings

Stage Stream: 1

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 33 | 15 |
| Change Point | 40 | 19 |

Stage Stream: 2

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 19 | 21 |
| Change Point | 16 | 45 |

Stage Stream: 3

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 15 | 33 |
| Change Point | 39 | 0 |

Full Input Data And Results
Stage Stream: 4

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 14 | 26 |
| Change Point | 6 | 30 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Time in cycle (sec)

Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 110.7\% |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 110.7\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Dell Piece East Ahead Left | U | 2 | N/A | D |  | 1 | 25 | - | 763 | 1900:1900 | $823+0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92.7 \text { : } \\ & 0.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Left | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 33 | - | 468 | 1800 | 1020 | 45.9\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 33 | - | 631 | 1800 | 1020 | 61.9\% |
| 3/1 | Dell Piece West Ahead Left | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 30 | - | 526 | 1800 | 930 | 56.6\% |
| 3/2 | Dell Piece West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 30 | - | 1019 | 1800 | 930 | 109.6\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 15 | - | 72 | 1800 | 480 | 15.0\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Ahead Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 15 | - | 434 | 1800 | 480 | 90.4\% |
| 5/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 15 | - | 232 | 1900 | 507 | 45.8\% |
| 5/2 | Circ South Right Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 15 | - | 225 | 1900 | 507 | 44.4\% |
| 6/1 | Circ West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | I |  | 1 | 18 | - | 0 | 1800 | 570 | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 | Circ West Right | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 18 | - | 631 | 1800 | 570 | 110.7\% |
| 7/1 | Circ North Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 33 | - | 752 | 1800 | 1020 | 67.7\% |
| 7/2 | Circ North Right Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 33 | - | 1019 | 1800 | 1020 | 91.2\% |
| 8/1 | Circ East Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 23 | - | 734 | 1900 | 760 | 88.1\% |
| 8/2 | Circ East Right Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 23 | - | 719 | 1900 | 760 | 91.3\% |

## Full Input Data And Results

| 9/1 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 734 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/2 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1025 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 700 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 225 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 405 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/2 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 0 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 824 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 0 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results

| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.1 | 110.6 | 0.0 | 141.8 | - | - | - | - |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.1 | 110.6 | 0.0 | 141.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/2+1/1 | 763 | 763 | - | - | - | 3.4 | 5.4 | - | 8.8 | 41.4 | 11.9 | 5.4 | 17.2 |
| 2/1 | 468 | 468 | - | - | - | 1.0 | 0.4 | - | 1.4 | 10.9 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 5.0 |
| 2/2 | 631 | 631 | - | - | - | 1.5 | 0.8 | - | 2.3 | 13.3 | 7.0 | 0.8 | 7.8 |
| 3/1 | 526 | 526 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 0.6 | - | 2.1 | 14.3 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 6.5 |
| 3/2 | 1019 | 930 | - | - | - | 7.1 | 49.6 | - | 56.8 | 200.6 | 18.5 | 49.6 | 68.1 |
| 4/1 | 72 | 72 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | 0.4 | 21.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 4/2 | 434 | 434 | - | - | - | 2.6 | 4.0 | - | 6.6 | 54.6 | 6.9 | 4.0 | 10.9 |
| 5/1 | 232 | 232 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.4 | - | 0.6 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| 5/2 | 225 | 225 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | 0.8 | 12.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 |
| 6/1 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6/2 | 631 | 570 | - | - | - | 3.8 | 35.0 | - | 38.8 | 221.1 | 11.5 | 35.0 | 46.5 |
| 7/1 | 691 | 691 | - | - | - | 3.3 | 1.0 | - | 4.4 | 22.8 | 11.1 | 1.0 | 12.1 |
| 7/2 | 930 | 930 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 4.7 | - | 5.1 | 19.7 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 5.7 |
| 8/1 | 670 | 670 | - | - | - | 4.1 | 3.5 | - | 7.5 | 40.5 | 11.2 | 3.5 | 14.6 |
| 8/2 | 694 | 694 | - | - | - | 1.6 | 4.6 | - | 6.2 | 32.2 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 11.0 |
| 9/1 | 670 | 670 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9/2 | 1000 | 1000 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/1 | 700 | 700 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/2 | 225 | 225 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/1 | 405 | 405 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/2 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/1 | 763 | 763 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/2 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Full Input Data And Results
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes ( pcuHr ): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): I Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr)
Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr)

Cycle Time (s): Cycle Time (s): Cycle Time (s):
Cycle Time (s):

60

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: 'DS1 AM' (FG3: 'DS1 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram
Stage Stream: 1


Stage Stream: 2


Stage Stream: 3


Stage Stream: 4


## Stage Timings

Stage Stream: 1

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 21 | 27 |
| Change Point | 0 | 27 |

Stage Stream: 2

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 20 | 20 |
| Change Point | 33 | 3 |

Stage Stream: 3

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 22 | 26 |
| Change Point | 49 | 17 |

Full Input Data And Results
Stage Stream: 4

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 13 | 27 |
| Change Point | 30 | 53 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.5\% |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.5\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Dell Piece East Ahead Left | U | 2 | N/A | D |  | 1 | 24 | - | 865 | 1900:1900 | 792+72 | $\begin{gathered} 100.2: \\ 100.2 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Left | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 26 | - | 418 | 1800 | 810 | 51.6\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 26 | - | 458 | 1800 | 810 | 56.5\% |
| 3/1 | Dell Piece West Ahead Left | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 31 | - | 702 | 1800 | 960 | 73.1\% |
| 3/2 | Dell Piece West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 31 | - | 605 | 1800 | 960 | 63.0\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 27 | - | 146 | 1800 | 840 | 17.4\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Ahead Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 27 | - | 796 | 1800 | 840 | 94.8\% |
| 5/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 22 | - | 410 | 1900 | 728 | 56.0\% |
| 5/2 | Circ South Right Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 22 | - | 404 | 1900 | 728 | 55.2\% |
| 6/1 | Circ West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 17 | - | 2 | 1800 | 540 | 0.4\% |
| 6/2 | Circ West Right | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 17 | - | 458 | 1800 | 540 | 84.8\% |
| 7/1 | Circ North Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 21 | - | 609 | 1800 | 660 | 92.3\% |
| 7/2 | Circ North Right Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 21 | - | 605 | 1800 | 660 | 91.7\% |
| 8/1 | Circ East Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 24 | - | 605 | 1900 | 792 | 76.4\% |
| 8/2 | Circ East Right Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 24 | - | 796 | 1900 | 792 | 100.5\% |

## Full Input Data And Results

| 9/1 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 677 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/2 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 775 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 828 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 402 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 551 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/2 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 2 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 755 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 0 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.2 | 55.8 | 0.0 | 82.0 | - | - | - | - |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.2 | 55.8 | 0.0 | 82.0 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/2+1/1 | 865 | 864 | - | - | - | 4.1 | 15.1 | - | 19.2 | 80.0 | 13.7 | 15.1 | 28.7 |
| 2/1 | 418 | 418 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 0.5 | - | 1.9 | 16.4 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 5.4 |
| 2/2 | 458 | 458 | - | - | - | 1.5 | 0.6 | - | 2.2 | 17.3 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 6.2 |
| 3/1 | 702 | 702 | - | - | - | 2.1 | 1.3 | - | 3.4 | 17.6 | 8.8 | 1.3 | 10.1 |
| 3/2 | 605 | 605 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 0.8 | - | 2.5 | 14.9 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 7.9 |
| 4/1 | 146 | 146 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | 0.5 | 11.9 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
| 4/2 | 796 | 796 | - | - | - | 3.4 | 6.9 | - | 10.3 | 46.5 | 12.6 | 6.9 | 19.5 |
| 5/1 | 408 | 408 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.6 | - | 0.9 | 8.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 2.3 |
| 5/2 | 402 | 402 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.6 | - | 1.0 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 2.5 |
| 6/1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 37.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6/2 | 458 | 458 | - | - | - | 1.0 | 2.6 | - | 3.6 | 28.2 | 7.1 | 2.6 | 9.7 |
| 7/1 | 609 | 609 | - | - | - | 2.8 | 5.0 | - | 7.8 | 46.1 | 9.8 | 5.0 | 14.8 |
| 7/2 | 605 | 605 | - | - | - | 1.9 | 4.7 | - | 6.6 | 39.0 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 8.7 |
| 8/1 | 605 | 605 | - | - | - | 4.2 | 1.6 | - | 5.8 | 34.6 | 10.1 | 1.6 | 11.7 |
| 8/2 | 796 | 792 | - | - | - | 1.1 | 15.2 | - | 16.3 | 73.9 | 13.3 | 15.2 | 28.6 |
| 9/1 | 677 | 677 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9/2 | 774 | 774 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/1 | 826 | 826 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/2 | 400 | 400 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/1 | 551 | 551 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/1 | 755 | 755 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/2 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Full Input Data And Results

| C1 | Stream: 1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): | -5.3 |  | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): | 25.10 | Cycle Time (s): | 60 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C1 | Stream: 2 PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): | -11.7 |  | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): | 41.38 | Cycle Time (s): | 60 |
| C1 | Stream: 3 PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): | 59.2 | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): | 5.99 | Cycle Time (s): | 60 |  |
| C1 | Stream: 4 PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): | 6.1 | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): | 9.55 | Cycle Time (s): | 60 |  |
|  |  | PRC Over All Lanes (\%): | -11.7 |  | Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): | 82.02 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: 'DS1 PM' (FG4: 'DS1 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram
Stage Stream: 1


Stage Stream: 2


Stage Stream: 3


Stage Stream: 4


## Stage Timings

Stage Stream: 1

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 34 | 14 |
| Change Point | 0 | 40 |

Stage Stream: 2

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 20 | 20 |
| Change Point | 34 | 4 |

Stage Stream: 3

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 13 | 35 |
| Change Point | 1 | 20 |

Full Input Data And Results
Stage Stream: 4

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 13 | 27 |
| Change Point | 27 | 50 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 111.1\% |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 111.1\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Dell Piece East Ahead Left | U | 2 | N/A | D |  | 1 | 24 | - | 745 | 1900:1900 | 792+0 | $\begin{aligned} & 94.1: \\ & 0.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Left | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 35 | - | 657 | 1800 | 1080 | 60.8\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 35 | - | 600 | 1800 | 1080 | 55.6\% |
| 3/1 | Dell Piece West Ahead Left | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 31 | - | 572 | 1800 | 960 | 59.6\% |
| 3/2 | Dell Piece West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 31 | - | 1044 | 1800 | 960 | 108.8\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 14 | - | 80 | 1800 | 450 | 17.8\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Ahead Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 14 | - | 398 | 1800 | 450 | 88.4\% |
| 5/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 13 | - | 203 | 1900 | 443 | 45.8\% |
| 5/2 | Circ South Right Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 13 | - | 201 | 1900 | 443 | 45.3\% |
| 6/1 | Circ West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | I |  | 1 | 17 | - | 0 | 1800 | 540 | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 | Circ West Right | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 17 | - | 600 | 1800 | 540 | 111.1\% |
| 7/1 | Circ North Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 34 | - | 727 | 1800 | 1050 | 63.5\% |
| 7/2 | Circ North Right Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 34 | - | 1044 | 1800 | 1050 | 91.4\% |
| 8/1 | Circ East Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 24 | - | 720 | 1900 | 792 | 83.6\% |
| 8/2 | Circ East Right Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 24 | - | 722 | 1900 | 792 | 87.9\% |

## Full Input Data And Results

| 9/1 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 720 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/2 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1063 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 860 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 201 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 445 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/2 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 0 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 807 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 0 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results

| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay <br> Per PCU <br> (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.0 | 106.1 | 0.0 | 136.1 | - | - | - | - |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.0 | 106.1 | 0.0 | 136.1 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/2+1/1 | 745 | 745 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 6.3 | - | 9.8 | 47.2 | 11.8 | 6.3 | 18.1 |
| 2/1 | 657 | 657 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 0.8 | - | 2.2 | 11.8 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 7.5 |
| 2/2 | 600 | 600 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 0.6 | - | 1.8 | 10.9 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 6.6 |
| 3/1 | 572 | 572 | - | - | - | 1.5 | 0.7 | - | 2.3 | 14.2 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 7.2 |
| 3/2 | 1044 | 960 | - | - | - | 6.5 | 47.5 | - | 53.9 | 186.0 | 18.8 | 47.5 | 66.3 |
| 4/1 | 80 | 80 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | 0.5 | 22.5 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| 4/2 | 398 | 398 | - | - | - | 2.4 | 3.4 | - | 5.8 | 52.3 | 6.3 | 3.4 | 9.7 |
| 5/1 | 203 | 203 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.4 | - | 0.7 | 11.6 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 |
| 5/2 | 201 | 201 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | 0.7 | 12.4 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 |
| 6/1 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6/2 | 600 | 540 | - | - | - | 4.0 | 34.4 | - | 38.4 | 230.4 | 11.5 | 34.4 | 45.8 |
| 7/1 | 667 | 667 | - | - | - | 3.0 | 0.9 | - | 3.9 | 20.8 | 10.6 | 0.9 | 11.5 |
| 7/2 | 960 | 960 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 4.8 | - | 5.2 | 19.5 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 5.8 |
| 8/1 | 662 | 662 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 2.5 | - | 6.0 | 32.7 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 13.5 |
| 8/2 | 696 | 696 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 3.4 | - | 5.1 | 26.4 | 5.9 | 3.4 | 9.3 |
| 9/1 | 662 | 662 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9/2 | 1037 | 1037 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/1 | 860 | 860 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/2 | 201 | 201 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/1 | 445 | 445 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/2 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/1 | 747 | 747 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/2 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Full Input Data And Results
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { C1 } & \text { Stream: } 1 \text { PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): } \\ \text { C1 } & \text { Stream: } 2 \text { PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): } \\ \text { C1 } & \text { Stream: 3 PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): } \\ \text { C1 } & \text { Stream: } 4 \text { PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): }\end{array}$ PRC Over All Lanes (\%):

Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): $\quad 15.35 \quad$ Cycle Time (s): 60
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): $\quad 15.35$ Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Dotay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):
Totay Over All Lanes(pcuHr):

Cycle Time (s):
Cycle Time (s): Cycle Time (s): 36.15

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 5: 'DS2 AM' (FG5: 'DS2 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram
Stage Stream: 1


Stage Stream: 2


Stage Stream: 3


Stage Stream: 4


## Stage Timings

Stage Stream: 1

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 21 | 27 |
| Change Point | 0 | 27 |

Stage Stream: 2

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 20 | 20 |
| Change Point | 33 | 3 |

Stage Stream: 3

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 22 | 26 |
| Change Point | 49 | 17 |

Full Input Data And Results
Stage Stream: 4

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 13 | 27 |
| Change Point | 30 | 53 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.5\% |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.5\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Dell Piece East Ahead Left | U | 2 | N/A | D |  | 1 | 24 | - | 864 | 1900:1900 | 792+72 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0: \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Left | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 26 | - | 417 | 1800 | 810 | 51.5\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 26 | - | 457 | 1800 | 810 | 56.4\% |
| 3/1 | Dell Piece West Ahead Left | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 31 | - | 701 | 1800 | 960 | 73.0\% |
| 3/2 | Dell Piece West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 31 | - | 606 | 1800 | 960 | 63.1\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 27 | - | 145 | 1800 | 840 | 17.3\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Ahead Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 27 | - | 796 | 1800 | 840 | 94.8\% |
| 5/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 22 | - | 410 | 1900 | 728 | 56.0\% |
| 5/2 | Circ South Right Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 22 | - | 404 | 1900 | 728 | 55.2\% |
| 6/1 | Circ West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | I |  | 1 | 17 | - | 2 | 1800 | 540 | 0.4\% |
| 6/2 | Circ West Right | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 17 | - | 457 | 1800 | 540 | 84.6\% |
| 7/1 | Circ North Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 21 | - | 609 | 1800 | 660 | 92.3\% |
| 7/2 | Circ North Right Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 21 | - | 606 | 1800 | 660 | 91.8\% |
| 8/1 | Circ East Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 24 | - | 606 | 1900 | 792 | 76.5\% |
| 8/2 | Circ East Right Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 24 | - | 796 | 1900 | 792 | 100.5\% |

## Full Input Data And Results

| 9/1 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 678 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/2 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 774 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 827 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 402 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 549 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/2 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 2 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 754 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 0 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.2 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 81.7 | - | - | - | - |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.2 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 81.7 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/2+1/1 | 864 | 864 | - | - | - | 4.1 | 14.8 | - | 18.9 | 78.7 | 13.4 | 14.8 | 28.2 |
| 2/1 | 417 | 417 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 0.5 | - | 1.9 | 16.4 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 5.4 |
| 2/2 | 457 | 457 | - | - | - | 1.5 | 0.6 | - | 2.2 | 17.2 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 6.2 |
| 3/1 | 701 | 701 | - | - | - | 2.1 | 1.3 | - | 3.4 | 17.6 | 8.8 | 1.3 | 10.1 |
| 3/2 | 606 | 606 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 0.9 | - | 2.5 | 14.9 | 7.1 | 0.9 | 7.9 |
| 4/1 | 145 | 145 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | 0.5 | 11.9 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
| 4/2 | 796 | 796 | - | - | - | 3.4 | 6.9 | - | 10.3 | 46.5 | 12.6 | 6.9 | 19.5 |
| 5/1 | 408 | 408 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.6 | - | 0.9 | 8.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 2.3 |
| 5/2 | 402 | 402 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.6 | - | 1.0 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 2.5 |
| 6/1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 37.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6/2 | 457 | 457 | - | - | - | 1.0 | 2.6 | - | 3.6 | 28.0 | 7.1 | 2.6 | 9.7 |
| 7/1 | 609 | 609 | - | - | - | 2.8 | 5.0 | - | 7.8 | 46.1 | 9.8 | 5.0 | 14.8 |
| 7/2 | 606 | 606 | - | - | - | 1.9 | 4.8 | - | 6.6 | 39.4 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 8.8 |
| 8/1 | 606 | 606 | - | - | - | 4.2 | 1.6 | - | 5.8 | 34.7 | 10.1 | 1.6 | 11.7 |
| 8/2 | 796 | 792 | - | - | - | 1.1 | 15.2 | - | 16.3 | 73.9 | 13.3 | 15.2 | 28.6 |
| 9/1 | 678 | 678 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9/2 | 774 | 774 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/1 | 825 | 825 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/2 | 400 | 400 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/1 | 549 | 549 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/1 | 754 | 754 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/2 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Full Input Data And Results

| C1 | Stream: 1 PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): | -5.3 |  | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): | 25.18 | Cycle Time (s): | 60 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C1 | Stream: 2 PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): | -11.7 |  | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): | 41.09 | Cycle Time (s): | 60 |
| C1 | Stream: 3 PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): | 59.5 | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): | 5.97 | Cycle Time (s): | 60 |  |
| C1 | Stream: 4 PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): | 6.3 | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): | 9.51 | Cycle Time (s): | 60 |  |
|  |  | PRC Over All Lanes (\%): | -11.7 |  | Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): | 81.74 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 6: 'DS2 PM' (FG6: 'DS2 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram
Stage Stream: 1


Stage Stream: 2


Stage Stream: 3


Stage Stream: 4


## Stage Timings

Stage Stream: 1

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 34 | 14 |
| Change Point | 0 | 40 |

Stage Stream: 2

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 20 | 20 |
| Change Point | 34 | 4 |

Stage Stream: 3

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 13 | 35 |
| Change Point | 1 | 20 |

Full Input Data And Results
Stage Stream: 4

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 13 | 27 |
| Change Point | 27 | 50 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 111.3\% |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 111.3\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Dell Piece East Ahead Left | U | 2 | N/A | D |  | 1 | 24 | - | 744 | 1900:1900 | 792+0 | $\begin{aligned} & 94.0 \text { : } \\ & 0.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Left | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 35 | - | 653 | 1800 | 1080 | 60.5\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 35 | - | 601 | 1800 | 1080 | 55.6\% |
| 3/1 | Dell Piece West Ahead Left | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 31 | - | 572 | 1800 | 960 | 59.6\% |
| 3/2 | Dell Piece West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 31 | - | 1044 | 1800 | 960 | 108.8\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 14 | - | 80 | 1800 | 450 | 17.8\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Ahead Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 14 | - | 400 | 1800 | 450 | 88.9\% |
| 5/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 13 | - | 204 | 1900 | 443 | 46.0\% |
| 5/2 | Circ South Right Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 13 | - | 202 | 1900 | 443 | 45.6\% |
| 6/1 | Circ West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 17 | - | 0 | 1800 | 540 | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 | Circ West Right | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 17 | - | 601 | 1800 | 540 | 111.3\% |
| 7/1 | Circ North Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 34 | - | 727 | 1800 | 1050 | 63.4\% |
| 7/2 | Circ North Right Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 34 | - | 1044 | 1800 | 1050 | 91.4\% |
| 8/1 | Circ East Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 24 | - | 722 | 1900 | 792 | 83.9\% |
| 8/2 | Circ East Right Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 24 | - | 722 | 1900 | 792 | 87.9\% |

## Full Input Data And Results

| 9/1 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 722 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/2 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1060 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 857 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 202 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 446 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/2 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 0 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 807 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 0 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results

| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay <br> Per PCU <br> (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.1 | 106.7 | 0.0 | 136.7 | - | - | - | - |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.1 | 106.7 | 0.0 | 136.7 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/2+1/1 | 744 | 744 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 6.2 | - | 9.7 | 46.8 | 11.8 | 6.2 | 18.0 |
| 2/1 | 653 | 653 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 0.8 | - | 2.1 | 11.7 | 6.7 | 0.8 | 7.5 |
| 2/2 | 601 | 601 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 0.6 | - | 1.8 | 11.0 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 6.6 |
| 3/1 | 572 | 572 | - | - | - | 1.5 | 0.7 | - | 2.3 | 14.2 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 7.2 |
| 3/2 | 1044 | 960 | - | - | - | 6.5 | 47.5 | - | 53.9 | 186.0 | 18.8 | 47.5 | 66.3 |
| 4/1 | 80 | 80 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | 0.5 | 22.5 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| 4/2 | 400 | 400 | - | - | - | 2.4 | 3.5 | - | 5.9 | 53.3 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 9.8 |
| 5/1 | 204 | 204 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.4 | - | 0.7 | 11.6 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 |
| 5/2 | 202 | 202 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | 0.7 | 12.4 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
| 6/1 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6/2 | 601 | 540 | - | - | - | 4.1 | 34.8 | - | 38.9 | 232.9 | 11.5 | 34.8 | 46.3 |
| 7/1 | 666 | 666 | - | - | - | 3.0 | 0.9 | - | 3.8 | 20.8 | 10.6 | 0.9 | 11.4 |
| 7/2 | 960 | 960 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 4.8 | - | 5.2 | 19.5 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 5.8 |
| 8/1 | 664 | 664 | - | - | - | 3.6 | 2.5 | - | 6.1 | 32.9 | 11.1 | 2.5 | 13.6 |
| 8/2 | 696 | 696 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 3.4 | - | 5.1 | 26.5 | 5.9 | 3.4 | 9.3 |
| 9/1 | 664 | 664 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9/2 | 1034 | 1034 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/1 | 857 | 857 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/2 | 202 | 202 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/1 | 446 | 446 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/2 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/1 | 746 | 746 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/2 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Full Input Data And Results

Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr) Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr)
Total Delay Over All Lanes $(\mathrm{pcuHr})$
15.48

Cycle Time (s): Cycle Time (s): Cycle Time (s): Cycle Time (s):

Full Input Data And Results
Full Input Data And Results

## User and Project Details

| Project: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title: |  |
| Location: |  |
| Additional detail: |  |
| File name: | A3 (M) J3 - Prohibited left turn from offside lane of A3 (south) approach .lsg3x |
| Author: |  |
| Company: |  |
| Address: |  |

## Network Layout Diagram



## Phase Diagram



Phase Input Data

| Phase Name | Phase Type | Assoc. Phase | Street Min | Cont Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| B | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |

Phase Intergreens Matrix

|  | Starting Phase |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Terminating <br> Phase |  | A |  |
|  | B | 5 |  |
|  | B | 5 |  |

## Phases in Stage

| Stage No. | Phases in Stage |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | A |
| 2 | $B$ |

Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Diagram



## Phase Delays

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

There are no Phase Delays defined

Prohibited Stage Change


Full Input Data And Results
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right Turn Storage (PCU) | Non-Blocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 6/1 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 13/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 9/1 (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 13/1 | 0.33 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 9/2 (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 13/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 1 \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert } \end{gathered}$Road) | 8/1 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 10/1 | 0.33 | To 8/2 (Ahead) | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 11/1 <br> (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 10/1 | 1.09 | To 8/2 (Ahead) To 11/1 (Right) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 11/2 (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 10/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
| (A3 (M) Southbound) <br> (A3 (M) Southbound)   <br> 4/2   | 12/1 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 11/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 12/2 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 11/2 | 0.33 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | 11/1 | 0.33 | All |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Lane Input Data

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane <br> Type | Phases | Start Disp. | End Disp. | Physical Length (PCU) | Sat <br> Flow <br> Type | Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr) | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Turns | Turning Radius (m) |
| $1 / 1$(Hulbert Road) | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 <br> Left | Inf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 9 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| 1/2 (Hulbert Road) | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| 2/1 <br> (A3 (M) <br> Northbound) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 |
| 2/2 (A3 (M) Northbound) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 10 <br> Ahead | 126.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 1 \\ \text { (B2150 } \\ \text { Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left <br> Arm 11 <br> Ahead | $645.00$ <br> Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (B2150 } \\ \text { Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 <br> Ahead | 122.00 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) <br> Southbound) | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 |
| 4/2 <br> (A3 (M) <br> Southbound) | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 |
| 5/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9/1 | U | A | 2 | 3 | 20.9 | Geom | - | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 <br> Ahead | 111.00 |
| 9/2 | U | A | 2 | 3 | 20.9 | Geom | - | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 <br> Ahead <br> Arm 10 <br> Right | $\begin{aligned} & 127.00 \\ & 70.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 19.1 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 27.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 27.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Full Input Data And Results

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: '2026 DM AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 2: '2026 DM PM' | 17:00 | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 3: '2026 DS1 AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 4: '2026 DS1 PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 5: '2026 DS2 AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 6: '2026 DS2 PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |

Scenario 1: '2026 DM AM' (FG1: '2026 DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 15 | 257 | 404 | 676 |  |
|  | B | 42 | 0 | 1063 | 0 | 1105 |  |
|  | C | 853 | 399 | 0 | 574 | 1826 |  |
|  | D | 733 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 985 |  |
|  | Tot. | 1628 | 414 | 1572 | 978 | 4592 |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 1: 2026 DM AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 245 |
| 1/2 | 431 |
| 2/1 | 1063 |
| 2/2 | 42 |
| 3/1 | 841 |
| 3/2 | 985 |
| 4/1 | 733 |
| 4/2 | 252 |
| 5/1 | 1628 |
| 6/1 | 215 |
| 6/2 | 199 |
| 7/1 | 1506 |
| 7/2 | 66 |
| 8/1 | 574 |
| 8/2 | 404 |
| 9/1 | 443 |
| 9/2 | 470 |
| 10/1 | 446 |
| 11/1 | 288 |
| 11/2 | 1006 |
| 12/1 | 1628 |
| 12/2 | 651 |
| 13/1 | 651 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 6.1 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 93.9 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $2 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Northbound) | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3 \text { (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 100.0 \% | 2091 | 2091 |
| $3 / 1$ <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left Arm 11 Ahead | $\begin{gathered} 645.00 \\ \mathrm{Inf} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.3 \% \\ & 31.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1993 | 1993 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 3/2 } \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| 4/2 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow <br> Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 9/1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0\% | 1992 | 1992 |
| 9/2 | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead Arm 10 Right | $\begin{aligned} & 127.00 \\ & 70.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \% \\ & 86.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2113 | 2113 |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: '2026 DM PM' (FG2: '2026 DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 48 | 464 | 141 | 653 |  |
|  | B | 19 | 0 | 1141 | 0 | 1160 |  |
|  | C | 52 | 703 | 0 | 818 | 1573 |  |
|  | D | 1150 | 0 | 314 | 0 | 1464 |  |
|  | Tot. | 1221 | 751 | 1919 | 959 | 4850 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 2: 2026 DM PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 323 |
| 1/2 | 330 |
| 2/1 | 1141 |
| 2/2 | 19 |
| 3/1 | 818 |
| 3/2 | 755 |
| 4/1 | 1150 |
| 4/2 | 314 |
| 5/1 | 1221 |
| 6/1 | 400 |
| 6/2 | 351 |
| 7/1 | 1583 |
| 7/2 | 336 |
| 8/1 | 818 |
| 8/2 | 141 |
| 9/1 | 442 |
| 9/2 | 477 |
| 10/1 | 160 |
| 11/1 | 10 |
| 11/2 | 764 |
| 12/1 | 1221 |
| 12/2 | 1017 |
| 13/1 | 1017 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 14.9 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 85.1 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $2 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Northbound) | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3 \text { (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 100.0 \% | 2091 | 2091 |
| $3 / 1$ <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left Arm 11 Ahead | $\begin{gathered} 645.00 \\ \mathrm{Inf} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 0.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | 1991 | 1991 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 3/2 } \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3 \text { (M) Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 9/1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0 \% | 1992 | 1992 |
| 9/2 | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead Arm 10 Right | $\begin{aligned} & 127.00 \\ & 70.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.4 \% \\ & 29.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2124 | 2124 |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: '2026 DS1 AM' (FG3: '2026 DS1 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 15 | 290 | 415 | 720 |  |
|  | B | 42 | 0 | 1160 | 0 | 1202 |  |
|  | C | 851 | 358 | 0 | 603 | 1812 |  |
|  | D | 741 | 0 | 223 | 0 | 964 |  |
|  | Tot. | 1634 | 373 | 1673 | 1018 | 4698 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 3: 2026 DS1 AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 277 |
| 1/2 | 443 |
| 2/1 | 1160 |
| 2/2 | 42 |
| 3/1 | 837 |
| 3/2 | 975 |
| 4/1 | 741 |
| 4/2 | 223 |
| 5/1 | 1634 |
| 6/1 | 194 |
| 6/2 | 179 |
| 7/1 | 1610 |
| 7/2 | 63 |
| 8/1 | 603 |
| 8/2 | 415 |
| 9/1 | 450 |
| 9/2 | 478 |
| 10/1 | 457 |
| 11/1 | 255 |
| 11/2 | 996 |
| 12/1 | 1634 |
| 12/2 | 581 |
| 13/1 | 581 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 5.4 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 94.6\% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $2 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Northbound) | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3 \text { (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 100.0 \% | 2091 | 2091 |
| $3 / 1$ <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left Arm 11 Ahead | $\begin{gathered} 645.00 \\ \mathrm{Inf} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.0 \% \\ & 28.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1993 | 1993 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 3/2 } \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3 \text { (M) Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 9/1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0\% | 1992 | 1992 |
| 9/2 | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead Arm 10 Right | $\begin{aligned} & 127.00 \\ & 70.00 \end{aligned}$ | $13.2 \%$ $86.8 \text { \% }$ | 2112 | 2112 |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: '2026 DS1 PM' (FG4: '2026 DS1 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 48 | 457 | 211 | 716 |  |
|  | B | 19 | 0 | 1226 | 0 | 1245 |  |
|  | C | 56 | 703 | 0 | 641 | 1400 |  |
|  | D | 1155 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 1447 |  |
|  | Tot. | 1230 | 751 | 1975 | 852 | 4808 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 4: 2026 DS1 PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 333 |
| 1/2 | 383 |
| 2/1 | 1226 |
| 2/2 | 19 |
| 3/1 | 677 |
| 3/2 | 723 |
| 4/1 | 1155 |
| 4/2 | 292 |
| 5/1 | 1230 |
| 6/1 | 400 |
| 6/2 | 351 |
| 7/1 | 1689 |
| 7/2 | 286 |
| 8/1 | 641 |
| 8/2 | 211 |
| 9/1 | 463 |
| 9/2 | 497 |
| 10/1 | 230 |
| 11/1 | 46 |
| 11/2 | 732 |
| 12/1 | 1230 |
| 12/2 | 995 |
| 13/1 | 995 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 14.4 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 85.6 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $2 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Northbound) | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3 \text { (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 100.0 \% | 2091 | 2091 |
| $3 / 1$ <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left Arm 11 Ahead | $\begin{gathered} 645.00 \\ \mathrm{Inf} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94.7 \% \\ 5.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | 1992 | 1992 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 3/2 } \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3 \text { (M) Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 9/1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0\% | 1992 | 1992 |
| 9/2 | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead Arm 10 Right | $\begin{aligned} & 127.00 \\ & 70.00 \end{aligned}$ | $57.5 \%$ <br> $42.5 \%$ | 2121 | 2121 |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 5: '2026 DS2 AM' (FG5: '2026 DS2 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 15 | 289 | 416 | 720 |  |
|  | B | 42 | 0 | 1154 | 0 | 1196 |  |
|  | C | 849 | 360 | 0 | 604 | 1813 |  |
|  | D | 740 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 964 |  |
|  | Tot. | 1631 | 375 | 1667 | 1020 | 4693 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 5: 2026 DS2 AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 274 |
| 1/2 | 446 |
| 2/1 | 1154 |
| 2/2 | 42 |
| 3/1 | 837 |
| 3/2 | 976 |
| 4/1 | 740 |
| 4/2 | 224 |
| 5/1 | 1631 |
| 6/1 | 195 |
| 6/2 | 180 |
| 7/1 | 1605 |
| 7/2 | 62 |
| 8/1 | 604 |
| 8/2 | 416 |
| 9/1 | 451 |
| 9/2 | 478 |
| 10/1 | 458 |
| 11/1 | 254 |
| 11/2 | 997 |
| 12/1 | 1631 |
| 12/2 | 584 |
| 13/1 | 584 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 5.5 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 94.5 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $2 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Northbound) | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3 \text { (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 100.0 \% | 2091 | 2091 |
| $3 / 1$ <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left Arm 11 Ahead | $\begin{gathered} 645.00 \\ \mathrm{Inf} \end{gathered}$ | $72.2 \text { \% }$ $27.8 \text { \% }$ | 1993 | 1993 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 3/2 } \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| 4/2 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow <br> Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 9/1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0 \% | 1992 | 1992 |
| 9/2 | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead Arm 10 Right | $\begin{aligned} & 127.00 \\ & 70.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0 \% \\ & 87.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2112 | 2112 |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 6: '2026 DS2 PM' (FG6: '2026 DS2 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 48 | 450 | 211 | 709 |  |
|  | B | 19 | 0 | 1233 | 0 | 1252 |  |
|  | C | 58 | 703 | 0 | 643 | 1404 |  |
|  | D | 1159 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 1449 |  |
|  | Tot. | 1236 | 751 | 1973 | 854 | 4814 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 6: <br> 2026 DS2 PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| $1 / 1$ | 330 |
| $1 / 2$ | 379 |
| $2 / 1$ | 1233 |
| $2 / 2$ | 19 |
| $3 / 1$ | 677 |
| $3 / 2$ | 727 |
| $4 / 1$ | 1159 |
| $4 / 2$ | 290 |
| $5 / 1$ | 1236 |
| $6 / 1$ | 400 |
| $6 / 2$ | 351 |
| $7 / 1$ | 1693 |
| $7 / 2$ | 280 |
| $8 / 1$ | 693 |
| $8 / 2$ | 211 |
| $9 / 1$ | 460 |
| $13 / 2$ | 230 |
| $10 / 1$ | 736 |
| $11 / 1$ | $2 / 1$ |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 14.5 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 85.5 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{2 / 1}{\text { (A3 (M) }} \text { (1) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 100.0 \% | 2091 | 2091 |
| 3/1 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left Arm 11 Ahead | $\begin{gathered} 645.00 \\ \mathrm{Inf} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95.0 \% \\ 5.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | 1992 | 1992 |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| $4 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \text { Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow <br> Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 9/1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0 \% | 1992 | 1992 |
| 9/2 | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead Arm 10 Right | $\begin{aligned} & 127.00 \\ & 70.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.0 \% \\ & 43.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2121 | 2121 |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Scenario 1: '2026 DM AM' (FG1: '2026 DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 14 | 36 |
| Change Point | 0 | 19 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.0\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.0\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 245 | 1990 | 803 | 30.5\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 431 | 2130 | 803 | 53.7\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 36 | - | 1063 | 1997 | 1231 | 86.3\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 36 | - | 42 | 2091 | 1289 | 3.3\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 841 | 1993 | 719 | 117.0\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 985 | 2119 | 853 | 115.5\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 733 | 1939 | 917 | 79.9\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 252 | 2094 | 629 | 40.0\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1628 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 215 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 199 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1506 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 66 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 574 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 404 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 443 | 1992 | 498 | 89.0\% |
| 9/2 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 470 | 2113 | 528 | 89.0\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 446 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 288 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1006 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1628 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 651 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 651 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: '2026 DM PM' (FG2: '2026 DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 14 | 36 |
| Change Point | 0 | 19 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 115.4\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 115.4\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 323 | 1990 | 664 | 48.6\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 330 | 2130 | 664 | 49.7\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 36 | - | 1141 | 1997 | 1231 | 92.7\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 36 | - | 19 | 2091 | 1289 | 1.5\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 818 | 1991 | 953 | 85.8\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 755 | 2119 | 947 | 79.7\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1150 | 1939 | 996 | 115.4\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 314 | 2094 | 744 | 42.2\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1221 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 400 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 351 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1583 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 336 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 818 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 141 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 442 | 1992 | 498 | 88.8\% |
| 9/2 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 477 | 2124 | 531 | 89.8\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 160 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 10 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 764 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1221 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1017 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1017 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: '2026 DS1 AM' (FG3: '2026 DS1 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 14 | 36 |
| Change Point | 0 | 19 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 116.8\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 116.8\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 277 | 1990 | 823 | 33.6\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 443 | 2130 | 823 | 53.8\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 36 | - | 1160 | 1997 | 1231 | 94.2\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 36 | - | 42 | 2091 | 1289 | 3.3\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 837 | 1993 | 716 | 116.8\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 975 | 2119 | 849 | 114.9\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 741 | 1939 | 927 | 80.0\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 223 | 2094 | 640 | 34.9\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1634 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 194 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 179 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1610 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 63 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 603 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 415 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 450 | 1992 | 498 | 90.4\% |
| 9/2 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 478 | 2112 | 528 | 90.5\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 457 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 255 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 996 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1634 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 581 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 581 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: '2026 DS1 PM' (FG4: '2026 DS1 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 14 | 36 |
| Change Point | 0 | 19 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.3\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.3\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 333 | 1990 | 671 | 49.6\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 383 | 2130 | 671 | 57.0\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 36 | - | 1226 | 1997 | 1231 | 99.6\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 36 | - | 19 | 2091 | 1289 | 1.5\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 677 | 1992 | 888 | 76.2\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 723 | 2119 | 924 | 78.3\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1155 | 1939 | 985 | 117.3\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 292 | 2094 | 743 | 39.3\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1230 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 400 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 351 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1689 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 286 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 641 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 211 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 463 | 1992 | 498 | 93.0\% |
| 9/2 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 497 | 2121 | 530 | 93.7\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 230 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 46 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 732 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1230 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 995 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 995 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 5: '2026 DS2 AM' (FG5: '2026 DS2 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 14 | 36 |
| Change Point | 0 | 19 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 116.9\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 116.9\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 274 | 1990 | 823 | 33.3\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 446 | 2130 | 823 | 54.2\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 36 | - | 1154 | 1997 | 1231 | 93.7\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 36 | - | 42 | 2091 | 1289 | 3.3\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 837 | 1993 | 716 | 116.9\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 976 | 2119 | 849 | 115.0\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 740 | 1939 | 927 | 79.8\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 224 | 2094 | 640 | 35.0\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1631 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 195 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 180 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1605 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 62 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 604 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 416 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 451 | 1992 | 498 | 90.6\% |
| 9/2 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 478 | 2112 | 528 | 90.5\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 458 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 254 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 997 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1631 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 584 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 584 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 6: '2026 DS2 PM' (FG6: '2026 DS2 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 14 | 36 |
| Change Point | 0 | 19 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.6\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.6\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 330 | 1990 | 672 | 49.1\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 379 | 2130 | 672 | 56.4\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 36 | - | 1233 | 1997 | 1231 | 100.1\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 36 | - | 19 | 2091 | 1289 | 1.5\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 677 | 1992 | 886 | 76.4\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 727 | 2119 | 924 | 78.7\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1159 | 1939 | 985 | 117.6\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 290 | 2094 | 742 | 39.1\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1236 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 400 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 351 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1693 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 280 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 643 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 211 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 460 | 1992 | 498 | 92.4\% |
| 9/2 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 14 | - | 491 | 2121 | 530 | 92.6\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 230 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 44 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 736 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1236 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 993 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 993 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Full Input Data And Results

## User and Project Details

| Project: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title: |  |
| Location: |  |
| Additional detail: |  |
| File name: | A3 (M) J3 - Permitted left turn from offside lane of A3 (south) approach.Isg3x |
| Author: |  |
| Company: |  |
| Address: |  |

## Network Layout Diagram



## Phase Diagram



Phase Input Data

| Phase Name | Phase Type | Assoc. Phase | Street Min | Cont Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| B | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |

Phase Intergreens Matrix

|  | Starting Phase |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Terminating <br> Phase |  | A |  |
|  | B | 5 |  |
|  | B | 5 |  |

## Phases in Stage

| Stage No. | Phases in Stage |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | A |
| 2 | $B$ |

Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Diagram



## Phase Delays

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

There are no Phase Delays defined

Prohibited Stage Change


Full Input Data And Results
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right Turn Storage (PCU) | Non-Blocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 6/1 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 13/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 9/1 (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 13/1 | 0.33 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 9/2 (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 13/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 1 \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert } \end{gathered}$Road) | 8/1 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 10/1 | 0.33 | To 8/2 (Ahead) | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 11/1 <br> (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 10/1 | 1.09 | To 8/2 (Ahead) To 11/1 (Right) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 11/2 (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 10/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
| (A3 (M) Southbound) <br> (A3 (M) Southbound)   <br> 4/2   | 12/1 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 11/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 12/2 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 11/2 | 0.33 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | 11/1 | 0.33 | All |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Lane Input Data

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Type | Phases | Start <br> Disp. | End Disp. | Physical Length (PCU) | Sat Flow Type | Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr) | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Turns | Turning Radius (m) |
| 1/1 (Hulbert Road) | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 9 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 1 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ <br> Northbound) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ <br> Northbound) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 <br> Left <br> Arm 10 <br> Ahead | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inf } \\ 126.00 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 1 \\ \text { (B2150 } \\ \text { Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left | 645.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 11 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (B2150 } \\ \text { Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 <br> Ahead | 122.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 1 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \\ \text { Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ (A 3(M) \\ \text { Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 |
| 5/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9/1 | U | A | 2 | 3 | 20.9 | Geom | - | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 <br> Ahead | 111.00 |
| 9/2 | U | A | 2 | 3 | 20.9 | Geom | - | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 <br> Ahead | 127.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 |
| 10/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 19.1 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 27.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 27.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Full Input Data And Results

| $13 / 1$ | U |  | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | $\operatorname{lnf}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: '2026 DM AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 2: '2026 DM PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 3: '2026 DS1 AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 4: '2026 DS1 PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 5: '2026 DS2 AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 6: '2026 DS2 PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |

Scenario 1: '2026 DM AM' (FG1: '2026 DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 15 | 257 | 404 | 676 |  |
|  | B | 42 | 0 | 1063 | 0 | 1105 |  |
|  | C | 853 | 399 | 0 | 574 | 1826 |  |
|  | D | 733 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 985 |  |
|  | Tot. | 1628 | 414 | 1572 | 978 | 4592 |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 1: 2026 DM AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 244 |
| 1/2 | 432 |
| 2/1 | 526 |
| 2/2 | 579 |
| 3/1 | 842 |
| 3/2 | 984 |
| 4/1 | 733 |
| 4/2 | 252 |
| 5/1 | 1628 |
| 6/1 | 215 |
| 6/2 | 199 |
| 7/1 | 969 |
| 7/2 | 603 |
| 8/1 | 574 |
| 8/2 | 404 |
| 9/1 | 443 |
| 9/2 | 470 |
| 10/1 | 446 |
| 11/1 | 289 |
| 11/2 | 1005 |
| 12/1 | 1628 |
| 12/2 | 651 |
| 13/1 | 651 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 6.1 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 93.9 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{2 / 1}{\text { (A3 (M) }} \text { Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Left | Inf | 92.7 \% | 2114 | 2114 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 7.3 \% |  |  |
| 3/1 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) <br> 3/2 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left | 645.00 | 68.2 \% | 1993 | 1993 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 11 Ahead | Inf | 31.8 \% |  |  |
|  | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| $4 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow <br> Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 9/1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0\% | 1992 | 1992 |
| 9/2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |  | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 14.0 \% | 2113 | 2113 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 86.0 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: '2026 DM PM' (FG2: '2026 DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 48 | 464 | 141 | 653 |  |
|  | B | 19 | 0 | 1141 | 0 | 1160 |  |
|  | C | 52 | 703 | 0 | 818 | 1573 |  |
|  | D | 1150 | 0 | 314 | 0 | 1464 |  |
|  | Tot. | 1221 | 751 | 1919 | 959 | 4850 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 2: 2026 DM PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 327 |
| 1/2 | 326 |
| 2/1 | 563 |
| 2/2 | 597 |
| 3/1 | 818 |
| 3/2 | 755 |
| 4/1 | 1150 |
| 4/2 | 314 |
| 5/1 | 1221 |
| 6/1 | 400 |
| 6/2 | 351 |
| 7/1 | 997 |
| 7/2 | 922 |
| 8/1 | 818 |
| 8/2 | 141 |
| 9/1 | 434 |
| 9/2 | 485 |
| 10/1 | 160 |
| 11/1 | 10 |
| 11/2 | 764 |
| 12/1 | 1221 |
| 12/2 | 1017 |
| 13/1 | 1017 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 14.7 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 85.3 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $2 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Northbound) | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \text { Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Left | Inf | 96.8 \% | 2115 | 2115 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 3.2 \% |  |  |
| 3/1 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) <br> 3/2 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left | 645.00 | 100.0\% | 1991 | 1991 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 11 Ahead | Inf | 0.0 \% |  |  |
|  | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \text { Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 9/1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0\% | 1992 | 1992 |
| 9/2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |  | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 70.9 \% | 2124 | 2124 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 29.1 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: '2026 DS1 AM' (FG3: '2026 DS1 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 15 | 290 | 415 | 720 |  |
|  | B | 42 | 0 | 1160 | 0 | 1202 |  |
|  | C | 851 | 358 | 0 | 603 | 1812 |  |
|  | D | 741 | 0 | 223 | 0 | 964 |  |
|  | Tot. | 1634 | 373 | 1673 | 1018 | 4698 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 3: <br> 2026 DS1 AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| $1 / 1$ | 276 |
| $1 / 2$ | 444 |
| $2 / 1$ | 574 |
| $2 / 2$ | 628 |
| $3 / 1$ | 837 |
| $3 / 2$ | 975 |
| $4 / 1$ | 741 |
| $4 / 2$ | 223 |
| $5 / 1$ | 1634 |
| $6 / 1$ | 194 |
| $6 / 2$ | 179 |
| $7 / 1$ | 1025 |
| $7 / 2$ | 681 |
| $8 / 1$ | 481 |
| $8 / 2$ | 4537 |
| $9 / 1$ | 415 |
| $9 / 2$ | 451 |
| $10 / 1$ | 255 |
| $11 / 1$ | 996 |
| $11 / 2$ | $12 / 1$ |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 5.4 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 94.6 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $\stackrel{2 / 1}{(\text { A3 (M) }} \stackrel{2}{\text { Northbound) }}$ | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { (A3 (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Left | Inf | 93.3 \% | 2114 | 2114 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 6.7 \% |  |  |
| $3 / 1$ (B2150 Hulbert Road) <br> 3/2 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left | 645.00 | 72.0 \% | 1993 | 1993 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 11 Ahead | Inf | 28.0 \% |  |  |
|  | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| $4 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow <br> Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow <br> Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 9/1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0\% | 1992 | 1992 |
| 9/2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |  | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 13.0 \% | 2112 | 2112 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 87.0 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: '2026 DS1 PM' (FG4: '2026 DS1 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 48 | 457 | 211 | 716 |  |
|  | B | 19 | 0 | 1226 | 0 | 1245 |  |
|  | C | 56 | 703 | 0 | 641 | 1400 |  |
|  | D | 1155 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 1447 |  |
|  | Tot. | 1230 | 751 | 1975 | 852 | 4808 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 4: 2026 DS1 PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 358 |
| 1/2 | 358 |
| 2/1 | 598 |
| 2/2 | 647 |
| 3/1 | 684 |
| 3/2 | 716 |
| 4/1 | 1155 |
| 4/2 | 292 |
| 5/1 | 1230 |
| 6/1 | 400 |
| 6/2 | 351 |
| 7/1 | 1057 |
| 7/2 | 918 |
| 8/1 | 641 |
| 8/2 | 211 |
| 9/1 | 459 |
| 9/2 | 501 |
| 10/1 | 230 |
| 11/1 | 53 |
| 11/2 | 725 |
| 12/1 | 1230 |
| 12/2 | 995 |
| 13/1 | 995 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 13.4 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 86.6 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $2 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Northbound) | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \text { Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Left | Inf | 97.1 \% | 2115 | 2115 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 2.9 \% |  |  |
| 3/1 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) <br> 3/2 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left | 645.00 | 93.7\% | 1992 | 1992 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 11 Ahead | Inf | 6.3 \% |  |  |
|  | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \text { Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 9/1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0\% | 1992 | 1992 |
| 9/2 | 4.00 | 0.00 |  | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 57.9 \% | 2121 | 2121 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 42.1 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 5: '2026 DS2 AM' (FG5: '2026 DS2 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 15 | 289 | 416 | 720 |  |
|  | B | 42 | 0 | 1154 | 0 | 1196 |  |
|  | C | 849 | 360 | 0 | 604 | 1813 |  |
|  | D | 740 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 964 |  |
|  | Tot. | 1631 | 375 | 1667 | 1020 | 4693 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 5: 2026 DS2 AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 274 |
| 1/2 | 446 |
| 2/1 | 571 |
| 2/2 | 625 |
| 3/1 | 838 |
| 3/2 | 975 |
| 4/1 | 740 |
| 4/2 | 224 |
| 5/1 | 1631 |
| 6/1 | 195 |
| 6/2 | 180 |
| 7/1 | 1022 |
| 7/2 | 645 |
| 8/1 | 604 |
| 8/2 | 416 |
| 9/1 | 451 |
| 9/2 | 478 |
| 10/1 | 458 |
| 11/1 | 255 |
| 11/2 | 996 |
| 12/1 | 1631 |
| 12/2 | 584 |
| 13/1 | 584 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 5.5 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 94.5 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $2 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Northbound) | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Left | Inf | 93.3 \% | 2114 | 2114 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 6.7 \% |  |  |
| $3 / 1$ (B2150 Hulbert Road) <br> 3/2 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left | 645.00 | 72.1 \% | 1993 | 1993 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 11 Ahead | Inf | 27.9 \% |  |  |
|  | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| $4 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 9/1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0\% | 1992 | 1992 |
| 9/2 | 4.00 | 0.00 | $\mathrm{N}$ | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 13.0 \% | 2112 | 2112 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 87.0 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 6: '2026 DS2 PM' (FG6: '2026 DS2 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 48 | 450 | 211 | 709 |  |
|  | B | 19 | 0 | 1233 | 0 | 1252 |  |
|  | C | 58 | 703 | 0 | 643 | 1404 |  |
|  | D | 1159 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 1449 |  |
|  | Tot. | 1236 | 751 | 1973 | 854 | 4814 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 6: 2026 DS2 PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 354 |
| 1/2 | 355 |
| 2/1 | 601 |
| 2/2 | 651 |
| 3/1 | 685 |
| 3/2 | 719 |
| 4/1 | 1159 |
| 4/2 | 290 |
| 5/1 | 1236 |
| 6/1 | 400 |
| 6/2 | 351 |
| 7/1 | 1056 |
| 7/2 | 917 |
| 8/1 | 643 |
| 8/2 | 211 |
| 9/1 | 455 |
| 9/2 | 496 |
| 10/1 | 230 |
| 11/1 | 52 |
| 11/2 | 728 |
| 12/1 | 1236 |
| 12/2 | 993 |
| 13/1 | 993 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 13.6 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 86.4 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $2 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Northbound) | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{2 / 2}{\text { (A3 (M) }} \text { Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Left | Inf | 97.1 \% | 2115 | 2115 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 2.9 \% |  |  |
| $3 / 1$ (B2150 Hulbert Road) <br> 3/2 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left | 645.00 | 93.9 \% | 1992 | 1992 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 11 Ahead | Inf | 6.1 \% |  |  |
|  | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| $4 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 9/1 | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0\% | 1992 | 1992 |
| 9/2 | 4.00 | 0.00 | $N$ | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 57.5 \% | 2121 | 2121 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 42.5 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 1: '2026 DM AM' (FG1: '2026 DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 15 | 35 |
| Change Point | 0 | 20 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Time in cycle (sec)

Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.9\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.9\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 244 | 1990 | 802 | 30.4\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 432 | 2130 | 802 | 53.8\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 35 | - | 526 | 1997 | 1198 | 43.9\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 35 | - | 579 | 2114 | 1268 | 45.6\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 842 | 1993 | 714 | 117.9\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 984 | 2119 | 853 | 115.4\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 733 | 1939 | 918 | 79.9\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 252 | 2094 | 630 | 40.0\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1628 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 215 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 199 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 969 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 603 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 574 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 404 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 15 | - | 443 | 1992 | 531 | 83.4\% |
| 9/2 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 15 | - | 470 | 2113 | 563 | 83.4\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 446 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 289 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1005 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1628 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 651 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 651 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: '2026 DM PM' (FG2: '2026 DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 15 | 35 |
| Change Point | 0 | 20 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 115.4\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 115.4\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 327 | 1990 | 664 | 49.2\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 326 | 2130 | 664 | 49.1\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 35 | - | 563 | 1997 | 1198 | 47.0\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 35 | - | 597 | 2115 | 1269 | 47.0\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 818 | 1991 | 953 | 85.8\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 755 | 2119 | 947 | 79.7\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1150 | 1939 | 996 | 115.4\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 314 | 2094 | 744 | 42.2\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1221 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 400 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 351 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 997 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 922 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 818 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 141 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 15 | - | 434 | 1992 | 531 | 81.7\% |
| 9/2 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 15 | - | 485 | 2124 | 566 | 85.6\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 160 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 10 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 764 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1221 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1017 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1017 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: '2026 DS1 AM' (FG3: '2026 DS1 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 15 | 35 |
| Change Point | 0 | 20 |

## Signal Timings Diagram

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \mathbb{\otimes} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | , | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 | , |  |
|  |  | 0 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | $5: 15$ | 2 |  | : 3 |  |  |  |
|  | B | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |  |
|  | Time in cycle (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.3\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.3\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 276 | 1990 | 823 | 33.5\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 444 | 2130 | 823 | 53.9\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 35 | - | 574 | 1997 | 1198 | 47.9\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 35 | - | 628 | 2114 | 1268 | 49.5\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 837 | 1993 | 713 | 117.3\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 975 | 2119 | 849 | 114.9\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 741 | 1939 | 927 | 79.9\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 223 | 2094 | 640 | 34.8\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1634 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 194 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 179 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1025 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 648 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 603 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 415 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 15 | - | 451 | 1992 | 531 | 84.9\% |
| 9/2 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 15 | - | 477 | 2112 | 563 | 84.7\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 457 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 255 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 996 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1634 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 581 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 581 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: '2026 DS1 PM' (FG4: '2026 DS1 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 15 | 35 |
| Change Point | 0 | 20 |

## Signal Timings Diagram

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \mathbb{\otimes} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | , | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 | , |  |
|  |  | 0 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | $5: 15$ | 2 |  | : 3 |  |  |  |
|  | B | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |  |
|  | Time in cycle (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.6\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.6\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 358 | 1990 | 671 | 53.3\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 358 | 2130 | 671 | 53.3\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 35 | - | 598 | 1997 | 1198 | 49.9\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 35 | - | 647 | 2115 | 1269 | 51.0\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 684 | 1992 | 887 | 77.1\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 716 | 2119 | 924 | 77.5\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1155 | 1939 | 982 | 117.6\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 292 | 2094 | 743 | 39.3\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1230 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 400 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 351 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1057 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 918 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 641 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 211 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 15 | - | 459 | 1992 | 531 | 86.4\% |
| 9/2 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 15 | - | 501 | 2121 | 566 | 88.6\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 230 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 53 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 725 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1230 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 995 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 995 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 5: '2026 DS2 AM' (FG5: '2026 DS2 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 15 | 35 |
| Change Point | 0 | 20 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.5\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117.5\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 274 | 1990 | 822 | 33.3\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 446 | 2130 | 822 | 54.2\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 35 | - | 571 | 1997 | 1198 | 47.7\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 35 | - | 625 | 2114 | 1268 | 49.3\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 838 | 1993 | 713 | 117.5\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 975 | 2119 | 849 | 114.9\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 740 | 1939 | 927 | 79.8\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 224 | 2094 | 640 | 35.0\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1631 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 195 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 180 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1022 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 645 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 604 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 416 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 15 | - | 451 | 1992 | 531 | 84.9\% |
| 9/2 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 15 | - | 478 | 2112 | 563 | 84.9\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 458 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 255 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 996 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1631 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 584 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 584 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results


Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 6: '2026 DS2 PM' (FG6: '2026 DS2 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 15 | 35 |
| Change Point | 0 | 20 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 118.0\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 118.0\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 354 | 1990 | 672 | 52.7\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 355 | 2130 | 672 | 52.8\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 35 | - | 601 | 1997 | 1198 | 50.2\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 35 | - | 651 | 2115 | 1269 | 51.3\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 685 | 1992 | 885 | 77.4\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 719 | 2119 | 924 | 77.8\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1159 | 1939 | 983 | 118.0\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 290 | 2094 | 742 | 39.1\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1236 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 400 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 351 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1056 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 917 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 643 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 211 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 15 | - | 455 | 1992 | 531 | 85.7\% |
| 9/2 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 15 | - | 496 | 2121 | 566 | 87.7\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 230 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 52 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 728 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1236 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 993 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 993 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
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Assessment Outputs

## Junctions 9

## ARCADY 9 -Roundabout Module
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## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | Los | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | Los |
|  | [Lane Simulation] - Alternative DM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | D3 | 6.8 | 17.79 |  | C | D4 | 5.3 | 15.95 |  | C |
| Arm 2 |  | 0.9 | 5.48 |  | A |  | 2.8 | 9.48 |  | A |
| Arm 3 |  | 3.6 | 8.35 |  | A |  | 1.9 | 6.32 |  | A |
| Arm 4 |  | 0.5 | 4.94 |  | A |  | 1.3 | 6.61 |  | A |
|  | [Lane Simulation]-Alternative DS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | D5 | 4.9 | 13.57 |  | B | D6 | 4.5 | 14.27 |  | B |
| Arm 2 |  | 1.1 | 5.60 |  | A |  | 6.8 | 16.72 |  | C |
| Arm 3 |  | 3.4 | 8.62 |  | A |  | 2.2 | 6.65 |  | A |
| Arm 4 |  | 0.5 | 4.71 |  | A |  | 1.5 | 6.69 |  | A |

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.
Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per amiving vehicle. Arm and junction delays are averages for all movements, including movements with zero delay.

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Junction 2, A3(M) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location |  |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $26 / 09 / 2019$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 62100616 |
| Enumerator | CORP\UKA.JTO09 |
| Description |  |

## Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | PCU | PCU | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |

Arm 4


Arm 2

[^11]The junction diagram reflects the last run of Junctions.

THE FUTURE

## Analysis Options

| Vehicle length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Calculate Queue <br> Percentiles | Calculate detailed queueing <br> delay | Calculate residual <br> capacity | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay <br> threshold $(\mathbf{s})$ | Queue threshold <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  |  | 0.85 | 38.00 | 20.00 |

## Lane Simulation options

| Criteria type | Stop criteria (\%) | Stop criteria time (5) | Stop criteria number of trials | Random seed | Results refresh speed (s) | Individual vehicle animation number of trials | Average animation capture interval (s) | Use quick response | Do flow sampling | Suppress automatic lane creation | Last run random seed | Last run number of trials | Last run time taken (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delay | 1.00 | 100000 | 100000 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 60 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 1553187562 | 109 | 14.17 |

Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | Alternative DM | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D4 | Alternative DM | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |
| D5 | Alternative DS | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |  |
| D6 | Alternative DS | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |

Analysis Set Details

| ID | Use Lane Simulation | Include in report | Network flow scaling factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 100.000 | 100.000 |

THE FUTURE OF TRANSPORT

## Alternative DM, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 10.92 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Dell Piece East |  |
| 2 | A $3(\mathrm{M})$ south |  |
| 3 | B2149 Dell Piece West |  |
| 4 | A3(M) north |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{r}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R}$ - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.50 | 7.60 | 23.4 | 45.0 | 125.0 | 7.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 6.00 | 6.20 | 0.1 | 999.0 | 125.0 | 5.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.50 | 8.50 | 28.4 | 50.0 | 125.0 | 10.0 |  |
| 4 | 6.00 | 6.50 | 22.0 | 999.0 | 125.0 | 5.0 |  |

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1093 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1048 | 165.00 |
| 3 | 233 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 839 | 150.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.891 | 2671 |
| 2 | 0.914 | 2342 |
| 3 | 1.100 | 3017 |
| 4 | 0.994 | 2574 |

[^12]Lane Simulation: Arm options

| Arm | Lane capacity source | Traffic considering secondary lanes (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |

## Lanes

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Has limited storage | Storage (PCU) | Has bottleneck | Minimum capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Signalised |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | $\checkmark$ | 5.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | $\checkmark$ | 5.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | $\checkmark$ | 8.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | $\checkmark$ | 8.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |

Entry Lane slope and intercept

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.445 | 1335 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.445 | 1335 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.457 | 1171 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.457 | 1171 |
| $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{3}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.550 | 1509 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.550 | 1509 |
| $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{4}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.497 | 1287 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.497 | 1287 |

Summary of Entry Lane allowed movements

| Arm | Lane Level | Lane | Destination arm |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  |  | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | Alternative DM | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1056 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 456 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1178 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 294 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 489 | 214 | 353 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 199 | 2 | 254 | 1 |
|  | 3 | 323 | 597 | 2 | 256 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 175 | 1 | 118 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 17.79 | 6.8 | C | 967 | 1450 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.48 | 0.9 | A | 415 | 622 |
| 3 | 8.35 | 3.6 | A | 1083 | 1624 |
| 4 | 4.94 | 0.5 | A | 287 | 401 |

Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 797 | 199 | 550 | 797 | 793 | 529 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 6.550 | A |
| 2 | 340 | 85 | 530 | 339 | 344 | 817 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.853 | A |
| 3 | 894 | 223 | 425 | 896 | 889 | 444 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 4.831 | A |
| 4 | 230 | 57 | 850 | 229 | 224 | 472 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.527 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 941 | 235 | 657 | 940 | 938 | 628 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 8.287 | A |
| 2 | 410 | 102 | 621 | 408 | 411 | 976 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 5.073 | A |
| 3 | 1085 | 286 | 507 | 1088 | 1059 | 521 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 5.681 | A |
| 4 | 263 | 66 | 1021 | 264 | 263 | 555 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.745 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1157 | 289 | 802 | 1153 | 1150 | 772 | 2.6 | 5.9 | 16.083 | C |
| 2 | 494 | 124 | 757 | 496 | 494 | 1198 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.484 | A |
| 3 | 1310 | 328 | 608 | 1312 | 1301 | 645 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 7.756 | A |
| 4 | 316 | 79 | 1256 | 318 | 324 | 663 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 4.943 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1168 | 292 | 782 | 1147 | 1153 | 751 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 17.789 | C |
| 2 | 491 | 123 | 748 | 489 | 493 | 1182 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 5.387 | A |
| 3 | 1287 | 322 | 599 | 1287 | 1295 | 638 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 8.347 | A |
| 4 | 316 | 79 | 1217 | 316 | 323 | 689 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4.690 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 945 | 236 | 634 | 943 | 973 | 621 | 6.8 | 2.6 | 10.427 | B |
| 2 | 408 | 102 | 599 | 411 | 416 | 978 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 5.214 | A |
| 3 | 1050 | 263 | 488 | 1050 | 1053 | 522 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 5.996 | A |
| 4 | 258 | 64 | 997 | 259 | 284 | 542 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.658 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 791 | 198 | 537 | 785 | 795 | 533 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 6.333 | A |
| 2 | 344 | 86 | 517 | 346 | 343 | 804 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4.820 | A |
| 3 | 890 | 223 | 422 | 892 | 893 | 442 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 4.847 | A |
| 4 | 222 | 55 | 847 | 223 | 219 | 486 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.392 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment
07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 524 | 1091 | 0.481 | 524 | 526 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 6.848 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 272 | 1091 | 0.249 | 273 | 287 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.859 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 797 |  |  | 796 | 798 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.369 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 529 |  |  | 529 | 525 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 188 | 1001 | 0.187 | 187 | 192 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.941 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 152 | 1001 | 0.152 | 153 | 151 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.743 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 817 |  |  | 817 | 818 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 442 | 1275 | 0.347 | 443 | 438 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.751 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 451 | 1275 | 0.354 | 453 | 453 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.878 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 894 |  |  | 894 | 893 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.015 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 444 |  |  | 444 | 447 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 135 | 1000 | 0.135 | 135 | 131 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.492 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 94 | 1000 | 0.094 | 94 | 93 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.578 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 472 |  |  | 472 | 460 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 617 | 1047 | 0.589 | 617 | 617 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 8.258 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 325 | 1047 | 0.310 | 323 | 320 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 5.328 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 941 |  |  | 942 | 942 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.028 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 628 |  |  | 628 | 624 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 224 | 1000 | 0.224 | 223 | 228 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.278 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 185 | 1000 | 0.185 | 185 | 183 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.816 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 976 |  |  | 976 | 975 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 520 | 1230 | 0.423 | 520 | 514 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.397 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 545 | 1230 | 0.443 | 548 | 545 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 5.803 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1085 |  |  | 1085 | 1081 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.076 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 521 |  |  | 521 | 522 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 156 | 1000 | 0.156 | 156 | 158 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 4.771 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 107 | 1000 | 0.107 | 108 | 106 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.705 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 555 |  |  | 555 | 549 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 762 | 1011 | 0.754 | 762 | 770 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 11.531 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 393 | 1011 | 0.389 | 391 | 380 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 6.612 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1157 |  |  | 1156 | 1155 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 6.119 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 772 |  |  | 772 | 769 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 278 | 1000 | 0.278 | 278 | 275 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.619 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 216 | 1000 | 0.216 | 217 | 220 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 5.315 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1198 |  |  | 1198 | 1202 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 637 | 1174 | 0.543 | 636 | 633 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 7.139 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 674 | 1174 | 0.574 | 675 | 687 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 7.692 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1310 |  |  | 1311 | 1307 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.332 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 645 |  |  | 645 | 641 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 192 | 1000 | 0.192 | 192 | 196 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 5.000 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 124 | 1000 | 0.124 | 125 | 128 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 4.858 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 663 |  |  | 663 | 657 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 769 | 1012 | 0.759 | 768 | 788 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 11.850 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 380 | 1012 | 0.376 | 381 | 385 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 6.513 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1188 |  |  | 1149 | 1153 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 7.709 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 751 |  |  | 751 | 786 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 272 | 1000 | 0.272 | 271 | 274 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 5.588 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 219 | 1000 | 0.219 | 218 | 219 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 5.137 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1182 |  |  | 1182 | 1186 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 640 | 1179 | 0.543 | 640 | 644 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 7.919 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 647 | 1179 | 0.549 | 647 | 651 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 7.665 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1287 |  |  | 1287 | 1296 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.551 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 638 |  |  | 638 | 639 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 182 | 1000 | 0.182 | 183 | 193 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.924 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 133 | 1000 | 0.133 | 133 | 130 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.346 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 689 |  |  | 689 | 673 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 638 | 1056 | 0.602 | 634 | 653 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 9.306 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 309 | 1056 | 0.293 | 310 | 321 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 5.728 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 945 |  |  | 945 | 988 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 2.396 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 621 |  |  | 621 | 631 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 233 | 1000 | 0.233 | 232 | 231 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 5.345 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 176 | 1000 | 0.176 | 179 | 185 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 5.051 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 978 |  |  | 978 | 988 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 520 | 1240 | 0.419 | 518 | 520 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 5.816 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 530 | 1240 | 0.427 | 531 | 532 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 6.015 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1050 |  |  | 1050 | 1046 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.089 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 522 |  |  | 522 | 534 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 158 | 1000 | 0.158 | 159 | 160 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.676 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 100 | 1000 | 0.100 | 100 | 105 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.632 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 542 |  |  | 542 | 553 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 521 | 1096 | 0.475 | 515 | 527 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 6.546 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 270 | 1096 | 0.246 | 270 | 288 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 4.995 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 791 |  |  | 790 | 792 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.327 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 533 |  |  | 533 | 529 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 194 | 1001 | 0.194 | 194 | 192 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.918 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 150 | 1001 | 0.150 | 152 | 151 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.695 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 804 |  |  | 804 | 818 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1.4 | 445 | 1277 | 0.348 | 445 | 443 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 4.708 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 446 | 1277 | 0.349 | 446 | 451 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 4.948 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 890 |  |  | 890 | 891 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.018 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 442 |  |  | 442 | 439 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 133 | 1000 | 0.133 | 133 | 132 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.418 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 89 | 1000 | 0.089 | 90 | 87 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.351 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 468 |  |  | 486 | 464 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

THE FUTURE OF TRANSPORT

## Alternative DM, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 9.94 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1093 | 0.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1048 | 165.00 |
| 3 | 233 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 839 | 150.00 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
[same as above]
Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D4 | Alternative DM | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 932 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1005 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 833 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 641 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 370 | 335 | 227 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 449 | 0 | 556 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 249 | 396 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 183 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 392 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 246 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 15.95 | 5.3 | C | 859 | 1289 |
| 2 | 9.48 | 2.8 | A | 920 | 1380 |
| 3 | 6.32 | 1.9 | A | 760 | 1140 |
| 4 | 6.61 | 1.3 | A | 593 | 889 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 714 | 179 | 494 | 714 | 695 | 835 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 6.308 | A |
| 2 | 755 | 189 | 623 | 757 | 748 | 585 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 6.432 | A |
| 3 | 627 | 157 | 522 | 626 | 621 | 858 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 4.370 | A |
| 4 | 493 | 123 | 837 | 492 | 487 | 310 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.643 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 850 | 213 | 586 | 848 | 834 | 992 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 8.291 | A |
| 2 | 909 | 227 | 734 | 910 | 898 | 700 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 7.456 | A |
| 3 | 746 | 187 | 612 | 746 | 744 | 1031 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 5.071 | A |
| 4 | 586 | 146 | 989 | 589 | 584 | 370 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 5.953 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1023 | 256 | 721 | 1016 | 1011 | 1204 | 2.2 | 4.9 | 14.378 | B |
| 2 | 1100 | 275 | 889 | 1103 | 1093 | 848 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 9.395 | A |
| 3 | 932 | 233 | 733 | 925 | 921 | 1260 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 6.322 | A |
| 4 | 711 | 178 | 1213 | 713 | 712 | 445 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 6.583 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1040 | 280 | 723 | 1024 | 1020 | 1190 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 15.951 | C |
| 2 | 1096 | 274 | 893 | 1101 | 1096 | 855 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 9.481 | A |
| 3 | 907 | 227 | 741 | 912 | 916 | 1253 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 6.249 | A |
| 4 | 707 | 177 | 1202 | 710 | 712 | 451 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 6.608 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 821 | 205 | 562 | 820 | 839 | 988 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 9.201 | A |
| 2 | 910 | 228 | 715 | 913 | 909 | 687 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 7.836 | A |
| 3 | 731 | 183 | 604 | 733 | 750 | 1024 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 5.258 | A |
| 4 | 567 | 142 | 982 | 588 | 584 | 355 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 5.878 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 705 | 176 | 476 | 706 | 708 | 828 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 6.370 | A |
| 2 | 748 | 187 | 615 | 745 | 751 | 567 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 6.649 | A |
| 3 | 619 | 155 | 511 | 614 | 613 | 850 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 4.413 | A |
| 4 | 495 | 124 | 809 | 494 | 491 | 317 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 5.503 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 539 | 1115 | 0.483 | 538 | 525 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 6.573 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1,4 | 176 | 1115 | 0.158 | 176 | 170 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.271 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 714 |  |  | 715 | 701 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.300 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 835 |  |  | 835 | 822 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 410 | 1000 | 0.410 | 411 | 409 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 6.783 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 346 | 1000 | 0.346 | 346 | 338 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 6.007 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 585 |  |  | 585 | 574 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 322 | 1222 | 0.284 | 321 | 319 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.412 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 305 | 1222 | 0.249 | 305 | 302 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.326 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 627 |  |  | 627 | 625 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 858 |  |  | 858 | 851 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 302 | 1000 | 0.302 | 303 | 298 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 6.140 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 192 | 1000 | 0.192 | 189 | 189 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.880 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 310 |  |  | 310 | 304 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 643 | 1075 | 0.598 | 642 | 630 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 8.031 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 208 | 1075 | 0.194 | 206 | 205 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.616 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 850 |  |  | 851 | 837 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.088 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 992 |  |  | 992 | 984 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 507 | 1000 | 0.507 | 504 | 496 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 7.848 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 402 | 1000 | 0.402 | 406 | 403 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 6.974 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 700 |  |  | 700 | 690 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1. 4 | 398 | 1172 | 0.329 | 388 | 386 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 5.253 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 361 | 1172 | 0.308 | 380 | 358 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 4.851 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 746 |  |  | 746 | 744 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.011 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1031 |  |  | 1031 | 1017 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 362 | 1000 | 0.362 | 383 | 359 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 6.370 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 223 | 1000 | 0.223 | 226 | 224 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 5.288 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 370 |  |  | 370 | 389 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 772 | 1026 | 0.752 | 773 | 768 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 11.310 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 244 | 1026 | 0.238 | 243 | 245 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.270 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1023 |  |  | 1016 | 1016 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 4.510 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1204 |  |  | 1204 | 1192 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 613 | 1000 | 0.613 | 613 | 606 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 10.515 | B |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 487 | 1000 | 0.487 | 490 | 488 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 8.004 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 848 |  |  | 848 | 843 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1,4 | 489 | 1107 | 0.442 | 484 | 479 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 6.531 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 442 | 1107 | 0.400 | 442 | 442 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.950 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 932 |  |  | 931 | 924 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.088 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1280 |  |  | 1280 | 1250 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 431 | 1000 | 0.431 | 433 | 432 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 7.320 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 279 | 1000 | 0.279 | 279 | 279 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.447 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 445 |  |  | 445 | 451 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 779 | 1025 | 0.760 | 775 | 770 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 11.705 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 249 | 1025 | 0.243 | 249 | 250 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 5.288 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1040 |  |  | 1028 | 1019 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 5.794 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1190 |  |  | 1190 | 1204 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 606 | 1000 | 0.606 | 610 | 600 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 10.829 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 491 | 1000 | 0.491 | 492 | 496 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 7.853 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 855 |  |  | 855 | 844 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 467 | 1102 | 0.423 | 472 | 474 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 6.258 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 440 | 1102 | 0.399 | 440 | 443 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 6.203 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 907 |  |  | 907 | 915 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.018 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1253 |  |  | 1253 | 1247 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 425 | 1000 | 0.425 | 427 | 433 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 7.143 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 282 | 1000 | 0.282 | 283 | 279 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.779 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 451 |  |  | 451 | 448 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms |  | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 628 | 1085 | 0.577 | 625 | 635 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 8.586 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 194 | 1085 | 0.179 | 194 | 204 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.672 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 821 |  |  | 820 | 834 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 1.642 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 988 |  |  | 988 | 987 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 506 | 1000 | 0.506 | 504 | 505 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 8.523 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 404 | 1000 | 0.404 | 409 | 404 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 6.977 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 667 |  |  | 667 | 695 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 383 | 1177 | 0.328 | 385 | 385 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 5.249 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 347 | 1177 | 0.295 | 348 | 385 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 5.248 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 731 |  |  | 731 | 749 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.009 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1024 |  |  | 1024 | 1034 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 352 | 1000 | 0.352 | 354 | 359 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 6.339 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 214 | 1000 | 0.214 | 214 | 225 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 5.145 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 355 |  |  | 355 | 386 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity <br> ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 529 | 1123 | 0.471 | 531 | 535 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 6.524 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 175 | 1123 | 0.156 | 175 | 174 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.421 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 705 |  |  | 704 | 705 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.372 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 828 |  |  | 826 | 822 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 412 | 1000 | 0.412 | 410 | 414 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 7.110 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 338 | 1000 | 0.336 | 336 | 337 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 6.081 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 567 |  |  | 567 | 567 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1,4 | 328 | 1228 | 0.286 | 324 | 322 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 4.504 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 293 | 1228 | 0.238 | 290 | 290 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.309 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 619 |  |  | 619 | 613 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.002 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 850 |  |  | 850 | 884 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 309 | 1000 | 0.309 | 308 | 300 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.783 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 188 | 1000 | 0.185 | 188 | 192 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 5.064 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 317 |  |  | 317 | 311 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## Alternative DS, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 9.46 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Norma//unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1093 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1048 | 165.00 |
| 3 | 233 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 839 | 150.00 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
[same as above]
Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D5 | Alternative DS | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1016 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 479 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1183 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 288 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 455 | 209 | 352 |
|  | 2 | 197 | 2 | 279 | 1 |
|  | 3 | 330 | 642 | 2 | 209 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 159 | 1 | 126 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 13.57 | 4.9 | B | 937 | 1405 |
| 2 | 5.60 | 1.1 | A | 432 | 648 |
| 3 | 8.62 | 3.4 | A | 1083 | 1625 |
| 4 | 4.71 | 0.5 | A | 285 | 397 |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 766 | 192 | 571 | 767 | 757 | 524 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 6.266 | A |
| 2 | 357 | 89 | 521 | 358 | 381 | 818 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.925 | A |
| 3 | 874 | 219 | 419 | 875 | 890 | 460 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 5.017 | A |
| 4 | 221 | 55 | 877 | 219 | 216 | 417 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.541 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 901 | 225 | 701 | 900 | 903 | 623 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 8.331 | A |
| 2 | 430 | 107 | 629 | 431 | 431 | 971 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.147 | A |
| 3 | 1086 | 286 | 499 | 1088 | 1057 | 561 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 5.673 | A |
| 4 | 267 | 67 | 1057 | 267 | 262 | 510 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.614 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1136 | 284 | 846 | 1135 | 1114 | 788 | 2.1 | 4.9 | 13.567 | B |
| 2 | 517 | 129 | 770 | 519 | 525 | 1211 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 5.555 | A |
| 3 | 1304 | 326 | 608 | 1307 | 1305 | 681 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 8.622 | A |
| 4 | 319 | 80 | 1296 | 318 | 311 | 619 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.686 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1134 | 284 | 859 | 1132 | 1122 | 757 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 13.301 | B |
| 2 | 520 | 130 | 775 | 524 | 524 | 1217 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 5.596 | A |
| 3 | 1311 | 328 | 618 | 1306 | 1302 | 681 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 8.215 | A |
| 4 | 314 | 78 | 1301 | 314 | 313 | 622 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 4.708 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 918 | 230 | 681 | 921 | 928 | 611 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 8.849 | A |
| 2 | 425 | 106 | 633 | 421 | 430 | 970 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 5.252 | A |
| 3 | 1061 | 285 | 492 | 1058 | 1081 | 561 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 6.049 | A |
| 4 | 255 | 64 | 1036 | 257 | 256 | 514 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.560 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 765 | 191 | 576 | 768 | 770 | 510 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 6.556 | A |
| 2 | 344 | 88 | 531 | 344 | 358 | 814 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 4.866 | A |
| 3 | 884 | 221 | 419 | 885 | 892 | 456 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 5.037 | A |
| 4 | 213 | 53 | 872 | 214 | 218 | 432 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.421 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 504 | 1082 | 0.465 | 505 | 498 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 6.585 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 262 | 1082 | 0.243 | 262 | 259 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.708 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 766 |  |  | 766 | 763 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.320 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 524 |  |  | 524 | 524 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 201 | 1000 | 0.201 | 202 | 206 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.984 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 156 | 1000 | 0.156 | 157 | 155 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.873 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 818 |  |  | 818 | 822 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 403 | 1279 | 0.315 | 403 | 409 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.871 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 472 | 1279 | 0.369 | 472 | 481 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.128 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 874 |  |  | 874 | 895 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.007 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 480 |  |  | 480 | 481 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 122 | 1000 | 0.122 | 121 | 120 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.456 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 99 | 1000 | 0.099 | 98 | 96 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.646 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 417 |  |  | 417 | 418 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 582 | 1035 | 0.562 | 582 | 587 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 8.283 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 317 | 1035 | 0.306 | 317 | 315 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.575 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 901 |  |  | 898 | 904 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.998 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 623 |  |  | 623 | 619 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 249 | 1000 | 0.249 | 250 | 249 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 5.256 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 180 | 1000 | 0.180 | 182 | 181 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.997 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 971 |  |  | 971 | 971 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 488 | 1234 | 0.394 | 487 | 484 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.189 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 580 | 1234 | 0.470 | 580 | 572 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 5.978 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1086 |  |  | 1086 | 1080 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.056 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 561 |  |  | 561 | 556 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 149 | 1000 | 0.149 | 149 | 145 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 4.690 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 118 | 1000 | 0.118 | 118 | 117 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.520 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 510 |  |  | 510 | 506 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 748 | 1004 | 0.745 | 748 | 730 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 10.938 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 386 | 1004 | 0.384 | 387 | 385 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 6.231 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1136 |  |  | 1134 | 1120 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 4.228 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 768 |  |  | 768 | 757 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 297 | 1000 | 0.297 | 298 | 305 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.874 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 220 | 1000 | 0.220 | 220 | 220 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 5.114 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1211 |  |  | 1211 | 1209 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 600 | 1174 | 0.511 | 602 | 594 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 7.001 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 702 | 1174 | 0.598 | 705 | 711 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 8.678 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1304 |  |  | 1302 | 1308 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.700 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 681 |  |  | 681 | 675 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 180 | 1000 | 0.180 | 179 | 175 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.704 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 138 | 1000 | 0.138 | 139 | 138 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.618 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 619 |  |  | 619 | 614 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 741 | 1005 | 0.737 | 742 | 737 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 10.904 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 391 | 1005 | 0.389 | 390 | 386 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 6.546 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1134 |  |  | 1132 | 1122 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 3.907 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 757 |  |  | 757 | 755 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 295 | 1000 | 0.295 | 296 | 302 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5.847 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 225 | 1000 | 0.225 | 228 | 222 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 5.253 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1217 |  |  | 1217 | 1216 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 595 | 1169 | 0.509 | 592 | 591 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 6.721 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 712 | 1169 | 0.609 | 713 | 711 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 8.538 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1311 |  |  | 1307 | 1303 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.499 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 681 |  |  | 681 | 675 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 170 | 1000 | 0.170 | 170 | 175 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.744 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 144 | 1000 | 0.144 | 145 | 138 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.663 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 622 |  |  | 622 | 616 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 604 | 1040 | 0.581 | 606 | 604 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 8.536 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 315 | 1040 | 0.303 | 316 | 324 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 5.886 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 918 |  |  | 919 | 924 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 1.286 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 611 |  |  | 611 | 615 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 246 | 1000 | 0.246 | 244 | 250 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.553 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 179 | 1000 | 0.179 | 176 | 180 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 4.837 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 970 |  |  | 970 | 988 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 499 | 1238 | 0.403 | 495 | 487 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 5.585 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 563 | 1238 | 0.455 | 562 | 574 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 6.317 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1081 |  |  | 1081 | 1057 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.082 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 561 |  |  | 561 | 556 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 139 | 1000 | 0.139 | 140 | 143 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.581 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 116 | 1000 | 0.116 | 117 | 113 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.532 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 514 |  |  | 514 | 518 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 496 | 1079 | 0.460 | 499 | 506 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 6.781 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 270 | 1079 | 0.251 | 270 | 263 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.128 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 765 |  |  | 767 | 767 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.347 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 510 |  |  | 510 | 520 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 195 | 1000 | 0.195 | 195 | 206 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 5.082 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 150 | 1000 | 0.150 | 149 | 153 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.575 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 814 |  |  | 814 | 830 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1,4 | 408 | 1278 | 0.319 | 409 | 406 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 4.789 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 476 | 1278 | 0.372 | 476 | 488 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 5.228 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 884 |  |  | 884 | 889 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.011 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 456 |  |  | 456 | 467 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 115 | 1000 | 0.115 | 116 | 121 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.489 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 99 | 1000 | 0.099 | 99 | 97 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.381 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 432 |  |  | 432 | 421 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## Alternative DS, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 11.85 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1093 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1048 | 165.00 |
| 3 | 233 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 839 | 150.00 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
[same as above]
Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]
Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D6 | Alternative DS | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 914 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1161 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 904 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 614 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 369 | 318 | 227 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 418 | 0 | 743 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 254 | 421 | 5 | 224 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 400 | 3 | 211 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 14.27 | 4.5 | B | 845 | 1288 |
| 2 | 16.72 | 6.8 | C | 1071 | 1606 |
| 3 | 6.65 | 2.2 | A | 835 | 1252 |
| 4 | 6.69 | 1.5 | A | 506 | 849 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 690 | 172 | 474 | 687 | 686 | 817 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.878 | A |
| 2 | 859 | 215 | 559 | 862 | 875 | 603 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 7.624 | A |
| 3 | 677 | 189 | 476 | 677 | 685 | 944 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 4.513 | A |
| 4 | 471 | 118 | 823 | 469 | 471 | 330 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 5.770 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 824 | 206 | 571 | 824 | 820 | 974 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 7.810 | A |
| 2 | 1062 | 286 | 682 | 1056 | 1048 | 713 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 10.500 | B |
| 3 | 824 | 206 | 580 | 820 | 799 | 1158 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 5.020 | A |
| 4 | 542 | 136 | 1004 | 542 | 550 | 395 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 5.842 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1040 | 260 | 688 | 1045 | 1007 | 1207 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 12.506 | B |
| 2 | 1292 | 323 | 840 | 1293 | 1283 | 893 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 16.723 | C |
| 3 | 995 | 249 | 724 | 998 | 988 | 1409 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 6.654 | A |
| 4 | 675 | 169 | 1217 | 678 | 676 | 505 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 6.527 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1004 | 251 | 688 | 999 | 1009 | 1170 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 14.272 | B |
| 2 | 1297 | 324 | 835 | 1289 | 1282 | 850 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 16.688 | C |
| 3 | 999 | 250 | 710 | 1002 | 985 | 1414 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 6.648 | A |
| 4 | 670 | 167 | 1192 | 685 | 677 | 519 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 6.687 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm |  | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 808 | 202 | 601 | 802 | 816 | 1003 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 8.399 | A |
| 2 | 1043 | 261 | 691 | 1043 | 1062 | 713 | 6.8 | 2.9 | 10.796 | B |
| 3 | 848 | 212 | 587 | 846 | 828 | 1147 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 5.445 | A |
| 4 | 579 | 145 | 1024 | 581 | 560 | 410 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 5.919 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 708 | 177 | 474 | 712 | 698 | 814 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 6.233 | A |
| 2 | 874 | 218 | 579 | 881 | 878 | 608 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 8.675 | A |
| 3 | 688 | 167 | 499 | 689 | 682 | 961 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 4.560 | A |
| 4 | 458 | 114 | 828 | 460 | 463 | 339 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 5.437 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.
Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 524 | 1124 | 0.466 | 523 | 513 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 6.242 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 166 | 1124 | 0.148 | 165 | 173 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.117 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 690 |  |  | 690 | 690 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.173 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 817 |  |  | 817 | 814 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 553 | 1000 | 0.553 | 551 | 558 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 8.533 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 306 | 1000 | 0.306 | 311 | 317 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 6.001 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 603 |  |  | 603 | 599 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 356 | 1247 | 0.285 | 357 | 360 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.618 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 321 | 1247 | 0.257 | 320 | 325 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.395 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 677 |  |  | 677 | 689 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 944 |  |  | 944 | 962 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 317 | 1000 | 0.317 | 313 | 306 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 6.181 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 154 | 1000 | 0.154 | 155 | 165 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 5.016 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 330 |  |  | 330 | 342 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 617 | 1081 | 0.571 | 620 | 621 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 7.884 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 204 | 1081 | 0.188 | 203 | 199 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.443 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 824 |  |  | 821 | 822 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.752 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 974 |  |  | 974 | 981 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 687 | 1000 | 0.687 | 680 | 673 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 12.581 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 375 | 1000 | 0.375 | 377 | 375 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 6.753 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 713 |  |  | 713 | 704 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 437 | 1190 | 0.367 | 435 | 423 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.978 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 387 | 1190 | 0.325 | 384 | 376 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 5.059 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 824 |  |  | 824 | 800 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.004 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1158 |  |  | 1158 | 1158 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 357 | 1000 | 0.357 | 355 | 358 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 6.353 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 186 | 1000 | 0.186 | 187 | 192 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.886 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 395 |  |  | 395 | 395 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 782 | 1034 | 0.757 | 785 | 757 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 10.466 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 265 | 1034 | 0.256 | 259 | 251 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 5.362 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1040 |  |  | 1047 | 1012 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 3.306 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1207 |  |  | 1207 | 1177 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 825 | 1000 | 0.825 | 829 | 825 | 2.6 | 5.4 | 21.803 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 467 | 1000 | 0.467 | 464 | 458 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 7.480 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 893 |  |  | 893 | 875 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 536 | 1111 | 0.482 | 537 | 522 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 6.847 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 459 | 1111 | 0.413 | 461 | 464 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 6.253 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 995 |  |  | 995 | 991 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.086 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1409 |  |  | 1409 | 1406 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 449 | 1000 | 0.449 | 451 | 441 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 7.292 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 227 | 1000 | 0.227 | 227 | 235 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 5.108 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 505 |  |  | 505 | 494 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 739 | 1034 | 0.714 | 738 | 755 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 11.255 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 261 | 1034 | 0.252 | 280 | 254 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 5.092 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 1004 |  |  | 999 | 1009 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 4.549 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1170 |  |  | 1170 | 1175 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 845 | 1000 | 0.845 | 840 | 822 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 21.949 | C |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 452 | 1000 | 0.452 | 450 | 480 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 7.348 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 850 |  |  | 850 | 870 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 541 | 1118 | 0.484 | 544 | 519 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 6.756 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 459 | 1118 | 0.410 | 458 | 465 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 6.375 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 999 |  |  | 998 | 984 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.068 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1414 |  |  | 1414 | 1404 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 439 | 1000 | 0.439 | 435 | 445 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 7.423 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 231 | 1000 | 0.231 | 228 | 233 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.284 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 519 |  |  | 519 | 503 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:45-18:00

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 597 | 1070 | 0.558 | 596 | 611 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 8.337 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 207 | 1070 | 0.194 | 206 | 205 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.831 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 808 |  |  | 804 | 812 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.981 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1003 |  |  | 1003 | 974 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 683 | 1000 | 0.683 | 683 | 683 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 13.188 | B |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 380 | 1000 | 0.380 | 381 | 378 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 6.505 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 713 |  |  | 713 | 711 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 449 | 1186 | 0.379 | 446 | 440 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 5.574 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 400 | 1186 | 0.337 | 402 | 386 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 5.285 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 848 |  |  | 848 | 823 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.011 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1147 |  |  | 1147 | 1164 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 380 | 1000 | 0.380 | 382 | 384 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 6.407 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 200 | 1000 | 0.200 | 200 | 195 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 5.014 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 410 |  |  | 410 | 414 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand $(\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr})$ (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 539 | 1124 | 0.480 | 542 | 530 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 6.439 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 4 | 170 | 1124 | 0.151 | 170 | 168 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.308 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 708 |  |  | 710 | 694 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.319 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 814 |  |  | 814 | 812 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 546 | 1001 | 0.546 | 552 | 562 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 10.310 | B |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 4 | 327 | 1001 | 0.327 | 329 | 317 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 5.819 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 608 |  |  | 608 | 596 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 356 | 1234 | 0.288 | 355 | 386 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 4.645 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 312 | 1234 | 0.253 | 314 | 316 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 4.450 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 688 |  |  | 688 | 679 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.005 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 961 |  |  | 961 | 973 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 297 | 1000 | 0.297 | 299 | 302 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 5.785 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 161 | 1000 | 0.161 | 161 | 162 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.772 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 339 |  |  | 339 | 341 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
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## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | Los | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | Los |
|  | [Lane Simulation] - Alternative DM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 1.4 | 5.35 |  | A | 1.1 | 5.46 |  | A |
| Arm 2 | 1.8 | 6.06 |  | A | 3.3 | 7.88 |  | A |
| Arm 3 | 41.9 | 57.57 |  | F | 2.5 | 5.14 |  | A |
| Arm 4 | 1.1 | 5.75 |  | A | 2.7 | 8.35 |  | A |
|  | [Lane Simulation] - Alternative DS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 1.3 | 5.45 |  | A | 1.7 | 5.95 |  | A |
| Arm 2 | 2.4 | 6.72 |  | A | 4.8 | 9.36 |  | A |
| Arm 3 | 33.8 | 46.61 |  | E | 1.8 | 5.13 |  | A |
| Arm 4 | 1.1 | 5.72 |  | A | 2.6 | 8.11 |  | A |

There are warnings associated with one or move model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.
Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Arm and junction delays are averages for all movements, including movements with zero delay.

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Junction 3, A3(M) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location |  |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $26 / 09 / 2019$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 62100616 |
| Enumerator | CORP\UKAJT009 |
| Description |  |

Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | PCU | PCU | perHour | $\mathbf{s}$ | -Min | perMin |

## Analysis Options

| Vehicle length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Calculate Queue <br> Percentiles | Calculate detailed queueing <br> delay | Calculate residual <br> capacity | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay <br> threshold (s) | Queue threshold <br> (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  |  | 0.85 | 38.00 | 20.00 |

Lane Simulation options

| Criteria type | Stop criteria (\%) | Stop criteria time (s) | Stop criteria number of trials | Random seed | Results refresh speed (5) | Individual vehicle animation number of trials | Average animation capture interval (s) | Use quick response | Do flow sampling | Suppress automatic lane creation | Last run random seed | Last run number of trials | Last run time taken (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delay | 1.00 | 100000 | 100000 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 60 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 1015540382 | 142 | 27.33 |

Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | Alternative DM | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |  |
| D4 | Alternative DM | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |  |
| D5 | Alternative DS | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D6 | Alternative DS | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Use Lane Simulation | Include in report | Network flow scaling factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Alternative DM, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 31.60 | $D$ |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Hulbert Road east |  |
| 2 | A3(M) south |  |
| 3 | Hulbert Road west |  |
| 4 | A3(M) north |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E - Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{r}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R}$ - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.10 | 7.50 | 24.9 | 40.0 | 145.0 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 6.00 | 6.90 | 5.7 | 50.0 | 145.0 | 5.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 7.60 | 7.60 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 145.0 | 4.0 |  |
| 4 | 6.50 | 6.50 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 145.0 | 26.0 |  |

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.762 | 2597 |
| 2 | 0.951 | 2551 |
| 3 | 1.208 | 3386 |
| 4 | 0.716 | 2207 |

[^13]Lane Simulation: Arm options

| Arm | Lane capacity source | Traffic considering secondary lanes (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Evenly split | 10.00 |

## Lanes

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Destination } \\ & \text { arms } \end{aligned}$ | Has limited storage | Storage (PCU) | Has bottleneck | Minimum capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum capacity (PCU/hr) | Signalised |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | $\checkmark$ | 4.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | $\checkmark$ | 4.00 |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 |  | Infinity |  | 1000 | 99999 |  |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  |  | Infinity |  |  |  |  |

Entry Lane slope and intercept

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.381 | 1298 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.381 | 1298 |
| 2 | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.476 | 1276 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.476 | 1276 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.604 | 1693 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.604 | 1693 |
| $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{4}$ | Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.358 | 1104 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.358 | 1104 |

Summary of Entry Lane allowed movements

| Arm | Lane Level | Lane | Destination arm |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  |  | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | Alternative DM | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 689 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 962 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 2128 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 524 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 15 | $\mathbf{4 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 325 | 1410 | 6 | 387 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 212 | 309 | 0 |  |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 5.35 | 1.4 | A | 615 | 923 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 6.06 | 1.8 | A | 872 | 1308 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 57.57 | 41.9 | F | 1959 | 2939 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 5.75 | 1.1 | A | 482 | 722 |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 501 | 125 | 1318 | 499 | 508 | 433 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 4.575 | A |
| 2 | 726 | 181 | 731 | 724 | 729 | 1086 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 4.890 | A |
| 3 | 1594 | 399 | 180 | 1605 | 1587 | 1274 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 6.318 | A |
| 4 | 402 | 101 | 1349 | 402 | 402 | 437 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.078 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 611 | 153 | 1553 | 612 | 601 | 531 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 4.874 | A |
| 2 | 885 | 216 | 883 | 885 | 886 | 1283 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 5.196 | A |
| 3 | 1929 | 482 | 220 | 1915 | 1903 | 1528 | 2.8 | 7.0 | 10.462 | B |
| 4 | 472 | 118 | 1610 | 475 | 470 | 525 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.352 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 736 | 184 | 1841 | 734 | 731 | 629 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 5.285 | A |
| 2 | 1048 | 262 | 1086 | 1053 | 1057 | 1509 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 5.940 | A |
| 3 | 2358 | 589 | 282 | 2280 | 2253 | 1858 | 7.0 | 29.5 | 33.104 | D |
| 4 | 576 | 144 | 1895 | 575 | 576 | 647 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 5.724 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm |  | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 738 | 184 | 1838 | 735 | 740 | 632 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 5.353 | A |
| 2 | 1031 | 258 | 1056 | 1035 | 1053 | 1517 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 6.059 | A |
| 3 | 2337 | 584 | 254 | 2289 | 2292 | 1836 | 29.5 | 41.9 | 57.588 | F |
| 4 | 574 | 143 | 1900 | 570 | 573 | 643 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 5.747 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 607 | 152 | 1622 | 609 | 605 | 517 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 4.889 | A |
| 2 | 846 | 212 | 875 | 846 | 885 | 1356 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 5.228 | A |
| 3 | 1926 | 481 | 216 | 1990 | 2046 | 1504 | 41.9 | 9.2 | 33.983 | D |
| 4 | 468 | 117 | 1671 | 468 | 476 | 536 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 5.350 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 498 | 124 | 1318 | 498 | 509 | 434 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 4.715 | A |
| 2 | 716 | 179 | 730 | 718 | 734 | 1088 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 4.915 | A |
| 3 | 1613 | 403 | 174 | 1618 | 1628 | 1273 | 9.2 | 3.1 | 8.422 | A |
| 4 | 397 | 99 | 1353 | 398 | 398 | 439 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 5.102 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 206 | 1000 | 0.206 | 205 | 208 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.193 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 295 | 1000 | 0.295 | 294 | 301 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.809 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 501 |  |  | 501 | 511 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.017 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 433 |  |  | 433 | 433 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 357 | 1001 | 0.356 | 356 | 357 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.822 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 389 | 1001 | 0.369 | 367 | 372 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.955 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1088 |  |  | 1088 | 1086 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 529 | 1584 | 0.334 | 531 | 532 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.885 | A |
|  | try |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1085 | 1584 | 0.672 | 1074 | 1054 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 7.545 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1274 |  |  | 1274 | 1287 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 161 | 1000 | 0.161 | 161 | 164 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.787 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 241 | 1000 | 0.241 | 241 | 238 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.278 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 437 |  |  | 437 | 440 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 244 | 1000 | 0.244 | 245 | 244 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.443 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 387 | 1000 | 0.387 | 367 | 357 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.081 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 611 |  |  | 611 | 601 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.053 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 531 |  |  | 531 | 525 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 431 | 1000 | 0.431 | 430 | 426 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 5.107 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 435 | 1000 | 0.435 | 435 | 440 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.282 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1283 |  |  | 1283 | 1271 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 643 | 1560 | 0.412 | 645 | 646 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 4.409 | A |
|  | try |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1286 | 1560 | 0.824 | 1270 | 1257 | 2.2 | 6.4 | 13.538 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1528 |  |  | 1528 | 1516 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 192 | 1000 | 0.192 | 194 | 191 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.986 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 280 | 1000 | 0.280 | 281 | 280 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 5.600 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 525 |  |  | 525 | 528 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 307 | 1000 | 0.307 | 307 | 304 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 4.823 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 429 | 1000 | 0.429 | 427 | 427 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 5.477 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 736 |  |  | 736 | 734 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.079 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 629 |  |  | 629 | 631 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 516 | 1000 | 0.516 | 519 | 518 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 5.889 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 532 | 1000 | 0.532 | 534 | 538 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 6.008 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1509 |  |  | 1509 | 1483 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 787 | 1535 | 0.513 | 787 | 785 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 5.273 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1571 | 1535 | 1.024 | 1493 | 1488 | 6.4 | 28.3 | 47.178 | E |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1858 |  |  | 1858 | 1881 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 230 | 1000 | 0.230 | 230 | 231 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 5.029 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 347 | 1000 | 0.347 | 345 | 345 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 6.192 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 647 |  |  | 647 | 642 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 308 | 1000 | 0.308 | 308 | 309 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4.823 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 429 | 1000 | 0.429 | 426 | 430 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 5.571 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 738 |  |  | 737 | 739 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.095 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 632 |  |  | 632 | 627 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 501 | 1000 | 0.501 | 501 | 516 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 6.009 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 530 | 1000 | 0.530 | 532 | 538 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 6.107 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1517 |  |  | 1517 | 1523 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1. 4 | 792 | 1540 | 0.514 | 791 | 787 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 5.551 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1545 | 1540 | 1.003 | 1498 | 1505 | 28.3 | 40.5 | 83.979 | F |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1836 |  |  | 1836 | 1884 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 236 | 1000 | 0.236 | 233 | 228 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.156 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 338 | 1000 | 0.338 | 337 | 345 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 6.140 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 643 |  |  | 643 | 644 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 247 | 1000 | 0.247 | 249 | 249 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.518 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 360 | 1000 | 0.380 | 380 | 356 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 5.099 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 607 |  |  | 607 | 603 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.029 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 517 |  |  | 517 | 519 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 411 | 1000 | 0.411 | 411 | 422 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 5.166 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 438 | 1000 | 0.436 | 435 | 443 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 5.287 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1356 |  |  | 1356 | 1417 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 649 | 1562 | 0.415 | 646 | 642 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 4.384 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1277 | 1562 | 0.817 | 1344 | 1404 | 40.5 | 8.3 | 48.826 | E |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1504 |  |  | 1504 | 1530 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 192 | 1000 | 0.192 | 192 | 191 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.832 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 276 | 1000 | 0.276 | 276 | 285 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 5.700 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 536 |  |  | 536 | 527 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 204 | 1000 | 0.204 | 204 | 205 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.319 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 294 | 1000 | 0.294 | 293 | 304 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 4.920 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 498 |  |  | 498 | 509 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.036 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 434 |  |  | 434 | 438 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 349 | 1002 | 0.349 | 349 | 359 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 4.918 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 367 | 1002 | 0.386 | 388 | 375 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 4.913 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1088 |  |  | 1088 | 1094 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 543 | 1588 | 0.342 | 543 | 544 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 3.922 | A |
|  | try |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1070 | 1588 | 0.674 | 1076 | 1084 | 8.3 | 2.6 | 10.727 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1273 |  |  | 1273 | 1289 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 157 | 1000 | 0.157 | 159 | 161 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.829 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 239 | 1000 | 0.239 | 240 | 237 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.287 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 439 |  |  | 439 | 449 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## Alternative DM, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 6.68 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Norma/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
[same as above]
Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]
Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D4 | Alternative DM | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 695 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1201 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1316 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 850 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 45 | 487 | 163 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 18 | 0 | 1183 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 291 | 736 | 26 | 283 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 326 | 0 | 524 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 3 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 5.46 | 1.1 | A | 638 | 957 |
| 2 | 7.88 | 3.3 | A | 1100 | 1650 |
| 3 | 5.14 | 2.5 | A | 1208 | 1812 |
| 4 | 8.35 | 2.7 | A | 786 | 1179 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 527 | 132 | 956 | 520 | 516 | 480 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 4.522 | A |
| 2 | 917 | 229 | 901 | 919 | 911 | 576 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 5.403 | A |
| 3 | 997 | 249 | 131 | 994 | 989 | 1689 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 3.617 | A |
| 4 | 637 | 159 | 800 | 636 | 639 | 323 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 5.933 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 644 | 161 | 1204 | 640 | 634 | 544 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 4.728 | A |
| 2 | 1091 | 273 | 1117 | 1093 | 1089 | 727 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 6.239 | A |
| 3 | 1211 | 303 | 157 | 1215 | 1182 | 2054 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 4.210 | A |
| 4 | 756 | 189 | 990 | 757 | 756 | 381 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 6.896 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 767 | 192 | 1438 | 774 | 773 | 718 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 5.458 | A |
| 2 | 1299 | 325 | 1322 | 1313 | 1304 | 889 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 7.881 | A |
| 3 | 1470 | 367 | 204 | 1469 | 1438 | 2431 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 5.144 | A |
| 4 | 948 | 237 | 1215 | 940 | 933 | 458 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 8.310 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 755 | 189 | 1408 | 755 | 767 | 713 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 5.352 | A |
| 2 | 1322 | 330 | 1309 | 1311 | 1300 | 852 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 7.655 | A |
| 3 | 1435 | 359 | 194 | 1431 | 1436 | 2427 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 5.011 | A |
| 4 | 957 | 239 | 1164 | 956 | 963 | 461 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 8.350 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 601 | 150 | 1157 | 608 | 618 | 546 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 4.793 | A |
| 2 | 1069 | 267 | 1071 | 1063 | 1076 | 693 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 6.392 | A |
| 3 | 1161 | 290 | 165 | 1163 | 1190 | 1969 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 4.188 | A |
| 4 | 765 | 191 | 943 | 760 | 762 | 385 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 7.039 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 532 | 133 | 962 | 532 | 534 | 473 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 4.779 | A |
| 2 | 904 | 226 | 923 | 904 | 910 | 573 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 5.276 | A |
| 3 | 973 | 243 | 134 | 971 | 974 | 1693 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 3.600 | A |
| 4 | 655 | 164 | 779 | 657 | 633 | 327 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 6.199 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 238 | 1001 | 0.238 | 235 | 233 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.296 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 289 | 1001 | 0.289 | 285 | 284 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.704 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 527 |  |  | 527 | 521 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.003 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 480 |  |  | 480 | 476 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 457 | 1000 | 0.457 | 457 | 455 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 5.232 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 458 | 1000 | 0.458 | 462 | 455 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 5.573 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 576 |  |  | 576 | 587 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1,4 | 429 | 1614 | 0.286 | 426 | 414 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.446 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 567 | 1614 | 0.351 | 566 | 575 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3.740 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1689 |  |  | 1689 | 1675 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 247 | 1000 | 0.247 | 247 | 246 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 5.250 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 389 | 1000 | 0.389 | 390 | 393 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 6.381 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 323 |  |  | 323 | 317 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 293 | 1000 | 0.293 | 293 | 288 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4.562 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 351 | 1000 | 0.351 | 348 | 347 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 4.834 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 644 |  |  | 644 | 634 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.012 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 544 |  |  | 544 | 554 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 540 | 1000 | 0.540 | 541 | 549 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 6.152 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 551 | 1000 | 0.551 | 553 | 540 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 6.327 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 727 |  |  | 727 | 704 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 504 | 1598 | 0.315 | 506 | 498 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 3.632 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 707 | 1598 | 0.443 | 709 | 684 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 4.628 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2054 |  |  | 2054 | 2020 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 285 | 1000 | 0.285 | 283 | 277 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.538 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 491 | 1000 | 0.491 | 495 | 479 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 7.698 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 381 |  |  | 381 | 384 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 346 | 1000 | 0.346 | 349 | 346 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.230 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 422 | 1000 | 0.422 | 425 | 427 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 5.471 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 767 |  |  | 768 | 773 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.098 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 718 |  |  | 718 | 694 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 681 | 1000 | 0.661 | 685 | 647 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 7.922 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 637 | 1000 | 0.637 | 649 | 657 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 7.841 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 889 |  |  | 889 | 862 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 612 | 1569 | 0.390 | 607 | 598 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 4.035 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 858 | 1569 | 0.547 | 862 | 840 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 5.935 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2431 |  |  | 2431 | 2432 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 389 | 1000 | 0.389 | 385 | 359 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 6.413 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 578 | 1000 | 0.578 | 576 | 574 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 9.484 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 458 |  |  | 458 | 460 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 346 | 1000 | 0.346 | 346 | 346 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.983 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 408 | 1000 | 0.408 | 408 | 421 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.420 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 755 |  |  | 755 | 767 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.138 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 713 |  |  | 713 | 703 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 671 | 1000 | 0.671 | 685 | 653 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 7.550 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 651 | 1000 | 0.651 | 646 | 647 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 7.760 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 852 |  |  | 852 | 852 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 608 | 1576 | 0.386 | 604 | 608 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 4.310 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 827 | 1576 | 0.525 | 825 | 828 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 5.523 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2427 |  |  | 2427 | 2444 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 372 | 1000 | 0.372 | 375 | 364 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 6.678 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 586 | 1000 | 0.586 | 581 | 599 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 9.362 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 461 |  |  | 461 | 486 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 259 | 1000 | 0.259 | 283 | 270 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.613 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 342 | 1000 | 0.342 | 345 | 348 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 4.905 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 601 |  |  | 601 | 617 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.016 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 546 |  |  | 546 | 564 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 531 | 1000 | 0.531 | 529 | 536 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 6.379 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 538 | 1000 | 0.538 | 534 | 540 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 6.404 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 693 |  |  | 693 | 704 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 481 | 1593 | 0.302 | 483 | 501 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 3.890 | A |
|  | try |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 679 | 1593 | 0.427 | 680 | 689 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 4.401 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1969 |  |  | 1989 | 1992 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 285 | 1000 | 0.285 | 283 | 288 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 5.883 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 480 | 1000 | 0.480 | 477 | 474 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 7.876 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 385 |  |  | 385 | 387 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms |  | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 240 | 1001 | 0.240 | 241 | 237 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.798 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 291 | 1001 | 0.291 | 290 | 297 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 4.738 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 532 |  |  | 532 | 536 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.015 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 473 |  |  | 473 | 468 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 447 | 1000 | 0.447 | 448 | 443 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 5.474 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 458 | 1000 | 0.458 | 456 | 467 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 5.087 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 573 |  |  | 573 | 577 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 419 | 1612 | 0.280 | 419 | 412 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 3.250 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 553 | 1612 | 0.343 | 554 | 562 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 3.859 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1693 |  |  | 1693 | 1690 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 245 | 1000 | 0.245 | 247 | 237 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 5.198 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 410 | 1000 | 0.410 | 411 | 396 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 6.801 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 327 |  |  | 327 | 318 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## Alternative DS, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 25.62 | $D$ |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
[same as above]
Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]

Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D5 | Alternative DS | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 711 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1056 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 2114 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 502 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 15 | 491 | 205 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 40 | 3 | 1011 | 2 |
|  | 3 | 322 | 1370 | 6 | 416 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 219 | 3 | 280 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 3 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 5.45 | 1.3 | A | 654 | 980 |
| 2 | 6.72 | 2.4 | A | 972 | 1458 |
| 3 | 46.61 | 33.8 | E | 1944 | 2916 |
| 4 | 5.72 | 1.1 | A | 464 | 696 |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 527 | 132 | 1257 | 528 | 536 | 429 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.806 | A |
| 2 | 804 | 201 | 733 | 806 | 797 | 1051 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 5.045 | A |
| 3 | 1585 | 396 | 188 | 1591 | 1585 | 1351 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 6.464 | A |
| 4 | 374 | 93 | 1311 | 375 | 377 | 469 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.922 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 629 | 157 | 1485 | 627 | 637 | 537 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 5.019 | A |
| 2 | 939 | 235 | 870 | 941 | 947 | 1241 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 5.589 | A |
| 3 | 1893 | 473 | 225 | 1893 | 1883 | 1586 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 9.546 | A |
| 4 | 454 | 113 | 1565 | 456 | 457 | 553 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.277 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 791 | 198 | 1797 | 787 | 784 | 653 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 5.451 | A |
| 2 | 1175 | 294 | 1086 | 1173 | 1165 | 1499 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 6.721 | A |
| 3 | 2362 | 591 | 274 | 2305 | 2259 | 1984 | 5.2 | 25.0 | 27.871 | D |
| 4 | 562 | 140 | 1886 | 563 | 553 | 694 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 5.719 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 788 | 197 | 1804 | 788 | 789 | 648 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 5.427 | A |
| 2 | 1157 | 289 | 1090 | 1158 | 1163 | 1500 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 6.662 | A |
| 3 | 2333 | 583 | 279 | 2293 | 2288 | 1969 | 25.0 | 33.8 | 46.611 | E |
| 4 | 576 | 144 | 1877 | 575 | 558 | 694 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 5.656 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 644 | 161 | 1525 | 645 | 645 | 521 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 5.085 | A |
| 2 | 950 | 238 | 884 | 948 | 954 | 1286 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 5.576 | A |
| 3 | 1908 | 477 | 223 | 1941 | 2015 | 1609 | 33.8 | 7.9 | 25.698 | D |
| 4 | 447 | 112 | 1600 | 446 | 452 | 585 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 5.205 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 544 | 138 | 1235 | 542 | 544 | 438 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.789 | A |
| 2 | 805 | 201 | 739 | 803 | 799 | 1037 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 5.127 | A |
| 3 | 1580 | 395 | 188 | 1576 | 1611 | 1354 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 7.097 | A |
| 4 | 373 | 93 | 1300 | 373 | 377 | 485 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 4.754 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 213 | 1000 | 0.213 | 213 | 218 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.385 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 314 | 1000 | 0.314 | 314 | 318 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.821 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 527 |  |  | 528 | 539 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.030 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 429 |  |  | 429 | 438 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 394 | 1001 | 0.394 | 395 | 390 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.036 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 410 | 1001 | 0.410 | 411 | 408 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 5.053 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1051 |  |  | 1051 | 1042 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 552 | 1579 | 0.350 | 552 | 554 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.932 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1033 | 1579 | 0.654 | 1039 | 1031 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 7.825 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1351 |  |  | 1351 | 1349 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 159 | 1000 | 0.159 | 160 | 163 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.742 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 214 | 1000 | 0.214 | 215 | 215 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 5.057 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 469 |  |  | 469 | 468 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 258 | 1000 | 0.258 | 257 | 263 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 4.510 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 372 | 1000 | 0.372 | 370 | 375 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 5.305 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 629 |  |  | 629 | 639 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.043 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 537 |  |  | 537 | 528 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 467 | 1000 | 0.487 | 469 | 468 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.542 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 471 | 1000 | 0.471 | 472 | 479 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 5.635 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1241 |  |  | 1241 | 1235 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1. 4 | 663 | 1557 | 0.426 | 663 | 680 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 4.707 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1230 | 1557 | 0.790 | 1230 | 1222 | 2.1 | 4.3 | 12.133 | B |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1586 |  |  | 1586 | 1604 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 202 | 1000 | 0.202 | 204 | 204 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 5.123 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 251 | 1000 | 0.251 | 252 | 253 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 5.400 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 553 |  |  | 553 | 558 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 329 | 1000 | 0.329 | 327 | 327 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.859 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 461 | 1000 | 0.461 | 480 | 457 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 5.642 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 791 |  |  | 790 | 785 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.133 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 653 |  |  | 653 | 637 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 583 | 1000 | 0.583 | 583 | 577 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 6.603 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 592 | 1000 | 0.592 | 590 | 589 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 6.838 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1499 |  |  | 1499 | 1464 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 828 | 1527 | 0.542 | 825 | 811 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 5.775 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1534 | 1527 | 1.005 | 1480 | 1447 | 4.3 | 23.5 | 39.710 | E |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1984 |  |  | 1984 | 1973 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 249 | 1000 | 0.249 | 249 | 240 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 5.606 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 313 | 1000 | 0.313 | 314 | 312 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5.806 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 694 |  |  | 694 | 688 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 327 | 1000 | 0.327 | 327 | 330 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.782 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 459 | 1000 | 0.459 | 459 | 459 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 5.886 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 788 |  |  | 787 | 790 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.124 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 648 |  |  | 648 | 643 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 588 | 1000 | 0.568 | 569 | 575 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 6.553 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 589 | 1000 | 0.589 | 589 | 588 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 6.769 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1500 |  |  | 1500 | 1491 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 812 | 1524 | 0.533 | 812 | 815 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 5.740 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1521 | 1524 | 0.998 | 1481 | 1473 | 23.5 | 32.4 | 68.698 | F |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1969 |  |  | 1969 | 1975 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 254 | 1000 | 0.254 | 255 | 244 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.183 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 321 | 1000 | 0.321 | 320 | 314 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 6.026 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 694 |  |  | 694 | 690 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 268 | 1000 | 0.286 | 286 | 263 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 4.887 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 379 | 1000 | 0.379 | 379 | 382 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 5.236 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 644 |  |  | 644 | 643 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.053 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 521 |  |  | 521 | 526 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 463 | 1000 | 0.463 | 461 | 469 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 5.512 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 487 | 1000 | 0.487 | 488 | 485 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 5.637 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1286 |  |  | 1286 | 1357 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 667 | 1558 | 0.428 | 688 | 670 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 4.714 | A |
|  | try |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1241 | 1558 | 0.797 | 1274 | 1345 | 32.4 | 6.9 | 36.988 | E |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1609 |  |  | 1609 | 1615 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 198 | 1000 | 0.198 | 198 | 200 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.795 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 249 | 1000 | 0.249 | 248 | 252 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 5.532 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 565 |  |  | 565 | 567 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 223 | 1000 | 0.223 | 222 | 220 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.447 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 321 | 1000 | 0.321 | 319 | 325 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 4.966 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 544 |  |  | 544 | 544 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.034 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 438 |  |  | 438 | 437 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Iry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 399 | 1000 | 0.399 | 398 | 392 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 5.114 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 406 | 1000 | 0.406 | 405 | 407 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 5.139 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1037 |  |  | 1037 | 1087 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 550 | 1579 | 0.349 | 550 | 556 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 3.940 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 1029 | 1579 | 0.652 | 1026 | 1055 | 6.9 | 2.3 | 8.788 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1354 |  |  | 1354 | 1353 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 168 | 1000 | 0.186 | 168 | 167 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 4.565 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 207 | 1000 | 0.207 | 206 | 210 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 4.904 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 465 |  |  | 465 | 474 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## Alternative DS, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Warning | Lane Simulation | A1 - [Lane Simulation] | This analysis set uses Lane Simulation mode. This is provided as an investigative tool and the user should <br> apply judgement when interpreting the results. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | untitled | Large Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 7.26 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

[same as above]

## Roundabout Geometry

[same as above]

## Large Roundabout Data

| Arm | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Entry-to-exit separation (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1822 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1020 | 145.00 |
| 3 | 252 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1878 | 130.00 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
[same as above]
Lane Simulation: Arm options
[same as above]

## Lanes

[same as above]
Entry Lane slope and intercept
[same as above]

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D6 | Alternative DS | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Default vehicle mix | Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 754 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1290 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1145 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 834 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 45 | 476 | 233 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 18 | 0 | 1272 | 0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 296 | 736 | 26 | 87 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 333 | 0 | 501 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 5.95 | 1.7 | A | 695 | 1042 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 9.36 | 4.8 | A | 1183 | 1775 |
| 3 | 5.13 | 1.8 | A | 1038 | 1557 |
| 4 | 8.11 | 2.6 | A | 760 | 1140 |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 589 | 147 | 935 | 588 | 580 | 496 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 4.747 | A |
| 2 | 967 | 242 | 944 | 965 | 970 | 580 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 5.591 | A |
| 3 | 849 | 212 | 193 | 848 | 856 | 1716 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.783 | A |
| 4 | 630 | 158 | 798 | 633 | 637 | 243 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 6.037 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 678 | 170 | 1126 | 679 | 674 | 578 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 5.219 | A |
| 2 | 1163 | 291 | 1118 | 1167 | 1172 | 688 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 6.537 | A |
| 3 | 1015 | 254 | 223 | 1013 | 1017 | 2062 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 4.152 | A |
| 4 | 752 | 188 | 954 | 750 | 749 | 282 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 7.038 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 841 | 210 | 1397 | 841 | 839 | 700 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 5.949 | A |
| 2 | 1407 | 352 | 1375 | 1401 | 1398 | 862 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 8.633 | A |
| 3 | 1258 | 315 | 285 | 1261 | 1254 | 2492 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 5.123 | A |
| 4 | 915 | 229 | 1185 | 911 | 917 | 361 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 8.112 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 837 | 209 | 1379 | 829 | 825 | 705 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 5.750 | A |
| 2 | 1430 | 357 | 1355 | 1413 | 1416 | 853 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 9.357 | A |
| 3 | 1242 | 310 | 287 | 1248 | 1257 | 2482 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 5.127 | A |
| 4 | 905 | 226 | 1179 | 904 | 919 | 355 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 7.923 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 667 | 167 | 1121 | 686 | 687 | 588 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 5.304 | A |
| 2 | 1146 | 287 | 1083 | 1138 | 1169 | 705 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 6.715 | A |
| 3 | 1019 | 255 | 223 | 1019 | 1027 | 1998 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 4.244 | A |
| 4 | 739 | 185 | 969 | 740 | 749 | 273 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 6.821 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 557 | 139 | 919 | 554 | 588 | 490 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 4.893 | A |
| 2 | 987 | 247 | 907 | 982 | 985 | 565 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 5.562 | A |
| 3 | 844 | 211 | 193 | 846 | 858 | 1696 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 3.603 | A |
| 4 | 620 | 155 | 794 | 615 | 624 | 246 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 5.979 | A |

## Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

## Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 241 | 1001 | 0.241 | 240 | 237 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.505 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 348 | 1001 | 0.348 | 348 | 343 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.885 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 589 |  |  | 589 | 584 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.030 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 496 |  |  | 496 | 493 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 476 | 1000 | 0.476 | 476 | 481 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.590 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 490 | 1000 | 0.490 | 489 | 489 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 5.591 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 580 |  |  | 580 | 585 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1,4 | 282 | 1576 | 0.179 | 282 | 288 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.146 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 567 | 1576 | 0.380 | 566 | 570 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.102 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1716 |  |  | 1716 | 1723 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 262 | 1000 | 0.262 | 264 | 258 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 5.469 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 388 | 1000 | 0.388 | 389 | 378 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 6.423 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 243 |  |  | 243 | 243 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

## 17:00-17:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 289 | 1000 | 0.289 | 289 | 282 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.603 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 390 | 1000 | 0.390 | 390 | 391 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 5.500 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 678 |  |  | 679 | 674 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.093 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 578 |  |  | 578 | 582 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 584 | 1000 | 0.584 | 587 | 584 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 6.519 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 578 | 1000 | 0.578 | 580 | 588 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 6.555 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 688 |  |  | 688 | 690 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 343 | 1558 | 0.220 | 341 | 344 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 3.274 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 673 | 1558 | 0.432 | 672 | 673 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 4.598 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2062 |  |  | 2062 | 2054 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 296 | 1000 | 0.296 | 295 | 300 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 5.849 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 457 | 1000 | 0.457 | 454 | 449 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 7.835 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 282 |  |  | 282 | 288 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms |  | Capacity ( $\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue <br> (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 355 | 1000 | 0.355 | 355 | 354 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5.242 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 487 | 1000 | 0.487 | 485 | 485 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 6.087 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 841 |  |  | 842 | 841 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.218 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 700 |  |  | 700 | 705 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 700 | 1000 | 0.700 | 698 | 699 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 8.601 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 707 | 1000 | 0.707 | 703 | 699 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 8.685 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 882 |  |  | 882 | 854 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 423 | 1521 | 0.278 | 424 | 424 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 3.678 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 836 | 1521 | 0.550 | 837 | 829 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 5.856 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2492 |  |  | 2492 | 2488 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 354 | 1000 | 0.354 | 352 | 358 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 6.329 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 560 | 1000 | 0.560 | 559 | 559 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 9.252 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 361 |  |  | 361 | 380 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 351 | 1000 | 0.351 | 349 | 345 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.098 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 485 | 1000 | 0.485 | 481 | 480 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 5.887 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 837 |  |  | 836 | 826 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.190 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 705 |  |  | 705 | 714 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 716 | 1000 | 0.716 | 708 | 706 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 9.315 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 714 | 1000 | 0.714 | 706 | 710 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 9.399 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 853 |  |  | 853 | 855 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 411 | 1520 | 0.271 | 415 | 421 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 3.546 | A |
|  | Entry |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 830 | 1520 | 0.546 | 833 | 836 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 5.925 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 2482 |  |  | 2482 | 2488 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 359 | 1000 | 0.359 | 359 | 389 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 6.820 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 546 | 1000 | 0.546 | 546 | 550 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 8.683 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 355 |  |  | 355 | 380 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Side | Lane <br> level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (5) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 281 | 1000 | 0.281 | 280 | 287 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.894 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 386 | 1000 | 0.386 | 387 | 401 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 5.403 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 687 |  |  | 688 | 685 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.116 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 588 |  |  | 588 | 585 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 564 | 1000 | 0.564 | 561 | 579 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 6.746 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 582 | 1000 | 0.582 | 577 | 590 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 6.684 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 705 |  |  | 705 | 703 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 335 | 1558 | 0.215 | 335 | 341 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 3.248 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 683 | 1558 | 0.439 | 685 | 686 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 4.742 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1998 |  |  | 1998 | 2057 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 303 | 1000 | 0.303 | 304 | 302 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 5.955 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 436 | 1000 | 0.436 | 437 | 447 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 7.408 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 273 |  |  | 273 | 287 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Side | Lane level | Lane | Destination arms | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Average throughput (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 2, 3 | 224 | 1003 | 0.223 | 223 | 233 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.378 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 3, 4 | 333 | 1003 | 0.332 | 330 | 336 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.150 | A |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | (1, 2, 3, 4) | 557 |  |  | 556 | 568 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.060 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 490 |  |  | 490 | 493 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 2 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 3 | 484 | 1000 | 0.484 | 482 | 492 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 5.543 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 502 | 1000 | 0.502 | 500 | 493 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 5.581 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 565 |  |  | 565 | 582 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 3 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1, 4 | 291 | 1576 | 0.184 | 291 | 292 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.031 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3 | 554 | 1576 | 0.351 | 555 | 566 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 3.897 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 1696 |  |  | 1696 | 1715 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | Entry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 253 | 1000 | 0.253 | 251 | 254 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 5.283 | A |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2, 3, 4 | 367 | 1000 | 0.367 | 364 | 371 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 6.451 | A |
|  | Exit | 1 | 1 |  | 246 |  |  | 246 | 246 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.000 | A |

Full Input Data And Results
Full Input Data And Results

## User and Project Details

| Project: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title: |  |
| Location: |  |
| Additional detail: |  |
| File name: | A3 (M) J2.lsg3x |
| Author: |  |
| Company: |  |
| Address: |  |

## Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results
Phase Diagram


Phase Input Data

| Phase Name | Phase Type | Stage Stream | Assoc. Phase | Street Min | Cont Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Traffic | 1 |  | 7 | 7 |
| B | Traffic | 1 |  | 7 | 7 |
| C | Traffic | 2 |  | 6 | 2 |
| D | Traffic | 2 |  | 6 | 2 |
| E | Pedestrian | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |
| F | Pedestrian | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |
| G | Traffic | 3 |  | 7 | 7 |
| H | Traffic | 3 |  | 7 | 7 |
| I | Traffic | 4 |  | 6 | 2 |
| J | Traffic | 4 |  | 6 | 2 |
| K | Pedestrian | 4 |  | 6 | 6 |
| L | Pedestrian | 4 |  | 6 | 6 |

Phase Intergreens Matrix


## Phases in Stage

| Stream | Stage No. | Phases in Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | A |
| 1 | 2 | B |
| 2 | 1 | C F |
| 2 | 2 | D E |
| 3 | 1 | G |
| 3 | 2 | H |
| 4 | 1 | I K |
| 4 | 2 | J L |

## Stage Diagram

Stage Stream: 1


Stage Stream: 2


Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Stream: 3



Stage Stream: 4


Phase Delays
Stage Stream: 1

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| There are no Phase Delays defined |  |  |  |  |  |

## Stage Stream: 2

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | C | Losing | 4 | 4 |
| 2 | 1 | D | Losing | 4 | 4 |

## Stage Stream: 3

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| There are no Phase Delays defined |  |  |  |  |  |

## Stage Stream: 4

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | I | Losing | 4 | 4 |
| 2 | 1 | J | Losing | 4 | 4 |

Prohibited Stage Change
Stage Stream: 1

|  | To Stage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From <br> Stage |  | 1 |  |
|  | 1 |  | 6 |
|  | 2 | 6 |  |

## Stage Stream: 2

|  | To Stage |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| From <br> Stage |  | 1 | 2 |
|  | 1 |  | 10 |
|  | 2 | 10 |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Stage Stream: 3


Stage Stream: 4

|  | To Stage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1 | 2 |
|  | 1 |  | 10 |
|  | 2 | 10 |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Give-Way Lane Input Data
Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2
There are no Opposed Lanes in this Junction

Full Input Data And Results
Lane Input Data

| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane <br> Type | Phases | Start Disp. | End Disp. | Physical Length (PCU) | Sat Flow Type | Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr) | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Turns | Turning Radius (m) |
| 1/1 (Dell Piece East) | U | D | 2 | 3 | 8.7 | User | 1900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Dell Piece East) } \end{gathered}$ | U | D | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2/1 <br> (A3 (M) <br> Northbound off slip) | U | H | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $2 / 2$ (A3 (M) Northbound off slip) | U | H | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3/1 <br> (Dell Piece West) | U | J | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3/2 <br> (Dell Piece West) | U | J | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $4 / 1$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $4 / 2$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $5 / 1$ (Circ South) | U | G | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | User | 1900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | U | G | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | User | 1900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/1 (Circ West) | U | I | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ West) } \end{gathered}$ | U | I | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7/1 (Circ North) | U | A | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $7 / 2$ <br> (Circ North) | U | A | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | User | 1800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/1 (Circ East) | U | C | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | User | 1900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ East) } \end{gathered}$ | U | C | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | User | 1900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9/1 <br> (A3 (M) <br> Southbound (on-slip)) | U |  | 2 | 3 | $60.0$ | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9/2 <br> (A3 (M) <br> Southbound (on-slip)) | U |  | 2 | 3 | $60.0$ | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Full Input Data And Results

| $10 / 2$ <br> $11 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) <br> northbound <br> on-slip) | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | $\operatorname{Inf}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $11 / 2$ <br> (A3 (M) <br> northbound <br> on-slip) | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | $\operatorname{Inf}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $12 / 1$ | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | $\operatorname{Inf}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $12 / 2$ | U |  | 2 | 3 | 6 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: 'Alternative DM AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 2: 'Alternative DM PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 3: 'Alternative DS AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 4: 'Alternative DS PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |

Scenario 1: 'Alternative DM AM' (FG1: 'Alternative DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired

## Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |
|  | A | 0 | 175 | 1 | 118 | 294 |
|  | B | 353 | 0 | 489 | 214 | 1056 |
|  | C | 1 | 199 | 2 | 254 | 456 |
|  | D | 256 | 323 | 597 | 2 | 1178 |
|  | Tot. | 610 | 697 | 1089 | 588 | 2984 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 1: Alternative DM AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (short) } \end{gathered}$ | 489 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (with short) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1056(In) } \\ & 567(\text { Out }) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | 254 |
| 2/2 | 199 |
| 3/1 | 579 |
| 3/2 | 597 |
| 4/1 | 147 |
| 4/2 | 147 |
| 5/1 | 59 |
| 5/2 | 626 |
| 6/1 | 353 |
| 6/2 | 199 |
| 7/1 | 423 |
| 7/2 | 696 |
| 8/1 | 389 |
| 8/2 | 327 |
| 9/1 | 878 |
| 9/2 | 209 |
| 10/1 | 313 |
| 10/2 | 273 |
| 11/1 | 256 |
| 11/2 | 353 |
| 12/1 | 570 |
| 12/2 | 127 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| 1/1 (Dell Piece East Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $1 / 2$ (Dell Piece East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 2) <br> $3 / 1$ (Dell Piece West Lane 1) <br> 3/2 <br> (Dell Piece West Lane 2) |  | his lane us <br> his lane us <br> his lane us | s a directly <br> s a directly <br> s a directly | entered S <br> entered S <br> entered S | aturation <br> aturation <br> aturation | low low low | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ |
| $4 / 1$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 2) <br> 5/1 <br> (Circ South Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ East Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (Circ East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $9 / 1$ (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 2) } \end{array}$ | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 2) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: 'Alternative DM PM' (FG2: 'Alternative DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 392 | 3 | 246 | 641 |  |
|  | B | 227 | 0 | 370 | 335 | 932 |  |
|  | C | 0 | 449 | 0 | 556 | 1005 |  |
|  | D | 183 | 249 | 396 | 5 | 833 |  |
|  | Tot. | 410 | 1090 | 769 | 1142 | 3411 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 2: <br> Alternative <br> DM PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |$|$| $1 / 1$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| (short) |$\quad 370$

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| 1/1 (Dell Piece East Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $1 / 2$ (Dell Piece East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 2) <br> $3 / 1$ (Dell Piece West Lane 1) <br> 3/2 <br> (Dell Piece West Lane 2) |  | his lane us <br> his lane us <br> his lane us | s a directly <br> s a directly <br> s a directly | entered S <br> entered S <br> entered S | aturation <br> aturation <br> aturation | low low low | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ |
| $4 / 1$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 2) <br> 5/1 <br> (Circ South Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ East Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (Circ East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $9 / 1$ (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 2) } \end{array}$ | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 2) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: 'Alternative DS AM' (FG3: 'Alternative DS AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |
|  | A | 0 | 159 | 1 | 126 | 286 |
|  | B | 352 | 0 | 455 | 209 | 1016 |
|  | C | 1 | 197 | 2 | 279 | 479 |
|  | D | 209 | 330 | 642 | 2 | 1183 |
|  | Tot. | 562 | 686 | 1100 | 616 | 2964 |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 3: Alternative DS AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (short) } \end{gathered}$ | 455 |
| $1 / 2$ <br> (with short) 2/1 | $\begin{gathered} 1016 \text { (In) } \\ 561 \text { (Out) } \\ 279 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2/2 | 197 |
| 3/1 | 539 |
| 3/2 | 642 |
| 4/1 | 143 |
| 4/2 | 143 |
| 5/1 | 63 |
| 5/2 | 624 |
| 6/1 | 352 |
| 6/2 | 197 |
| 7/1 | 429 |
| 7/2 | 740 |
| 8/1 | 350 |
| 8/2 | 419 |
| 9/1 | 805 |
| 9/2 | 293 |
| 10/1 | 342 |
| 10/2 | 272 |
| 11/1 | 209 |
| 11/2 | 352 |
| 12/1 | 572 |
| 12/2 | 114 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| 1/1 (Dell Piece East Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $1 / 2$ (Dell Piece East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 2) <br> $3 / 1$ (Dell Piece West Lane 1) <br> 3/2 <br> (Dell Piece West Lane 2) |  | his lane us <br> his lane us <br> his lane us | s a directly <br> s a directly <br> s a directly | entered S <br> entered S <br> entered S | aturation <br> aturation <br> aturation | low low low | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ |
| $4 / 1$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 2) <br> 5/1 <br> (Circ South Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ East Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (Circ East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $9 / 1$ (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 2) } \end{array}$ | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 2) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: 'Alternative DS PM' (FG4: 'Alternative DS PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |
|  | A | 0 | 400 | 3 | 211 | 614 |
|  | B | 227 | 0 | 369 | 318 | 914 |
|  | C | 0 | 418 | 0 | 743 | 1161 |
|  | D | 224 | 254 | 421 | 5 | 904 |
|  | Tot. | 451 | 1072 | 793 | 1277 | 3593 |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 4: Alternative DS PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (short) } \end{gathered}$ | 369 |
| 1/2 (with short) 2/1 | $\begin{gathered} 914 \text { (In) } \\ 545 \text { (Out) } \\ 743 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2/2 | 418 |
| 3/1 | 449 |
| 3/2 | 450 |
| 4/1 | 307 |
| 4/2 | 307 |
| 5/1 | 105 |
| 5/2 | 651 |
| 6/1 | 227 |
| 6/2 | 418 |
| 7/1 | 434 |
| 7/2 | 659 |
| 8/1 | 211 |
| 8/2 | 424 |
| 9/1 | 580 |
| 9/2 | 213 |
| 10/1 | 848 |
| 10/2 | 424 |
| 11/1 | 224 |
| 11/2 | 227 |
| 12/1 | 741 |
| 12/2 | 331 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: A3 (M) Junction 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| 1/1 (Dell Piece East Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $1 / 2$ (Dell Piece East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) Northbound off slip Lane 2) <br> $3 / 1$ (Dell Piece West Lane 1) <br> 3/2 <br> (Dell Piece West Lane 2) |  | his lane us <br> his lane us <br> his lane us | s a directly <br> s a directly <br> s a directly | entered S <br> entered S <br> entered S | aturation <br> aturation <br> aturation | low low low | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1800 \\ & 1800 \end{aligned}$ |
| $4 / 1$ (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| (A3 (M) southbound off slip Lane 2) <br> 5/1 <br> (Circ South Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1800 \\ & 1900 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ West Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ North Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1800 | 1800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ East Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| (Circ East Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1900 | 1900 |
| $9 / 1$ (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) Lane 2) } \end{array}$ | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 10/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| (A3 (M) northbound on-slip Lane 2) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Scenario 1: 'Alternative DM AM' (FG1: 'Alternative DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram
Stage Stream: 1


Stage Stream: 2


Stage Stream: 3


Stage Stream: 4


## Stage Timings

Stage Stream: 1

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 58 | 20 |
| Change Point | 15 | 79 |

Stage Stream: 2

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 22 | 48 |
| Change Point | 24 | 56 |

Stage Stream: 3

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 53 | 25 |
| Change Point | 66 | 35 |

Full Input Data And Results
Stage Stream: 4

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 22 | 48 |
| Change Point | 66 | 8 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 73.4\% |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 73.4\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Dell Piece East Ahead Left | U | 2 | N/A | D |  | 1 | 52 | - | 1056 | 1900:1900 | 772+666 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 73.4: } \\ & 73.4 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Left | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 25 | - | 254 | 1800 | 520 | 48.8\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 25 | - | 199 | 1800 | 520 | 38.3\% |
| 3/1 | Dell Piece West Ahead Left | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 52 | - | 579 | 1800 | 1060 | 54.6\% |
| 3/2 | Dell Piece West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 52 | - | 597 | 1800 | 1060 | 56.3\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 20 | - | 147 | 1800 | 420 | 35.0\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Ahead Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 20 | - | 147 | 1800 | 420 | 35.0\% |
| 5/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 53 | - | 59 | 1900 | 1140 | 5.2\% |
| 5/2 | Circ South Right Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 53 | - | 626 | 1900 | 1140 | 54.9\% |
| 6/1 | Circ West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 26 | - | 353 | 1800 | 540 | 65.4\% |
| 6/2 | Circ West Right | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 26 | - | 199 | 1800 | 540 | 36.9\% |
| 7/1 | Circ North Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 58 | - | 423 | 1800 | 1180 | 35.8\% |
| 7/2 | Circ North Right Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 58 | - | 696 | 1800 | 1180 | 59.0\% |
| 8/1 | Circ East Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | c |  | 1 | 26 | - | 389 | 1900 | 570 | 68.2\% |
| 8/2 | Circ East Right Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 26 | - | 327 | 1900 | 570 | 57.4\% |

## Full Input Data And Results

| 9/1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3 (M) } \\ & \text { Southbound } \\ & \text { (on-slip) } \end{aligned}$ | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 878 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/2 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 209 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 313 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 273 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 256 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/2 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 353 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 570 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 127 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results

| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean <br> Max <br> Queue <br> (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.2 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 30.8 | - | - | - | - |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.2 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 30.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/2+1/1 | 1056 | 1056 | - | - | - | 3.1 | 1.4 | - | 4.5 | 15.2 | 8.2 | 1.4 | 9.6 |
| 2/1 | 254 | 254 | - | - | - | 1.9 | 0.5 | - | 2.3 | 33.2 | 5.2 | 0.5 | 5.7 |
| 2/2 | 199 | 199 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 0.3 | - | 1.7 | 31.2 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 4.2 |
| 3/1 | 579 | 579 | - | - | - | 1.8 | 0.6 | - | 2.4 | 14.9 | 8.7 | 0.6 | 9.3 |
| 3/2 | 597 | 597 | - | - | - | 1.9 | 0.6 | - | 2.5 | 15.3 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 9.8 |
| 4/1 | 147 | 147 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 0.3 | - | 1.4 | 35.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 3.3 |
| 4/2 | 147 | 147 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 0.3 | - | 1.4 | 35.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 3.3 |
| 5/1 | 59 | 59 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.0 | - | 0.4 | 24.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| 5/2 | 626 | 626 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | 1.2 | 7.0 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 3.4 |
| 6/1 | 353 | 353 | - | - | - | 2.1 | 0.9 | - | 3.1 | 31.3 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 4.9 |
| 6/2 | 199 | 199 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 0.3 | - | 1.5 | 27.9 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 5.3 |
| 7/1 | 423 | 423 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.3 | - | 1.0 | 8.1 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 3.0 |
| 7/2 | 696 | 696 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | - | 1.4 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 3.5 |
| 8/1 | 389 | 389 | - | - | - | 2.0 | 1.1 | - | 3.1 | 28.3 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 6.0 |
| 8/2 | 327 | 327 | - | - | - | 2.0 | 0.7 | - | 2.7 | 29.8 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 6.6 |
| 9/1 | 878 | 878 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9/2 | 209 | 209 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/1 | 313 | 313 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/2 | 273 | 273 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/1 | 256 | 256 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/2 | 353 | 353 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/1 | 570 | 570 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/2 | 127 | 127 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Full Input Data And Results

Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): $\quad 5.28$ Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr) Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr):

Cycle Time (s):
Cycle Time (s): Cycle Time (s): Cycle Time (s):

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: 'Alternative DM PM' (FG2: 'Alternative DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram
Stage Stream: 1


Stage Stream: 2


Stage Stream: 3


Stage Stream: 4


## Stage Timings

Stage Stream: 1

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 50 | 28 |
| Change Point | 16 | 72 |

Stage Stream: 2

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 28 | 42 |
| Change Point | 63 | 11 |

Stage Stream: 3

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 42 | 36 |
| Change Point | 14 | 62 |

Full Input Data And Results
Stage Stream: 4

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 34 | 36 |
| Change Point | 64 | 18 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 75.5\% |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 75.5\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Dell Piece East Ahead Left | U | 2 | N/A | D |  | 1 | 46 | - | 932 | 1900:1900 | 748+492 | $\begin{aligned} & 75.1: \\ & 75.1 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Left | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 36 | - | 556 | 1800 | 740 | 75.1\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 36 | - | 449 | 1800 | 740 | 60.7\% |
| 3/1 | Dell Piece West Ahead Left | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 40 | - | 414 | 1800 | 820 | 50.5\% |
| 3/2 | Dell Piece West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 40 | - | 414 | 1800 | 820 | 50.5\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 28 | - | 320 | 1800 | 580 | 55.2\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Ahead Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 28 | - | 321 | 1800 | 580 | 55.3\% |
| 5/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 42 | - | 123 | 1900 | 908 | 13.5\% |
| 5/2 | Circ South Right Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 42 | - | 685 | 1900 | 908 | 75.5\% |
| 6/1 | Circ West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 38 | - | 227 | 1800 | 780 | 29.1\% |
| 6/2 | Circ West Right | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 38 | - | 449 | 1800 | 780 | 57.6\% |
| 7/1 | Circ North Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 50 | - | 456 | 1800 | 1020 | 44.7\% |
| 7/2 | Circ North Right Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 50 | - | 638 | 1800 | 1020 | 62.5\% |
| 8/1 | Circ East Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | c |  | 1 | 32 | - | 198 | 1900 | 697 | 28.4\% |
| 8/2 | Circ East Right Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 32 | - | 447 | 1900 | 697 | 64.2\% |

## Full Input Data And Results

| 9/1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3 (M) } \\ & \text { Southbound } \\ & \text { (on-slip) } \end{aligned}$ | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 568 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/2 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 201 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 679 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 458 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 183 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/2 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 227 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 776 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 314 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results

| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27.6 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 38.3 | - | - | - | - |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27.6 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 38.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/2+1/1 | 932 | 932 | - | - | - | 3.6 | 1.5 | - | 5.1 | 19.7 | 10.3 | 1.5 | 11.8 |
| 2/1 | 556 | 556 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 1.5 | - | 5.0 | 32.2 | 11.7 | 1.5 | 13.2 |
| 2/2 | 449 | 449 | - | - | - | 2.6 | 0.8 | - | 3.4 | 26.9 | 8.7 | 0.8 | 9.5 |
| 3/1 | 414 | 414 | - | - | - | 2.0 | 0.5 | - | 2.5 | 21.7 | 7.2 | 0.5 | 7.8 |
| 3/2 | 414 | 414 | - | - | - | 2.0 | 0.5 | - | 2.5 | 21.7 | 7.2 | 0.5 | 7.8 |
| 4/1 | 320 | 320 | - | - | - | 2.2 | 0.6 | - | 2.8 | 32.0 | 6.6 | 0.6 | 7.2 |
| 4/2 | 321 | 321 | - | - | - | 2.2 | 0.6 | - | 2.9 | 32.1 | 6.6 | 0.6 | 7.2 |
| 5/1 | 123 | 123 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | 0.4 | 12.3 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 3.0 |
| 5/2 | 685 | 685 | - | - | - | 1.6 | 1.5 | - | 3.1 | 16.5 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 7.4 |
| 6/1 | 227 | 227 | - | - | - | 1.8 | 0.2 | - | 2.0 | 32.3 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 5.9 |
| 6/2 | 449 | 449 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.7 | - | 0.9 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| 7/1 | 456 | 456 | - | - | - | 1.3 | 0.4 | - | 1.7 | 13.2 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 6.4 |
| 7/2 | 638 | 638 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 0.8 | - | 2.3 | 12.8 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 7.1 |
| 8/1 | 198 | 198 | - | - | - | 1.0 | 0.2 | - | 1.2 | 22.6 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 5.0 |
| 8/2 | 447 | 447 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 0.9 | - | 2.6 | 20.6 | 5.8 | 0.9 | 6.7 |
| 9/1 | 568 | 568 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9/2 | 201 | 201 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/1 | 679 | 679 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/2 | 458 | 458 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/1 | 183 | 183 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/2 | 227 | 227 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/1 | 776 | 776 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/2 | 314 | 314 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Full Input Data And Results
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr) Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr) Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):
Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr):

## Cycle Time (s): <br> Cycle Time (s):

 Cycle Time (s):90

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: 'Alternative DS AM' (FG3: 'Alternative DS AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram
Stage Stream: 1


Stage Stream: 2


Stage Stream: 3


Stage Stream: 4


## Stage Timings

Stage Stream: 1

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 62 | 16 |
| Change Point | 0 | 68 |

Stage Stream: 2

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 35 | 35 |
| Change Point | 87 | 42 |

Stage Stream: 3

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 53 | 25 |
| Change Point | 55 | 24 |

Full Input Data And Results
Stage Stream: 4

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 38 | 32 |
| Change Point | 28 | 76 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 88.6\% |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 88.6\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Dell Piece East Ahead Left | U | 2 | N/A | D |  | 1 | 39 | - | 1016 | 1900:1900 | 633+513 | $\begin{aligned} & 88.6: \\ & 88.6 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Left | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 25 | - | 279 | 1800 | 520 | 53.7\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 25 | - | 197 | 1800 | 520 | 37.9\% |
| 3/1 | Dell Piece West Ahead Left | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 36 | - | 539 | 1800 | 740 | 72.8\% |
| 3/2 | Dell Piece West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 36 | - | 642 | 1800 | 740 | 86.8\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 16 | - | 143 | 1800 | 340 | 42.1\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Ahead Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 16 | - | 143 | 1800 | 340 | 42.1\% |
| 5/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 53 | - | 63 | 1900 | 1140 | 5.5\% |
| 5/2 | Circ South Right Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 53 | - | 624 | 1900 | 1140 | 54.7\% |
| 6/1 | Circ West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 42 | - | 352 | 1800 | 860 | 40.9\% |
| 6/2 | Circ West Right | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 42 | - | 197 | 1800 | 860 | 22.9\% |
| 7/1 | Circ North Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 62 | - | 429 | 1800 | 1260 | 34.0\% |
| 7/2 | Circ North Right Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 62 | - | 740 | 1800 | 1260 | 58.7\% |
| 8/1 | Circ East Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | c |  | 1 | 39 | - | 350 | 1900 | 844 | 41.4\% |
| 8/2 | Circ East Right Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 39 | - | 419 | 1900 | 844 | 49.6\% |

## Full Input Data And Results

| 9/1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3 (M) } \\ & \text { Southbound } \\ & \text { (on-slip) } \end{aligned}$ | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 805 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/2 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 293 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 342 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 272 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 209 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/2 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 352 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 572 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 114 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results

| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.9 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 36.5 | - | - | - | - |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.9 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 36.5 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/2+1/1 | 1016 | 1016 | - | - | - | 5.5 | 3.7 | - | 9.2 | 32.6 | 14.4 | 3.7 | 18.1 |
| 2/1 | 279 | 279 | - | - | - | 2.1 | 0.6 | - | 2.7 | 34.4 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 6.4 |
| 2/2 | 197 | 197 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 0.3 | - | 1.7 | 31.1 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 4.2 |
| 3/1 | 539 | 539 | - | - | - | 3.3 | 1.3 | - | 4.7 | 31.1 | 11.2 | 1.3 | 12.6 |
| 3/2 | 642 | 642 | - | - | - | 4.3 | 3.1 | - | 7.4 | 41.5 | 14.6 | 3.1 | 17.7 |
| 4/1 | 143 | 143 | - | - | - | 1.3 | 0.4 | - | 1.6 | 41.3 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 3.5 |
| 4/2 | 143 | 143 | - | - | - | 1.3 | 0.4 | - | 1.6 | 41.3 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 3.5 |
| $5 / 1$ | 63 | 63 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | 0.1 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 5/2 | 624 | 624 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.6 | - | 0.7 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| 6/1 | 352 | 352 | - | - | - | 2.8 | 0.3 | - | 3.2 | 32.4 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 6.6 |
| 6/2 | 197 | 197 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 7/1 | 429 | 429 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| 7/2 | 740 | 740 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.7 | - | 0.8 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 3.2 |
| 8/1 | 350 | 350 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.4 | - | 0.9 | 9.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.4 |
| 8/2 | 419 | 419 | - | - | - | 0.9 | 0.5 | - | 1.4 | 11.9 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 4.5 |
| 9/1 | 805 | 805 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9/2 | 293 | 293 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/1 | 342 | 342 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/2 | 272 | 272 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/1 | 209 | 209 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/2 | 352 | 352 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/1 | 572 | 572 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/2 | 114 | 114 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Full Input Data And Results

| C1 | Stream: 1 | PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): | 53.2 |  | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): | 4.40 | Cycle Time (s): |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C1 | Stream: 2 PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): | 1.5 | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): | 11.48 | Cycle Time (s): | 90 |  |
| C1 | Stream: 3 PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): | 64.4 | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): | 5.23 | Cycle Time (s): | 90 |  |
| C1 | Stream: 4 PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): | 3.7 | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): | 15.39 | Cycle Time (s): | 90 |  |
|  |  | PRC Over All Lanes (\%): | 1.5 | Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): | 36.50 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: 'Alternative DS PM' (FG4: 'Alternative DS PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

## Stage Sequence Diagram

Stage Stream: 1


Stage Stream: 2


Stage Stream: 3


Stage Stream: 4


## Stage Timings

Stage Stream: 1

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 50 | 28 |
| Change Point | 0 | 56 |

Stage Stream: 2

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 38 | 32 |
| Change Point | 36 | 84 |

Stage Stream: 3

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 37 | 41 |
| Change Point | 1 | 44 |

Full Input Data And Results
Stage Stream: 4

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 37 | 33 |
| Change Point | 44 | 1 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 88.5\% |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 88.5\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Dell Piece East Ahead Left | U | 2 | N/A | D |  | 1 | 36 | - | 914 | 1900:1900 | $618+418$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.2: \\ & 88.2 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Left | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 41 | - | 743 | 1800 | 840 | 88.5\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound off slip Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | H |  | 1 | 41 | - | 418 | 1800 | 840 | 49.8\% |
| 3/1 | Dell Piece West Ahead Left | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 37 | - | 449 | 1800 | 760 | 59.1\% |
| 3/2 | Dell Piece West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | J |  | 1 | 37 | - | 450 | 1800 | 760 | 59.2\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 28 | - | 307 | 1800 | 580 | 52.9\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) southbound off slip Ahead Left | U | 1 | N/A | B |  | 1 | 28 | - | 307 | 1800 | 580 | 52.9\% |
| 5/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 37 | - | 105 | 1900 | 802 | 13.1\% |
| 5/2 | Circ South Right Ahead | U | 3 | N/A | G |  | 1 | 37 | - | 651 | 1900 | 802 | 81.1\% |
| 6/1 | Circ West Ahead | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 41 | - | 227 | 1800 | 840 | 27.0\% |
| 6/2 | Circ West Right | U | 4 | N/A | 1 |  | 1 | 41 | - | 418 | 1800 | 840 | 49.8\% |
| 7/1 | Circ North Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 50 | - | 434 | 1800 | 1020 | 42.5\% |
| 7/2 | Circ North Right Ahead | U | 1 | N/A | A |  | 1 | 50 | - | 659 | 1800 | 1020 | 64.6\% |
| 8/1 | Circ East Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 42 | - | 211 | 1900 | 908 | 23.2\% |
| 8/2 | Circ East Right Ahead | U | 2 | N/A | C |  | 1 | 42 | - | 424 | 1900 | 908 | 46.7\% |

## Full Input Data And Results

| 9/1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3 (M) } \\ & \text { Southbound } \\ & \text { (on-slip) } \end{aligned}$ | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 580 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/2 | A3 (M) Southbound (on-slip) | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 213 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 848 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 10/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 424 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/1 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 224 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 11/2 | A3 (M) northbound on-slip | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 227 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 741 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 331 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results

| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.5 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 43.4 | - | - | - | - |
| A3 (M) Junction 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.5 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 43.4 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/2+1/1 | 914 | 914 | - | - | - | 5.4 | 3.5 | - | 9.0 | 35.4 | 13.9 | 3.5 | 17.5 |
| 2/1 | 743 | 743 | - | - | - | 4.5 | 3.6 | - | 8.1 | 39.1 | 16.7 | 3.6 | 20.3 |
| 2/2 | 418 | 418 | - | - | - | 1.9 | 0.5 | - | 2.4 | 20.9 | 7.2 | 0.5 | 7.7 |
| 3/1 | 449 | 449 | - | - | - | 2.5 | 0.7 | - | 3.2 | 25.8 | 8.6 | 0.7 | 9.3 |
| 3/2 | 450 | 450 | - | - | - | 2.5 | 0.7 | - | 3.2 | 25.8 | 8.6 | 0.7 | 9.3 |
| 4/1 | 307 | 307 | - | - | - | 2.1 | 0.6 | - | 2.7 | 31.5 | 6.2 | 0.6 | 6.8 |
| 4/2 | 307 | 307 | - | - | - | 2.1 | 0.6 | - | 2.7 | 31.5 | 6.2 | 0.6 | 6.8 |
| $5 / 1$ | 105 | 105 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | 0.5 | 17.5 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 2.6 |
| 5/2 | 651 | 651 | - | - | - | 1.1 | 2.1 | - | 3.2 | 17.7 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 6.9 |
| 6/1 | 227 | 227 | - | - | - | 1.5 | 0.2 | - | 1.6 | 26.1 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 5.9 |
| 6/2 | 418 | 418 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.5 | - | 0.9 | 7.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| 7/1 | 434 | 434 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 0.4 | - | 1.6 | 13.2 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 5.7 |
| 7/2 | 659 | 659 | - | - | - | 1.3 | 0.9 | - | 2.2 | 12.1 | 5.5 | 0.9 | 6.4 |
| 8/1 | 211 | 211 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.2 | - | 0.6 | 10.6 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 4.5 |
| 8/2 | 424 | 424 | - | - | - | 1.0 | 0.4 | - | 1.4 | 11.8 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 5.8 |
| 9/1 | 580 | 580 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9/2 | 213 | 213 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/1 | 848 | 848 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/2 | 424 | 424 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/1 | 224 | 224 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/2 | 227 | 227 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/1 | 741 | 741 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/2 | 331 | 331 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Full Input Data And Results
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): $\quad 9.18$ Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):
Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr) Total Delay Over All Lanes $(\mathrm{pcuHr})$ :

Full Input Data And Results
Full Input Data And Results

## User and Project Details

| Project: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title: |  |
| Location: |  |
| Additional detail: |  |
| File name: | A3 (M) J3 - Prohibited left turn from offside lane of A3 (south) approach.Isg3x |
| Author: |  |
| Company: |  |
| Address: |  |

## Network Layout Diagram



## Phase Diagram



Phase Input Data

| Phase Name | Phase Type | Assoc. Phase | Street Min | Cont Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| B | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |

Phase Intergreens Matrix

|  | Starting Phase |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Terminating <br> Phase |  | A |  |
|  | B | 5 |  |
|  | B | 5 |  |

## Phases in Stage

| Stage No. | Phases in Stage |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | A |
| 2 | $B$ |

Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Diagram



## Phase Delays

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

There are no Phase Delays defined

Prohibited Stage Change


Full Input Data And Results
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right Turn Storage (PCU) | Non-Blocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 6/1 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 13/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 9/1 (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 13/1 | 0.33 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 9/2 (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 13/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 1 \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert } \end{gathered}$Road) | 8/1 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 10/1 | 0.33 | To 8/2 (Ahead) | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 11/1 <br> (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 10/1 | 1.09 | To 8/2 (Ahead) To 11/1 (Right) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 11/2 (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 10/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
| (A3 (M) Southbound) <br> (A3 (M) Southbound)   <br> 4/2   | 12/1 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 11/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 12/2 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 11/2 | 0.33 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | 11/1 | 0.33 | All |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Lane Input Data

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane <br> Type | Phases | Start Disp. | End Disp. | Physical Length (PCU) | Sat <br> Flow <br> Type | Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr) | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Turns | Turning Radius (m) |
| 1/1 (Hulbert Road) | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 9 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| 1/2 (Hulbert Road) | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| 2/1 <br> (A3 (M) <br> Northbound) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 |
| 2/2 (A3 (M) Northbound) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 1 \\ \text { (B2150 } \\ \text { Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left <br> Arm 11 <br> Ahead | $645.00$ <br> Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (B2150 } \\ \text { Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 <br> Ahead | 122.00 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) <br> Southbound) | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 |
| 4/2 <br> (A3 (M) <br> Southbound) | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 |
| 5/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9/1 (Circ South) | U | A | 2 | 3 | 20.9 | Geom | - | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 <br> Ahead | 111.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | U | A | 2 | 3 | 20.9 | Geom | - | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 <br> Ahead <br> Arm 10 <br> Right | $\begin{aligned} & 127.00 \\ & 70.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 19.1 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 27.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 27.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Full Input Data And Results

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: 'Alternative DM AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 2: 'Alternative DM PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 3: 'Alternative DS AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 4: 'Alternative DS PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |

Scenario 1: 'Alternative DM AM' (FG1: 'Alternative DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |
|  | A | 0 | 15 | 459 | 195 | 669 |
|  | B | 40 | 3 | 917 | 2 | 962 |
|  | C | 325 | 1410 | 6 | 387 | 2128 |
|  | D | 212 | 3 | 309 | 0 | 524 |
|  | Tot. | 577 | 1431 | 1691 | 584 | 4283 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 1: <br> Alternative DM <br> AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| $1 / 1$ | 329 |
| $1 / 2$ | 340 |
| $2 / 1$ | 917 |
| $2 / 2$ | 42 |
| $3 / 1$ | 712 |
| $3 / 2$ | 1410 |
| $4 / 1$ | 212 |
| $4 / 2$ | 312 |
| $5 / 1$ | 577 |
| $6 / 1$ | 722 |
| $6 / 2$ | 706 |
| $7 / 1$ | 1722 |
| $7 / 2$ | 1381 |
| $8 / 1$ | 304 |
| $8 / 2$ | 387 |
| $9 / 1$ | 1970 |
| $9 / 2$ | 2370 |
| $10 / 1$ | 365 |
| $11 / 1$ | $11 / 2$ |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 4.6 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 95.4 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{2 / 1}{\text { (A3 (M) }} \text { Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 100.0 \% | 2091 | 2091 |
| $3 / 1$ <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left Arm 11 Ahead | $\begin{gathered} 645.00 \\ \mathrm{Inf} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.4 \text { \% } \\ & 45.6 \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | 1993 | 1993 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert Road) } \end{array}$ | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0 \% | 1992 | 1992 |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 60.9 \% | 2122 | 2122 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 39.1 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow <br> Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: 'Alternative DM PM' (FG2: 'Alternative DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 45 | 487 | 163 | 695 |  |
|  | B | 18 | 0 | 1183 | 0 | 1201 |  |
|  | C | 291 | 736 | 26 | 263 | 1316 |  |
|  | D | 326 | 0 | 524 | 0 | 850 |  |
|  | Tot. | 635 | 781 | 2220 | 426 | 4062 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 2: <br> Alternative DM PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| $1 / 1$ | 322 |
| $1 / 2$ | 373 |
| $2 / 1$ | 1183 |
| $2 / 2$ | 18 |
| $3 / 1$ | 554 |
| $3 / 2$ | 736 |
| $4 / 1$ | 326 |
| $4 / 2$ | 524 |
| $5 / 1$ | 635 |
| $6 / 1$ | 413 |
| $6 / 2$ | 368 |
| $7 / 1$ | 1750 |
| $7 / 2$ | 4460 |
| $8 / 1$ | 2635 |
| $8 / 2$ | 163 |
| $9 / 1$ | 567 |
| $9 / 2$ | 607 |
| $10 / 1$ | $12 / 2$ |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 14.0 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 86.0 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 1 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 100.0 \% | 2091 | 2091 |
| 3/1 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left Arm 11 Ahead | $\begin{gathered} 645.00 \\ \mathrm{Inf} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.5 \% \\ & 52.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1994 | 1994 |
| $3 / 2$ (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| $4 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $4 / 2$ <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0 \% | 1992 | 1992 |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 73.1 \% | 2124 | 2124 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 26.9 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: 'Alternative DS AM' (FG3: 'Alternative DS AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 15 | 491 | 205 | 711 |  |
|  | B | 40 | 3 | 1011 | 2 | 1056 |  |
|  | C | 322 | 1370 | 6 | 416 | 2114 |  |
|  | D | 219 | 3 | 280 | 0 | 502 |  |
|  | Tot. | 581 | 1391 | 1788 | 623 | 4383 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 3: <br> Alternative DS AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| $1 / 1$ | 348 |
| $1 / 2$ | 363 |
| $2 / 1$ | 1011 |
| $2 / 2$ | 42 |
| $3 / 1$ | 738 |
| $3 / 2$ | 1370 |
| $4 / 1$ | 219 |
| $4 / 2$ | 283 |
| $5 / 1$ | 581 |
| $6 / 1$ | 702 |
| $6 / 2$ | 686 |
| $7 / 1$ | 1482 |
| $7 / 2$ | 3003 |
| $8 / 1$ | 2473 |
| $8 / 2$ | 416 |
| $9 / 1$ | 207 |
| $9 / 2$ | 471 |
| $10 / 1$ | 505 |
| $11 / 1$ | 2770 |
| $11 / 2$ | $12 / 1$ |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 4.3 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 95.7 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{2 / 1}{\text { (A3 (M) }} \text { Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 100.0 \% | 2091 | 2091 |
| $3 / 1$ <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left Arm 11 Ahead | $\begin{gathered} 645.00 \\ \mathrm{Inf} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.4 \text { \% } \\ & 43.6 \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | 1993 | 1993 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert Road) } \end{array}$ | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0 \% | 1992 | 1992 |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 59.4 \% | 2122 | 2122 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 40.6 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow <br> Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: 'Alternative DS PM' (FG4: 'Alternative DS PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 45 | 476 | 233 | 754 |  |
|  | B | 18 | 0 | 1272 | 0 | 1290 |  |
|  | C | 296 | 736 | 26 | 87 | 1145 |  |
|  | D | 333 | 0 | 501 | 0 | 834 |  |
|  | Tot. | 647 | 781 | 2275 | 320 | 4023 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 4: <br> Alternative DS PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 337 |
| 1/2 | 417 |
| 2/1 | 1272 |
| 2/2 | 18 |
| 3/1 | 383 |
| 3/2 | 736 |
| 4/1 | 333 |
| 4/2 | 501 |
| 5/1 | 647 |
| 6/1 | 413 |
| 6/2 | 368 |
| 7/1 | 1858 |
| 7/2 | 391 |
| 8/1 | 87 |
| 8/2 | 233 |
| 9/1 | 586 |
| 9/2 | 624 |
| 10/1 | 251 |
| 11/1 | 314 |
| 11/2 | 736 |
| 12/1 | 647 |
| 12/2 | 1237 |
| 13/1 | 1237 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 13.4 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 86.6 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $2 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Northbound) | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{2 / 2}{\text { (A3 (M) }} \text { (hthbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 100.0 \% | 2091 | 2091 |
| $3 / 1$ <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left Arm 11 Ahead | $\begin{gathered} 645.00 \\ \operatorname{lnf} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.7 \% \\ & 77.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1995 | 1995 |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0 \% | 1992 | 1992 |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | 4.00 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 62.7\% | 2122 | 2122 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 37.3 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 1: 'Alternative DM AM' (FG1: 'Alternative DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 9 | 41 |
| Change Point | 0 | 14 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Time in cycle (sec)

Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane <br> Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand <br> Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 150.0\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 150.0\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 329 | 1990 | 586 | 56.1\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 340 | 2130 | 586 | 58.0\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 41 | - | 917 | 1997 | 1398 | 65.6\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 41 | - | 42 | 2091 | 1464 | 2.9\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 712 | 1993 | 860 | 82.8\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1410 | 2119 | 940 | 150.0\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 212 | 1939 | 879 | 24.1\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 312 | 2094 | 569 | 54.8\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 577 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 722 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 706 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1381 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 304 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 387 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 197 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 9 | - | 464 | 1992 | 332 | 139.8\% |
| 9/2 | Circ South Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 9 | - | 499 | 2122 | 354 | 141.1\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 237 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 365 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1410 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 577 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1722 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1722 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results


Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: 'Alternative DM PM' (FG2: 'Alternative DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

## Stage Sequence Diagram



## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 16 | 34 |
| Change Point | 0 | 21 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 101.6\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 101.6\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 322 | 1990 | 584 | 55.1\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 373 | 2130 | 584 | 63.9\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 34 | - | 1183 | 1997 | 1165 | 101.6\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 34 | - | 18 | 2091 | 1220 | 1.5\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 554 | 1994 | 854 | 64.8\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 736 | 2119 | 940 | 78.3\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 326 | 1939 | 898 | 36.3\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 524 | 2094 | 655 | 80.0\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 635 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 413 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 368 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1750 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 444 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 263 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 163 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 16 | - | 567 | 1992 | 564 | 100.5\% |
| 9/2 | Circ South Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 16 | - | 607 | 2124 | 602 | 100.9\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 181 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results

| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 309 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 736 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 635 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1260 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1260 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: 'Alternative DS AM' (FG3: 'Alternative DS AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

## Stage Sequence Diagram



## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 9 | 41 |
| Change Point | 0 | 14 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane <br> Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow <br> Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand <br> Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 146.0\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 146.0\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 348 | 1990 | 597 | 58.3\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 363 | 2130 | 597 | 60.8\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 41 | - | 1011 | 1997 | 1398 | 72.3\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 41 | - | 42 | 2091 | 1464 | 2.9\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 738 | 1993 | 856 | 86.2\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1370 | 2119 | 938 | 146.0\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 219 | 1939 | 880 | 24.9\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 283 | 2094 | 571 | 49.6\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 581 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 702 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 686 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1482 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 300 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 416 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 207 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 9 | - | 471 | 1992 | 332 | 141.9\% |
| 9/2 | Circ South Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 9 | - | 505 | 2122 | 354 | 142.8\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 247 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/1 | Ahead | $U$ | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 362 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1370 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 581 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1653 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1653 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: 'Alternative DS PM' (FG4: 'Alternative DS PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

## Stage Sequence Diagram



## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 16 | 34 |
| Change Point | 0 | 21 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 109.2\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 109.2\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 337 | 1990 | 592 | 57.0\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 417 | 2130 | 592 | 70.5\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 34 | - | 1272 | 1997 | 1165 | 109.2\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 34 | - | 18 | 2091 | 1220 | 1.5\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 383 | 1995 | 755 | 50.7\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 736 | 2119 | 920 | 80.0\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 333 | 1939 | 896 | 37.2\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 501 | 2094 | 653 | 76.7\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 647 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 413 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 368 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1858 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 391 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 87 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 233 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 16 | - | 586 | 1992 | 564 | 103.8\% |
| 9/2 | Circ South Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 16 | - | 624 | 2122 | 601 | 103.8\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 251 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results

| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 314 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 736 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 647 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1237 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1237 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Full Input Data And Results

## User and Project Details

| Project: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title: |  |
| Location: |  |
| Additional detail: |  |
| File name: | A3 (M) J3 - Permitted left turn from offside lane of A3 (south) approach.Isg3x |
| Author: |  |
| Company: |  |
| Address: |  |

## Network Layout Diagram



## Phase Diagram



Phase Input Data

| Phase Name | Phase Type | Assoc. Phase | Street Min | Cont Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| B | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |

Phase Intergreens Matrix

|  | Starting Phase |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Terminating <br> Phase |  | A |  |
|  | B | 5 |  |
|  | B | 5 |  |

## Phases in Stage

| Stage No. | Phases in Stage |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | A |
| 2 | $B$ |

Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Diagram



## Phase Delays

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

There are no Phase Delays defined

Prohibited Stage Change


Full Input Data And Results
Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right Turn Storage (PCU) | Non-Blocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 6/1 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 13/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 9/1 (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 13/1 | 0.33 | All |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 9/2 (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 13/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 1 \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert } \end{gathered}$Road) | 8/1 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 10/1 | 0.33 | To 8/2 (Ahead) | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 11/1 <br> (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 10/1 | 1.09 | To 8/2 (Ahead) To 11/1 (Right) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (B2150 Hulbert } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 11/2 (Ahead) | 1000 | 0 | 10/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
| (A3 (M) Southbound) <br> (A3 (M) Southbound)   <br> 4/2   | 12/1 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 11/1 | 0.33 | All | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 12/2 (Left) | 1000 | 0 | 11/2 | 0.33 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | 11/1 | 0.33 | All |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Lane Input Data

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Type | Phases | Start <br> Disp. | End Disp. | Physical Length (PCU) | Sat Flow Type | Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr) | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Turns | Turning Radius (m) |
| 1/1 (Hulbert Road) | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 9 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 1 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ <br> Northbound) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ <br> Northbound) | U | B | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 <br> Left <br> Arm 10 <br> Ahead | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inf } \\ 126.00 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 1 \\ \text { (B2150 } \\ \text { Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left | 645.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 11 <br> Ahead | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 / 2 \\ \text { (B2150 } \\ \text { Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 <br> Ahead | 122.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 1 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \\ \text { Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ (A 3(M) \\ \text { Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Geom | - | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 |
| 5/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | U | A | 2 | 3 | 20.9 | Geom | - | 4.04 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 <br> Ahead | 111.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | U | A | 2 | 3 | 20.9 | Geom | - | 4.00 | 0.00 | $N$ | Arm 7 <br> Ahead | 127.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 |
| 10/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 19.1 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 27.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 27.0 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12/2 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 15.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Full Input Data And Results

| $13 / 1$ | U |  | 2 | 3 | 7.0 | $\operatorname{lnf}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: 'Alternative DM AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 2: 'Alternative DM PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 3: 'Alternative DS AM' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 4: 'Alternative DS PM' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |

Scenario 1: 'Alternative DM AM' (FG1: 'Alternative DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

| Origin | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |
|  | A | 0 | 15 | 459 | 195 | 669 |
|  | B | 40 | 3 | 917 | 2 | 962 |
|  | C | 325 | 1410 | 6 | 387 | 2128 |
|  | D | 212 | 3 | 309 | 0 | 524 |
|  | Tot. | 577 | 1431 | 1691 | 584 | 4283 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 1: Alternative DM AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 335 |
| 1/2 | 334 |
| 2/1 | 466 |
| 2/2 | 493 |
| 3/1 | 712 |
| 3/2 | 1410 |
| 4/1 | 212 |
| 4/2 | 312 |
| 5/1 | 577 |
| 6/1 | 722 |
| 6/2 | 706 |
| 7/1 | 919 |
| 7/2 | 766 |
| 8/1 | 387 |
| 8/2 | 197 |
| 9/1 | 453 |
| 9/2 | 510 |
| 10/1 | 237 |
| 11/1 | 365 |
| 11/2 | 1410 |
| 12/1 | 577 |
| 12/2 | 1722 |
| 13/1 | 1722 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 4.5 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 95.5 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{2 / 1}{\text { Northbound }} \text { (A3 (M) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Left | Inf | 91.5 \% | 2114 | 2114 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 8.5 \% |  |  |
| 3/1 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) <br> 3/2 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left | 645.00 | 54.4 \% | 1993 | 1993 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 11 Ahead | Inf | 45.6 \% |  |  |
|  | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $4 / 2$ <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow <br> Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \\ 9 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.04 \\ & 4.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 |  | 1992 | 1992 |
|  |  | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 61.8 \% | 2122 | 2122 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 38.2 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: 'Alternative DM PM' (FG2: 'Alternative DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 45 | 487 | 163 | 695 |  |
|  | B | 18 | 0 | 1183 | 0 | 1201 |  |
|  | C | 291 | 736 | 26 | 263 | 1316 |  |
|  | D | 326 | 0 | 524 | 0 | 850 |  |
|  | Tot. | 635 | 781 | 2220 | 426 | 4062 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 2: <br> Alternative DM PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 347 |
| 1/2 | 348 |
| 2/1 | 577 |
| 2/2 | 624 |
| 3/1 | 554 |
| 3/2 | 736 |
| 4/1 | 326 |
| 4/2 | 524 |
| 5/1 | 635 |
| 6/1 | 413 |
| 6/2 | 368 |
| 7/1 | 1138 |
| 7/2 | 1056 |
| 8/1 | 263 |
| 8/2 | 163 |
| 9/1 | 561 |
| 9/2 | 613 |
| 10/1 | 181 |
| 11/1 | 309 |
| 11/2 | 736 |
| 12/1 | 635 |
| 12/2 | 1260 |
| 13/1 | 1260 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 13.0 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 87.0 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{2 / 1}{\text { (A3 (M) Northbound) }} \text { ( } \end{gathered}$ | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \text { Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Left | Inf | 97.1 \% | 2115 | 2115 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 2.9 \% |  |  |
| $3 / 1$ (B2150 Hulbert Road) <br> 3/2 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left | 645.00 | 47.5 \% | 1994 | 1994 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 11 Ahead | Inf | 52.5 \% |  |  |
|  | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| $4 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow <br> Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \\ 9 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.04 \\ & 4.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 | 100.0 \% | 1992 | 1992 |
|  |  | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 73.4 \% | 2124 | 2124 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 26.6 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: 'Alternative DS AM' (FG3: 'Alternative DS AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 15 | 491 | 205 | 711 |  |
|  | B | 40 | 3 | 1011 | 2 | 1056 |  |
|  | C | 322 | 1370 | 6 | 416 | 2114 |  |
|  | D | 219 | 3 | 280 | 0 | 502 |  |
|  | Tot. | 581 | 1391 | 1788 | 623 | 4383 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 3: <br> Alternative DS AM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 324 |
| 1/2 | 387 |
| 2/1 | 500 |
| 2/2 | 553 |
| 3/1 | 738 |
| 3/2 | 1370 |
| 4/1 | 219 |
| 4/2 | 283 |
| 5/1 | 581 |
| 6/1 | 702 |
| 6/2 | 686 |
| 7/1 | 973 |
| 7/2 | 809 |
| 8/1 | 416 |
| 8/2 | 207 |
| 9/1 | 473 |
| 9/2 | 503 |
| 10/1 | 247 |
| 11/1 | 362 |
| 11/2 | 1370 |
| 12/1 | 581 |
| 12/2 | 1653 |
| 13/1 | 1653 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 4.6 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 95.4 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $2 / 1$ <br> (A3 (M) Northbound) | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Left | Inf | 92.4 \% | 2114 | 2114 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 7.6 \% |  |  |
| 3/1 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) <br> 3/2 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left | 645.00 | 56.4 \% | 1993 | 1993 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 11 Ahead | Inf | 43.6 \% |  |  |
|  | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 / 2 \\ (\mathrm{~A} 3(\mathrm{M}) \text { Southbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \\ 9 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.04 \\ & 4.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 |  | 1992 | 1992 |
|  |  | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 59.2 \% | 2122 | 2122 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 40.8 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: 'Alternative DS PM' (FG4: 'Alternative DS PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired
Desired Flow :

|  | Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Tot. |  |
|  | A | 0 | 45 | 476 | 233 | 754 |  |
|  | B | 18 | 0 | 1272 | 0 | 1290 |  |
|  | C | 296 | 736 | 26 | 87 | 1145 |  |
|  | D | 333 | 0 | 501 | 0 | 834 |  |
|  | Tot. | 647 | 781 | 2275 | 320 | 4023 |  |

Traffic Lane Flows

| Lane | Scenario 4: <br> Alternative DS PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |
| 1/1 | 377 |
| 1/2 | 377 |
| 2/1 | 621 |
| 2/2 | 669 |
| 3/1 | 383 |
| 3/2 | 736 |
| 4/1 | 333 |
| 4/2 | 501 |
| 5/1 | 647 |
| 6/1 | 413 |
| 6/2 | 368 |
| 7/1 | 1201 |
| 7/2 | 1048 |
| 8/1 | 87 |
| 8/2 | 233 |
| 9/1 | 580 |
| 9/2 | 630 |
| 10/1 | 251 |
| 11/1 | 314 |
| 11/2 | 736 |
| 12/1 | 647 |
| 12/2 | 1237 |
| 13/1 | 1237 |

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: Junction 3, A3 (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 1 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 6 Left | Inf | 11.9 \% | 1990 | 1990 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 88.1 \% |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { (Hulbert Road) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.75 | 0.00 | N | Arm 9 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2130 | 2130 |
| $\stackrel{2 / 1}{(\mathrm{~A} 3} \text { (M) } \begin{gathered} \text { Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.83 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Left | 5431.00 | 100.0 \% | 1997 | 1997 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 / 2 \\ \text { (A3 (M) Northbound) } \end{gathered}$ | 3.61 | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Left | Inf | 97.3 \% | 2115 | 2115 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Ahead | 126.00 | 2.7 \% |  |  |
| 3/1 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) <br> 3/2 <br> (B2150 Hulbert Road) | 3.81 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 8 Left | 645.00 | 22.7 \% | 1995 | 1995 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 11 Ahead | Inf | 77.3 \% |  |  |
|  | 3.90 | 0.00 | N | Arm 11 Ahead | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 2119 | 2119 |
| 4/1 <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.48 | 0.00 | Y | Arm 12 Left | 122.00 | 100.0 \% | 1939 | 1939 |
| $4 / 2$ <br> (A3 (M) Southbound) | 3.58 | 0.00 | N | Arm 12 Left | 164.00 | 100.0 \% | 2094 | 2094 |
| 5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 6/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 7/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow <br> Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 8/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} 9 / 1 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \\ 9 / 2 \\ \text { (Circ South) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.04 \\ & 4.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 | Y | Arm 7 Ahead | 111.00 |  | 1992 | 1992 |
|  |  | 0.00 | N | Arm 7 Ahead | 127.00 | 63.0 \% | 2122 | 2122 |
|  |  |  |  | Arm 10 Right | 70.00 | 37.0 \% |  |  |
| 10/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 11/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 12/2 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| 13/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 1: 'Alternative DM AM' (FG1: 'Alternative DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')


Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 35 | 15 |
| Change Point | 0 | 40 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Time in cycle (sec)

Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 153.0\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 153.0\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | O | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 335 | 1990 | 593 | 56.5\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 334 | 2130 | 593 | 56.4\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 15 | - | 466 | 1997 | 533 | 87.5\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 15 | - | 493 | 2114 | 564 | 87.5\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 712 | 1993 | 826 | 86.2\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1410 | 2119 | 922 | 153.0\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 212 | 1939 | 879 | 24.1\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 312 | 2094 | 575 | 54.2\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 577 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 722 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 706 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 919 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 766 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 387 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 197 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 35 | - | 453 | 1992 | 1195 | 37.9\% |
| 9/2 | Circ South Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 35 | - | 510 | 2122 | 1273 | 40.1\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 237 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 365 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1410 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 577 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1722 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1722 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results

| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean Max Queue (pcu) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | 2827 | 0 | 0 | 27.9 | 257.7 | 0.0 | 285.6 | - | - | - | - |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | 2827 | 0 | 0 | 27.9 | 257.7 | 0.0 | 285.6 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/1 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 1/2 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 2/1 | 466 | 466 | - | - | - | 2.7 | 3.2 | - | 5.9 | 45.7 | 7.4 | 3.2 | 10.6 |
| 2/2 | 493 | 493 | - | - | - | 2.9 | 3.2 | - | 6.1 | 44.4 | 7.8 | 3.2 | 11.0 |
| 3/1 | 712 | 712 | 712 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 3.0 | - | 3.1 | 15.5 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 7.7 |
| 3/2 | 1410 | 922 | 922 | 0 | 0 | 20.5 | 245.7 | - | 266.1 | 679.5 | 70.5 | 245.7 | 316.2 |
| 4/1 | 212 | 212 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 4/2 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 5/1 | 577 | 577 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6/1 | 478 | 478 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6/2 | 462 | 462 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7/1 | 919 | 919 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7/2 | 766 | 766 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8/1 | 387 | 387 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8/2 | 197 | 197 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9/1 | 453 | 453 | - | - | - | 0.8 | 0.3 | - | 1.1 | 8.6 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 4.2 |
| 9/2 | 510 | 510 | - | - | - | 0.9 | 0.3 | - | 1.2 | 8.7 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 4.7 |
| 10/1 | 237 | 237 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/1 | 365 | 365 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/2 | 922 | 922 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/1 | 577 | 577 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12/2 | 1234 | 1234 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13/1 | 1234 | 1234 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | C1 | PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): PRC Over All Lanes (\%): |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2.8 \\ -70.0 \end{array}$ |   <br> Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 14.32 <br> Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 285.56 |  |  | Cycle Time (s): 60 |  |  |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: 'Alternative DM PM' (FG2: 'Alternative DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

## Stage Sequence Diagram



## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 25 | 25 |
| Change Point | 0 | 30 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow <br> Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80.0\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80.0\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 347 | 1990 | 584 | 59.4\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 348 | 2130 | 584 | 59.6\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 25 | - | 577 | 1997 | 865 | 66.7\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 25 | - | 624 | 2115 | 916 | 68.1\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 554 | 1994 | 856 | 64.7\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 736 | 2119 | 940 | 78.3\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) <br> Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 326 | 1939 | 898 | 36.3\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 524 | 2094 | 655 | 80.0\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 635 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 413 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 368 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1138 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1056 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 263 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 163 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 25 | - | 561 | 1992 | 863 | 65.0\% |
| 9/2 | Circ South Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 25 | - | 613 | 2124 | 920 | 66.6\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 181 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 309 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 736 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 635 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1260 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1260 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results


Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: 'Alternative DS AM' (FG3: 'Alternative DS AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

## Stage Sequence Diagram



## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 35 | 15 |
| Change Point | 0 | 40 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green <br> (s) | Arrow <br> Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 149.2\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 149.2\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 324 | 1990 | 603 | 53.7\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 387 | 2130 | 603 | 64.1\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 15 | - | 500 | 1997 | 533 | 93.9\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 15 | - | 553 | 2114 | 564 | 98.1\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 738 | 1993 | 820 | 90.0\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1370 | 2119 | 918 | 149.2\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 219 | 1939 | 880 | 24.9\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 283 | 2094 | 577 | 49.0\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 581 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 702 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 686 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 973 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 809 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 416 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 207 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 35 | - | 473 | 1992 | 1195 | 39.6\% |
| 9/2 | Circ South Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 35 | - | 503 | 2122 | 1273 | 39.5\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 247 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/1 | Ahead | $U$ | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 362 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1370 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 581 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1653 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1653 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: 'Alternative DS PM' (FG4: 'Alternative DS PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')

## Stage Sequence Diagram



## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 24 | 26 |
| Change Point | 0 | 29 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

## Network Layout Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow <br> Phase | Num Greens | Total Green (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80.3\% |
| Junction 3, A3 (M) | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80.3\% |
| 1/1 | Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 377 | 1990 | 592 | 63.7\% |
| 1/2 | Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 377 | 2130 | 592 | 63.7\% |
| 2/1 | A3 (M) <br> Northbound Left | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 26 | - | 621 | 1997 | 899 | 69.1\% |
| 2/2 | A3 (M) Northbound Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | B |  | 1 | 26 | - | 669 | 2115 | 952 | 70.3\% |
| 3/1 | B2150 Hulbert Road Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 383 | 1995 | 750 | 51.1\% |
| 3/2 | B2150 Hulbert Road Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 736 | 2119 | 917 | 80.3\% |
| 4/1 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 333 | 1939 | 896 | 37.2\% |
| 4/2 | A3 (M) Southbound Left | 0 | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 501 | 2094 | 653 | 76.7\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 647 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 413 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 368 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1201 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 1048 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 87 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/2 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 233 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 9/1 | Circ South Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 24 | - | 580 | 1992 | 830 | 69.9\% |
| 9/2 | Circ South Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | A |  | 1 | 24 | - | 630 | 2122 | 884 | 71.3\% |
| 10/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 251 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| 11/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 314 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/2 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 736 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 647 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 12/2 | Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1237 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 13/1 | Ahead Right | U | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | 1237 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |

Full Input Data And Results


## Appendix 3 - Swept Path Analysis of Cable Drum Deliveries
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